



**South Carolina Department  
of Probation, Parole and  
Pardon Services**

**ANNUAL  
STATISTICAL  
REPORT**

**FISCAL YEAR 2023**

**JODI D. GALLMAN  
AGENCY ACTING DIRECTOR**

## **Proprietary Disclaimer**

**This document (including all data and statistical information represented herein) was compiled and reported by the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services. Any reproduction or republication of said report, data, or statistics is permitted only if reproduced in its entirety without modification, or omission, and must identify the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services as the source.**

***Jodi D. Gallman, Acting Director***

**293 Greystone Blvd, Columbia, SC 29210**

**PO BOX 207 Columbia, SC 29202**

**803-734-9220**

**[www.dppps.sc.gov](http://www.dppps.sc.gov)**

## **Research and Evaluation**

**Laquinda Smith, Statistical and Research Analyst**

**LaQuenta Weldon, Senior Statistical and Research Analyst**

## TO THE READER

Fiscal Year 2023 (FY 2023) began July 1, 2022 and ended June 30, 2023. This report provides a statistical representation of the work of 703 employees of the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services (SCDPPPS). During the fiscal year, 80% of our probationers and 83% of our parolees successfully completed supervision.

The Department operates its offender programs within a clear framework of public safety in supervising the 72,207 offenders under our legal jurisdiction. Legal jurisdiction includes offenders who were transferred out of state, absconded with active warrants, and others who are not under the active day-to-day supervision. At the end of the fiscal year, 23,182 offenders were under active supervision of the Department. The description of active supervision represents only those offenders who had at least one active case on June 30, 2023. Our responses to offender risks and needs in the community are focused to address present or potential problems that may interfere with the successful completion of supervision without compromising public safety. We maintain a fundamental belief that given support, resources, and service interventions, the offender has the ability to make positive changes in his or her life.

The following tables provide a description of the offender population and answer some commonly asked questions regarding the Department's programmatic efforts. Each table is preceded by a short description of its contents. The reader should be aware that there are different ways of reporting units of data depending upon the purpose. Admissions include only those offenders admitted to SCDPPPS who had no other active cases at the time of admission. Closures information reflects only the last order to close during the fiscal year.

In addition, due to rounding, some of the totals will not equal 100%. For additional information or clarification, please contact LaQuenta Weldon in Research and Evaluation at 803-734-4057.

## EXPLANATION OF PROGRAM TYPES

**Probation:** Includes Probation, Conditional Discharged to Probation, Probation Terminated Upon Payment (PTUP), Split Probation (admitted to probation with a split sentence from prison), and Monitor for the Court

**Parole:** Includes Parole .

**Other:** Includes YOA, Community Supervision Program, Supervised Furlough-2, Supervised Furlough-2A, Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ), and early release program cases.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## SECTION A---TOTAL POPULATION

TABLE 1-A	Total Admissions by Program Type.....	8
TABLE 2-A	Total Admissions by Type of Offense .....	9
TABLE 3-A	Total Admissions by Age .....	10
TABLE 4-A	Total Admissions by Gender & Race.....	11
FIGURE 1	Total Admissions by Gender & Race.....	12
TABLE 5-A	Active Offenders by Level of Supervision .....	13
FIGURE 2	Active Offenders by Level of Supervision .....	14
TABLE 6-A	Total Closures by Type.....	15

## SECTION B---PROBATION

TABLE 1-B	Probation Admissions by Type of Offense .....	18
TABLE 2-B	Probation Admissions by Gender & Race .....	19
TABLE 3-B	Probation Admissions by Age .....	20
TABLE 4-B	Active Probation Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	21
FIGURE 3	Active Probation Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	22
TABLE 5-B	Probation Closures by Type.....	23

## SECTION C---PAROLE

TABLE 1-C	Parole Admissions by Type of Offense.....	26
TABLE 2-C	Parole Admissions by Gender & Race .....	27
TABLE 3-C	Parole Admissions by Age .....	28
TABLE 4-C	Active Parole Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	29
FIGURE 4	Active Parole Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	30
TABLE 5-C	Parole Closures by Type.....	31

## SECTION D---OTHER

TABLE 1-D	Other Admissions by Type of Offense .....	34
TABLE 2-D	Other Admissions by Gender & Race.....	35
TABLE 3-D	Other Admissions by Age .....	36
TABLE 4-D	Active Other Offenders by Level of Supervision .....	37
FIGURE 5	Active Other Offenders by Level of Supervision .....	38
TABLE 5-D	Other Closures by Type .....	39

## SECTION E---SEX OFFENDERS

TABLE 1-E	Active Sex Offenders .....	42
FUGURE 6	Sex Offenders by Supervision Status .....	43

## SECTION F---VIOLATIONS

TABLE 1-F	Violations by County .....	46
TABLE 2-F	Closures by Type .....	47
TABLE 3-F	Administrative Hearing Collections .....	48

## SECTION G---ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE

FIGURE 7	Offenders on Active GPS .....	51
----------	-------------------------------	----

## SECTION H---SUMMARY

TABLE 1-H	Offender Drug Testing .....	54
TABLE 2-H	Population Characteristics .....	55
FIGURE 9	Admissions: A 20-Year Comparison .....	56

**SECTION A**

**TOTAL  
POPULATION**

**FISCAL YEAR 2023**

## TOTAL POPULATION

Tables 1-A through 4-A and Figure 1 represent the total admissions to the SCDPPPS during FY 2023. These tables count admissions to a particular sanction, and include only those offenders admitted to SCDPPPS who had no other active cases at the time of admission. These tables also include only the main case even though an offender may have been admitted with more than one case. In FY 2023, there were 16,668 admissions. A state and county total is provided for each category of admission. Within the racial categories, due to the small number of offenders classified as "Asian, Hispanic, Native American, or Other", they have been grouped together and classified as "Other".

- Table 1-A provides information on total admissions by program type. Greenville, Charleston, York and Spartanburg counties had the greatest number of total admissions, together accounting for 30.2% of all admissions.
- Table 2-A presents information on total admissions by type of offense. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. Total admissions during the fiscal year were predominately non-violent with only 11% admissions for violent offenses.
- Table 3-A describes offender admissions by age category. Majority of those admitted during FY 2023(86%) were 25 years or older at time of admission.
- Table 4-A and Figure 1 illustrate total admissions by gender and race. Admissions overall continue to be predominately male at 78%, with a racial composition of 45% black, 52% white, and 3% of other races.

Table 5-A and Figure 2 describe all active offenders by level of supervision on June 30, 2023. This total does not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. The level of supervision determines how often the Agent has contact with the offender. Standard risk supervision accounted for 57% of the active population, 14% were medium risk, and 18% were high-risk offenders. Intensive supervision represented only 1% and sex offender supervision accounted for 4% while domestic violence supervision accounted for 6% of all active offenders.

Table 6-A shows total closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). Closures include only those offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the last order to close during FY 2023 and within that order only the main case, even though an offender may have had more than one case, was included. The overall success rate for all offenders closing during FY 2023 was 82%. The unsuccessful rate, 18%, is defined as those offenders whose supervision was revoked due to a technical violation or new offense.

***Note: Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.***

**TABLE 1-A  
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY PROGRAM TYPE**

COUNTY	PROBATION	PERCENT PROBATION	PAROLE	PERCENT PAROLE	OTHER	PERCENT OTHER	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	99	89%	4	4%	8	7%	111
AIKEN	495	89%	18	3%	42	8%	555
ALLENDALE	11	73%	2	13%	2	13%	15
ANDERSON	590	87%	22	3%	65	10%	677
BAMBERG	23	77%	1	3%	6	20%	30
BARNWELL	48	72%	1	1%	18	27%	67
BEAUFORT	155	85%	3	2%	25	14%	183
BERKELEY	652	89%	18	2%	66	9%	736
CALHOUN	45	92%	0	0%	4	8%	49
CHARLESTON	771	85%	24	3%	107	12%	902
CHEROKEE	462	91%	13	3%	30	6%	505
CHESTER	91	78%	6	5%	19	16%	116
CHESTERFIELD	179	92%	8	4%	7	4%	194
CLARENDON	99	88%	2	2%	11	10%	112
COLLETON	110	92%	2	2%	8	7%	120
DARLINGTON	210	88%	13	5%	16	7%	239
DILLON	95	90%	5	5%	6	6%	106
DORCHESTER	387	94%	7	2%	19	5%	413
EDGEFIELD	96	96%	1	1%	3	3%	100
FAIRFIELD	105	90%	4	3%	8	7%	117
FLORENCE	376	81%	14	3%	75	16%	465
GEORGETOWN	103	79%	3	2%	25	19%	131
GREENVILLE	1,716	88%	41	2%	187	10%	1,944
GREENWOOD	402	92%	5	1%	28	6%	435
HAMPTON	33	83%	3	8%	4	10%	40
HORRY	633	82%	49	6%	88	11%	770
JASPER	55	85%	4	6%	6	9%	65
KERSHAW	190	88%	6	3%	20	9%	216
LANCASTER	173	84%	10	5%	22	11%	205
LAURENS	303	90%	7	2%	25	7%	335
LEE	42	82%	1	2%	8	16%	51
LEXINGTON	687	88%	24	3%	70	9%	781
McCORMICK	36	97%	1	3%	0	0%	37
MARION	104	83%	5	4%	17	13%	126
MARLBORO	85	88%	5	5%	7	7%	97
NEWBERRY	78	80%	4	4%	15	15%	97
OCONEE	477	93%	8	2%	26	5%	511
ORANGEBURG	445	90%	8	2%	39	8%	492
PICKENS	661	95%	3	0%	34	5%	698
RICHLAND	622	77%	34	4%	156	19%	812
SALUDA	52	88%	1	2%	6	10%	59
SPARTANBURG	1,039	86%	22	2%	153	13%	1,214
SUMTER	323	79%	16	4%	72	18%	411
UNION	135	85%	3	2%	20	13%	158
WILLIAMSBURG	67	79%	5	6%	13	15%	85
YORK	856	87%	32	3%	92	9%	980
TRANSITIONAL	36	34%	4	4%	66	62%	106
STATE TOTAL	14,452	87%	472	3%	1,744	10%	16,668

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.



**TABLE 2-A  
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	4	4%	107	96%	111
AIKEN	71	13%	484	87%	555
ALLENDALE	3	20%	12	80%	15
ANDERSON	72	11%	605	89%	677
BAMBERG	5	17%	25	83%	30
BARNWELL	19	28%	48	72%	67
BEAUFORT	17	9%	166	91%	183
BERKELEY	71	10%	665	90%	736
CALHOUN	3	6%	46	94%	49
CHARLESTON	121	13%	781	87%	902
CHEROKEE	40	8%	465	92%	505
CHESTER	13	11%	103	89%	116
CHESTERFIELD	14	7%	180	93%	194
CLARENDON	13	12%	99	88%	112
COLLETON	8	7%	112	93%	120
DARLINGTON	19	8%	220	92%	239
DILLON	9	8%	97	92%	106
DORCHESTER	32	8%	381	92%	413
EDGEFIELD	3	3%	97	97%	100
FAIRFIELD	7	6%	110	94%	117
FLORENCE	53	11%	412	89%	465
GEORGETOWN	15	11%	116	89%	131
GREENVILLE	206	11%	1,738	89%	1,944
GREENWOOD	20	5%	415	95%	435
HAMPTON	8	20%	32	80%	40
HORRY	99	13%	671	87%	770
JASPER	11	17%	54	83%	65
KERSHAW	20	9%	196	91%	216
LANCASTER	22	11%	183	89%	205
LAURENS	16	5%	319	95%	335
LEE	11	22%	40	78%	51
LEXINGTON	57	7%	724	93%	781
McCORMICK	1	3%	36	97%	37
MARION	15	12%	111	88%	126
MARLBORO	8	8%	89	92%	97
NEWBERRY	9	9%	88	91%	97
OCONEE	35	7%	476	93%	511
ORANGEBURG	52	11%	440	89%	492
PICKENS	37	5%	661	95%	698
RICHLAND	133	16%	679	84%	812
SALUDA	5	8%	54	92%	59
SPARTANBURG	171	14%	1,043	86%	1,214
SUMTER	63	15%	348	85%	411
UNION	14	9%	144	91%	158
WILLIAMSBURG	19	22%	66	78%	85
YORK	94	10%	886	90%	980
TRANSITIONAL	63	59%	43	41%	106
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,801</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>14,867</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>16,668</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-A  
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY AGE**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Age 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Percent 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Age 25 &amp; Over</b>	<b>Percent 25 &amp; Over</b>
ABBEVILLE	14	13%	97	87%
AIKEN	68	12%	487	88%
ALLENDALE	3	20%	12	80%
ANDERSON	65	10%	612	90%
BAMBERG	4	13%	26	87%
BARNWELL	8	12%	59	88%
BEAUFORT	20	11%	163	89%
BERKELEY	97	13%	639	87%
CALHOUN	12	24%	37	76%
CHARLESTON	138	15%	764	85%
CHEROKEE	77	15%	428	85%
CHESTER	22	19%	94	81%
CHESTERFIELD	28	14%	166	86%
CLARENDON	18	16%	94	84%
COLLETON	21	18%	99	83%
DARLINGTON	45	19%	194	81%
DILLON	14	13%	92	87%
DORCHESTER	80	19%	333	81%
EDGEFIELD	11	11%	89	89%
FAIRFIELD	24	21%	93	79%
FLORENCE	59	13%	406	87%
GEORGETOWN	13	10%	118	90%
GREENVILLE	172	9%	1,772	91%
GREENWOOD	68	16%	367	84%
HAMPTON	9	23%	31	78%
HORRY	132	17%	638	83%
JASPER	13	20%	52	80%
KERSHAW	36	17%	180	83%
LANCASTER	24	12%	181	88%
LAURENS	30	9%	305	91%
LEE	8	16%	43	84%
LEXINGTON	118	15%	663	85%
McCORMICK	7	19%	30	81%
MARION	20	16%	106	84%
MARLBORO	19	20%	78	80%
NEWBERRY	20	21%	77	79%
OCONEE	55	11%	456	89%
ORANGEBURG	82	17%	410	83%
PICKENS	75	11%	623	89%
RICHLAND	141	17%	671	83%
SALUDA	9	15%	50	85%
SPARTANBURG	141	12%	1,073	88%
SUMTER	62	15%	349	85%
UNION	21	13%	137	87%
WILLIAMSBURG	13	15%	72	85%
YORK	169	17%	811	83%
TRANSITIONAL	9	8%	97	92%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>2,294</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>14,374</b>	<b>86%</b>


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-A  
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**

COUNTY	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT
	Male	Female	Black	Other	White
ABBEVILLE	69%	31%	31%	0%	69%
AIKEN	76%	24%	38%	2%	61%
ALLENDALE	87%	13%	87%	0%	13%
ANDERSON	76%	24%	31%	1%	68%
BAMBERG	87%	13%	80%	0%	20%
BARNWELL	91%	9%	49%	1%	49%
BEAUFORT	74%	26%	44%	7%	49%
BERKELEY	78%	22%	40%	4%	55%
CALHOUN	76%	24%	51%	2%	47%
CHARLESTON	84%	16%	64%	2%	34%
CHEROKEE	73%	27%	29%	2%	69%
CHESTER	80%	20%	52%	1%	47%
CHESTERFIELD	81%	19%	42%	2%	56%
CLARENDON	80%	20%	63%	1%	36%
COLLETON	72%	28%	34%	0%	66%
DARLINGTON	78%	22%	58%	0%	42%
DILLON	83%	17%	56%	2%	42%
DORCHESTER	81%	19%	47%	5%	48%
EDGEFIELD	85%	15%	35%	1%	64%
FAIRFIELD	82%	18%	74%	2%	25%
FLORENCE	82%	18%	64%	1%	35%
GEORGETOWN	82%	18%	57%	1%	42%
GREENVILLE	77%	23%	40%	6%	54%
GREENWOOD	73%	27%	48%	2%	50%
HAMPTON	90%	10%	73%	0%	28%
HORRY	76%	24%	40%	2%	58%
JASPER	83%	17%	66%	3%	31%
KERSHAW	78%	22%	39%	0%	60%
LANCASTER	79%	21%	38%	1%	60%
LAURENS	73%	27%	34%	3%	63%
LEE	80%	20%	88%	0%	12%
LEXINGTON	79%	21%	33%	3%	64%
McCORMICK	86%	14%	41%	0%	59%
MARION	78%	22%	68%	2%	30%
MARLBORO	86%	14%	53%	10%	37%
NEWBERRY	82%	18%	55%	3%	42%
OCONEE	73%	27%	14%	3%	83%
ORANGEBURG	80%	20%	72%	1%	27%
PICKENS	67%	33%	13%	5%	83%
RICHLAND	85%	15%	82%	1%	17%
SALUDA	76%	24%	41%	3%	56%
SPARTANBURG	79%	21%	40%	3%	57%
SUMTER	80%	20%	68%	1%	30%
UNION	77%	23%	35%	0%	65%
WILLIAMSBURG	76%	24%	66%	1%	33%
YORK	80%	20%	42%	3%	55%
TRANSITIONAL	88%	12%	53%	4%	43%
STATE TOTAL	78%	22%	45%	3%	52%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


**FIGURE 1**  
**TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**  
**FISCAL YEAR 2023**



**TABLE 5-A  
ACTIVE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	MENTAL HEALTH	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	63%	17%	18%	1%	1%	0%	0%	137
AIKEN	63%	11%	14%	1%	4%	7%	0%	821
ALLENDALE	71%	16%	5%	0%	8%	0%	0%	38
ANDERSON	65%	12%	16%	0%	3%	3%	0%	1,272
BAMBERG	70%	16%	10%	0%	4%	0%	0%	69
BARNWELL	69%	9%	13%	2%	7%	0%	0%	106
BEAUFORT	55%	23%	18%	0%	3%	0%	0%	179
BERKELEY	61%	14%	17%	1%	5%	0%	1%	951
CALHOUN	85%	6%	5%	0%	3%	0%	0%	96
CHARLESTON	36%	15%	36%	1%	3%	7%	1%	1,664
CHEROKEE	51%	15%	16%	1%	2%	12%	2%	573
CHESTER	60%	19%	17%	0%	4%	0%	1%	154
CHESTERFIELD	57%	14%	24%	2%	3%	0%	0%	190
CLARENDON	70%	9%	11%	0%	9%	0%	2%	161
COLLETON	61%	16%	19%	1%	4%	0%	0%	159
DARLINGTON	53%	25%	17%	0%	4%	0%	1%	249
DILLON	86%	9%	4%	0%	2%	0%	0%	129
DORCHESTER	48%	13%	24%	1%	3%	9%	2%	663
EDGEFIELD	75%	9%	15%	1%	1%	0%	0%	116
FAIRFIELD	78%	11%	4%	0%	4%	0%	4%	158
FLORENCE	65%	13%	8%	0%	2%	9%	3%	622
GEORGETOWN	74%	13%	9%	0%	3%	0%	0%	211
GREENVILLE	41%	22%	27%	0%	3%	7%	0%	2,521
GREENWOOD	68%	12%	12%	1%	1%	6%	0%	565
HAMPTON	60%	8%	23%	0%	8%	0%	0%	60
HORRY	70%	11%	9%	0%	7%	0%	2%	1,026
JASPER	38%	28%	31%	0%	3%	0%	0%	65
KERSHAW	57%	20%	15%	0%	8%	0%	0%	200
LANCASTER	56%	22%	17%	1%	2%	0%	2%	242
LAURENS	69%	14%	9%	0%	3%	4%	0%	416
LEE	78%	11%	7%	1%	1%	0%	1%	87
LEXINGTON	45%	18%	24%	2%	4%	6%	0%	1,228
McCORMICK	83%	15%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	48
MARION	79%	9%	7%	0%	4%	0%	1%	137
MARLBORO	69%	10%	17%	0%	3%	0%	0%	127
NEWBERRY	76%	11%	9%	2%	3%	0%	0%	114
OCONEE	64%	11%	14%	0%	5%	3%	2%	707
ORANGEBURG	54%	7%	12%	0%	1%	26%	0%	454
PICKENS	67%	7%	16%	0%	2%	6%	2%	915
RICHLAND	61%	15%	11%	0%	4%	6%	2%	1,415
SALUDA	69%	13%	7%	4%	7%	0%	0%	55
SPARTANBURG	49%	13%	22%	1%	4%	10%	2%	2,194
SUMTER	72%	11%	7%	0%	7%	0%	3%	566
UNION	53%	23%	16%	0%	3%	0%	5%	177
WILLIAMSBURG	82%	6%	11%	0%	2%	0%	0%	104
YORK	58%	14%	13%	1%	4%	10%	1%	1,041
TRANSITIONAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STATE TOTAL	57%	14%	18%	1%	4%	6%	1%	
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	13,139	3,332	4,161	156	836	1,321	237	23,182
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.								

**FIGURE 2**  
**ACTIVE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**  
**JUNE 30, 2023**



**REGULAR CASELOADS CONTACT STANDARDS**

STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Following the initial home contact, the primary form of communication with this supervision level should be of electronic/ remote means.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>One home visit progress audit (HVPA) every other month.</li> <li>One Agent Discretion Contact during an HVPA is not conducted.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Two contacts every month, one of which must be a home visit progress audit (HVPA).</li> <li>One Agent Discretion Contact per month.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Three contacts every month, two of which must be home visit progress audit (HVPA).</li> <li>One Agent Discretion Contact per month.</li> </ul>

**SPECIALIZED CASELOADS CONTACT STANDARDS**

DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	MENTAL HEALTH -1	MENTAL HEALTH 2	MENTAL HEALTH 3	MENTAL HEALTH 4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>One HVPA or FVPA per month</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Two (2) HVPAs after an initial home visit</li> <li>Offender TPA contact biweekly</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>One (1) HVPA after an initial home visit</li> <li>Offender TPA contact monthly</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>One HVPA bimonthly</li> <li>One TPA contact monthly</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>One HVPA as needed</li> <li>One TPA contact monthly</li> </ul>

**TABLE 6-A  
TOTAL CLOSURES BY TYPE**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	112	85%	19	15%
AIKEN	316	82%	71	18%
ALLENDALE	21	91%	2	9%
ANDERSON	509	73%	184	27%
BAMBERG	24	77%	7	23%
BARNWELL	41	91%	4	9%
BEAUFORT	100	80%	25	20%
BERKELEY	432	86%	69	14%
CALHOUN	36	82%	8	18%
CHARLESTON	606	89%	75	11%
CHEROKEE	268	72%	102	28%
CHESTER	117	88%	16	12%
CHESTERFIELD	80	82%	17	18%
CLARENDON	78	88%	11	12%
COLLETON	87	80%	22	20%
DARLINGTON	139	71%	58	29%
DILLON	68	76%	21	24%
DORCHESTER	272	89%	33	11%
EDGEFIELD	75	89%	9	11%
FAIRFIELD	92	83%	19	17%
FLORENCE	365	86%	59	14%
GEORGETOWN	104	85%	18	15%
GREENVILLE	1,523	82%	345	18%
GREENWOOD	302	76%	97	24%
HAMPTON	33	87%	5	13%
HORRY	581	86%	91	14%
JASPER	44	77%	13	23%
KERSHAW	103	80%	26	20%
LANCASTER	163	90%	18	10%
LAURENS	268	81%	63	19%
LEE	51	84%	10	16%
LEXINGTON	514	80%	125	20%
MCCORMICK	31	89%	4	11%
MARION	79	85%	14	15%
MARLBORO	63	90%	7	10%
NEWBERRY	72	70%	31	30%
OCONEE	261	75%	87	25%
ORANGEBURG	198	73%	74	27%
PICKENS	387	82%	84	18%
RICHLAND	657	85%	117	15%
SALUDA	48	83%	10	17%
SPARTANBURG	877	72%	335	28%
SUMTER	347	90%	40	10%
UNION	75	77%	23	23%
WILLIAMSBURG	90	84%	17	16%
YORK	742	84%	138	16%
TRANSITIONAL	336	99%	5	1%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>11,787</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>2,628</b>	<b>18%</b>
<b>Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.</b>				

**SECTION B**

**PROBATION**

**FISCAL YEAR  
2023**



## PROBATION

The Department is charged with the responsibility of supervising those offenders placed on probation by the Court. Probation is a court-ordered community sanction which suspends the imposition of all or part of the original sentence of incarceration. It requires the offender, under SCDPPPS supervision in the community, to adhere to a set of conditions which limit the offender's freedom, to make reparation to victims if so ordered, and to provide for judicial revocation for violation of those conditions.

Tables 1-B through 3-B represents all probation admissions during FY 2023. Probation includes Probation, PTUP (Probation Terminated upon Payment), Split Probation admitted to probation with a split sentence from prison, and Monitor for the Court.

- Table 1-B shows probation admissions in terms of offense type, violent or non-violent. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. For FY 2023, 5% of all probation admissions were for violent offenses.
- Table 2-B provides information on probation admissions by gender and race. Probation admissions were predominately male (76%) with a racial composition of 54% white, 43% black, and 3% other.
- Table 3-B reflects probation admissions by age category. The majority (85%) of offenders were 25 years or older at time of admission.

Table 4-B and Figure 3 describe active probation offenders by level of supervision on June 30, 2023. This total does not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. Standard risk supervision accounted for 56% of the active population, 15% were medium risk, and 18% were high-risk offenders. Intensive supervision represented only 1%, sex offender supervision accounted for 3%, domestic violence supervision accounted for 6% and mental health accounted for 1% of all active probation offenders.

Table 5-B provides data for probation closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). Closures include only those offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the last order to close during FY 2023 and within that order only the main case, even though an offender may have had more than one case, was included. The overall success rate for probationers was 80%, slightly lower than the total offender population success rate of 82%.

**TABLE 1-B  
PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	0	0%	99	100%	99
AIKEN	39	8%	456	92%	495
ALLENDALE	1	9%	10	91%	11
ANDERSON	25	4%	565	96%	590
BAMBERG	1	4%	22	96%	23
BARNWELL	4	8%	44	92%	48
BEAUFORT	3	2%	152	98%	155
BERKELEY	21	3%	631	97%	652
CALHOUN	1	2%	44	98%	45
CHARLESTON	42	5%	729	95%	771
CHEROKEE	23	5%	439	95%	462
CHESTER	1	1%	90	99%	91
CHESTERFIELD	8	4%	171	96%	179
CLARENDON	6	6%	93	94%	99
COLLETON	0	0%	110	100%	110
DARLINGTON	9	4%	201	96%	210
DILLON	2	2%	93	98%	95
DORCHESTER	18	5%	369	95%	387
EDGEFIELD	1	1%	95	99%	96
FAIRFIELD	2	2%	103	98%	105
FLORENCE	13	3%	363	97%	376
GEORGETOWN	5	5%	98	95%	103
GREENVILLE	83	5%	1,633	95%	1,716
GREENWOOD	4	1%	398	99%	402
HAMPTON	4	12%	29	88%	33
HORRY	38	6%	595	94%	633
JASPER	6	11%	49	89%	55
KERSHAW	6	3%	184	97%	190
LANCASTER	9	5%	164	95%	173
LAURENS	8	3%	295	97%	303
LEE	6	14%	36	86%	42
LEXINGTON	22	3%	665	97%	687
McCORMICK	1	3%	35	97%	36
MARION	7	7%	97	93%	104
MARLBORO	5	6%	80	94%	85
NEWBERRY	3	4%	75	96%	78
OCONEE	17	4%	460	96%	477
ORANGEBURG	21	5%	424	95%	445
PICKENS	18	3%	643	97%	661
RICHLAND	31	5%	591	95%	622
SALUDA	1	2%	51	98%	52
SPARTANBURG	64	6%	975	94%	1,039
SUMTER	18	6%	305	94%	323
UNION	3	2%	132	98%	135
WILLIAMSBURG	9	13%	58	87%	67
YORK	38	4%	818	96%	856
TRANSITIONAL	4	11%	32	89%	36
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>651</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>13,801</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>14,452</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE  
TABLE 2-B**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	66%	34%	31%	0%	69%
AIKEN	74%	26%	38%	2%	61%
ALLENDALE	82%	18%	82%	0%	18%
ANDERSON	74%	26%	29%	1%	70%
BAMBERG	87%	13%	74%	0%	26%
BARNWELL	88%	13%	46%	2%	52%
BEAUFORT	70%	30%	41%	6%	53%
BERKELEY	76%	24%	39%	4%	57%
CALHOUN	73%	27%	51%	2%	47%
CHARLESTON	82%	18%	63%	2%	35%
CHEROKEE	73%	27%	29%	2%	69%
CHESTER	79%	21%	49%	1%	49%
CHESTERFIELD	80%	20%	42%	2%	55%
CLARENDON	78%	22%	65%	0%	35%
COLLETON	69%	31%	32%	0%	68%
DARLINGTON	77%	23%	59%	0%	41%
DILLON	82%	18%	55%	2%	43%
DORCHESTER	80%	20%	48%	5%	47%
EDGEFIELD	84%	16%	35%	1%	64%
FAIRFIELD	80%	20%	74%	1%	25%
FLORENCE	79%	21%	61%	1%	38%
GEORGETOWN	79%	21%	56%	1%	43%
GREENVILLE	76%	24%	39%	6%	55%
GREENWOOD	70%	30%	47%	2%	51%
HAMPTON	88%	12%	76%	0%	24%
HORRY	73%	27%	38%	2%	59%
JASPER	82%	18%	65%	2%	33%
KERSHAW	75%	25%	39%	1%	60%
LANCASTER	76%	24%	38%	1%	61%
LAURENS	71%	29%	33%	3%	64%
LEE	79%	21%	86%	0%	14%
LEXINGTON	78%	22%	33%	3%	64%
McCORMICK	86%	14%	39%	0%	61%
MARION	74%	26%	64%	2%	34%
MARLBORO	84%	16%	55%	9%	35%
NEWBERRY	78%	22%	53%	3%	45%
OCONEE	72%	28%	13%	4%	83%
ORANGEBURG	78%	22%	71%	1%	28%
PICKENS	67%	33%	13%	5%	82%
RICHLAND	82%	18%	81%	1%	18%
SALUDA	77%	23%	38%	4%	58%
SPARTANBURG	77%	23%	39%	4%	58%
SUMTER	75%	25%	68%	1%	31%
UNION	73%	27%	33%	0%	67%
WILLIAMSBURG	76%	24%	64%	1%	34%
YORK	78%	22%	42%	3%	55%
TRANSITIONAL	78%	22%	47%	3%	50%

<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>54%</b>
--------------------	------------	------------	------------	-----------	------------

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-B  
PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY AGE**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Age 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Percent 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Age 25 &amp; Over</b>	<b>Percent 25 &amp; Over</b>
ABBEVILLE	13	13%	86	87%
AIKEN	67	14%	428	86%
ALLENDALE	1	9%	10	91%
ANDERSON	61	10%	529	90%
BAMBERG	3	13%	20	87%
BARNWELL	8	17%	40	83%
BEAUFORT	20	13%	135	87%
BERKELEY	83	13%	569	87%
CALHOUN	12	27%	33	73%
CHARLESTON	128	17%	643	83%
CHEROKEE	76	16%	386	84%
CHESTER	19	21%	72	79%
CHESTERFIELD	25	14%	154	86%
CLARENDON	18	18%	81	82%
COLLETON	20	18%	90	82%
DARLINGTON	42	20%	168	80%
DILLON	11	12%	84	88%
DORCHESTER	77	20%	310	80%
EDGEFIELD	11	11%	85	89%
FAIRFIELD	23	22%	82	78%
FLORENCE	56	15%	320	85%
GEORGETOWN	12	12%	91	88%
GREENVILLE	154	9%	1562	91%
GREENWOOD	65	16%	337	84%
HAMPTON	9	27%	24	73%
HORRY	121	19%	512	81%
JASPER	13	24%	42	76%
KERSHAW	34	18%	156	82%
LANCASTER	20	12%	153	88%
LAURENS	28	9%	275	91%
LEE	7	17%	35	83%
LEXINGTON	115	17%	572	83%
McCORMICK	7	19%	29	81%
MARION	19	18%	85	82%
MARLBORO	18	21%	67	79%
NEWBERRY	17	22%	61	78%
OCONEE	53	11%	424	89%
ORANGEBURG	79	18%	366	82%
PICKENS	74	11%	587	89%
RICHLAND	127	20%	495	80%
SALUDA	9	17%	43	83%
SPARTANBURG	130	13%	909	87%
SUMTER	56	17%	267	83%
UNION	18	13%	117	87%
WILLIAMSBURG	11	16%	56	84%
YORK	162	19%	694	81%
TRANSITIONAL	4	11%	32	89%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>2,136</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>12,316</b>	<b>85%</b>


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-B  
ACTIVE PROBATION OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	MENTAL HEALTH	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	63%	18%	18%	1%	0%	0%	0%	121
AIKEN	62%	11%	15%	2%	3%	7%	0%	694
ALLENDALE	74%	19%	3%	0%	3%	0%	0%	31
ANDERSON	65%	12%	16%	0%	3%	3%	0%	1,154
BAMBERG	71%	16%	10%	0%	3%	0%	0%	62
BARNWELL	71%	8%	15%	1%	5%	0%	0%	86
BEAUFORT	62%	19%	17%	0%	2%	0%	0%	139
BERKELEY	62%	14%	18%	2%	4%	0%	1%	837
CALHOUN	85%	7%	5%	0%	4%	0%	0%	84
CHARLESTON	34%	16%	37%	1%	3%	8%	1%	1,459
CHEROKEE	50%	15%	16%	1%	2%	13%	2%	530
CHESTER	61%	20%	15%	0%	3%	0%	0%	123
CHESTERFIELD	58%	13%	25%	2%	2%	0%	0%	173
CLARENDON	71%	10%	11%	0%	6%	0%	2%	139
COLLETON	60%	17%	20%	1%	3%	0%	0%	143
DARLINGTON	51%	27%	17%	0%	4%	0%	1%	211
DILLON	88%	10%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	112
DORCHESTER	47%	13%	24%	1%	3%	10%	3%	615
EDGEFIELD	75%	9%	14%	1%	1%	0%	0%	107
FAIRFIELD	78%	11%	4%	0%	3%	0%	4%	141
FLORENCE	64%	12%	8%	0%	2%	11%	3%	510
GEORGETOWN	76%	14%	8%	1%	2%	0%	0%	172
GREENVILLE	39%	23%	27%	0%	2%	8%	0%	2,249
GREENWOOD	68%	12%	12%	1%	1%	6%	0%	527
HAMPTON	62%	10%	21%	0%	8%	0%	0%	52
HORRY	72%	11%	9%	0%	6%	0%	2%	843
JASPER	40%	31%	29%	0%	0%	0%	0%	48
KERSHAW	57%	21%	16%	0%	6%	0%	0%	174
LANCASTER	57%	22%	17%	1%	1%	0%	1%	202
LAURENS	70%	14%	9%	1%	2%	5%	0%	376
LEE	78%	13%	6%	1%	0%	0%	1%	77
LEXINGTON	44%	19%	24%	2%	3%	7%	0%	1,078
McCORMICK	85%	13%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	46
MARION	83%	8%	5%	0%	3%	0%	1%	107
MARLBORO	72%	10%	16%	0%	2%	0%	0%	110
NEWBERRY	79%	11%	7%	2%	1%	0%	0%	95
OCONEE	64%	12%	14%	0%	4%	4%	2%	653
ORANGEBURG	53%	6%	12%	0%	1%	28%	0%	418
PICKENS	67%	7%	16%	0%	1%	6%	2%	867
RICHLAND	59%	16%	12%	0%	3%	8%	2%	1,118
SALUDA	67%	12%	8%	4%	8%	0%	0%	49
SPARTANBURG	47%	13%	23%	1%	2%	12%	2%	1,916
SUMTER	76%	11%	6%	0%	4%	0%	3%	467
UNION	53%	25%	15%	0%	2%	0%	5%	158
WILLIAMSBURG	90%	5%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	79
YORK	57%	15%	13%	1%	3%	11%	1%	894
TRANSITIONAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STATE TOTAL	56%	15%	18%	1%	3%	6%	1%	
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	11,375	2,966	3,687	144	554	1,302	218	20,246

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**FIGURE 3**  
**ACTIVE PROBATION OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**  
**JUNE 30, 2023**



**PROBATION CLOSURES BY TYPE  
TABLE 5-B**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	96	84%	18	16%
AIKEN	262	79%	69	21%
ALLENDALE	19	90%	2	10%
ANDERSON	446	71%	181	29%
BAMBERG	21	75%	7	25%
BARNWELL	36	90%	4	10%
BEAUFORT	78	78%	22	22%
BERKELEY	374	85%	66	15%
CALHOUN	30	79%	8	21%
CHARLESTON	496	87%	72	13%
CHEROKEE	232	71%	97	29%
CHESTER	97	87%	15	13%
CHESTERFIELD	76	83%	16	17%
CLARENDON	67	87%	10	13%
COLLETON	79	78%	22	22%
DARLINGTON	125	71%	52	29%
DILLON	62	78%	18	23%
DORCHESTER	242	89%	31	11%
EDGEFIELD	68	89%	8	11%
FAIRFIELD	78	80%	19	20%
FLORENCE	272	83%	57	17%
GEORGETOWN	77	82%	17	18%
GREENVILLE	1342	80%	331	20%
GREENWOOD	259	74%	93	26%
HAMPTON	26	84%	5	16%
HORRY	465	85%	80	15%
JASPER	36	75%	12	25%
KERSHAW	78	78%	22	22%
LANCASTER	129	88%	17	12%
LAURENS	222	80%	57	20%
LEE	41	80%	10	20%
LEXINGTON	444	79%	116	21%
MCCORMICK	29	88%	4	12%
MARION	60	85%	11	15%
MARLBORO	51	89%	6	11%
NEWBERRY	66	72%	26	28%
OCONEE	241	75%	82	25%
ORANGEBURG	160	70%	67	30%
PICKENS	353	81%	84	19%
RICHLAND	492	82%	109	18%
SALUDA	38	81%	9	19%
SPARTANBURG	717	69%	321	31%
SUMTER	260	87%	39	13%
UNION	54	70%	23	30%
WILLIAMSBURG	66	80%	17	20%
YORK	658	83%	133	17%
TRANSITIONAL	242	98%	5	2%
STATE TOTAL	9,862	80%	2,490	20%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**SECTION C**

**PAROLE**

**FISCAL YEAR  
2023**



## PAROLE

The Department is charged with the responsibility of supervising those offenders paroled by the South Carolina Board of Pardons and Paroles. Parole is the conditional release of an individual from imprisonment, but not from the legal custody of the state, to complete his or her sentence outside a correctional institution under conditions and provisions of supervision determined by the Board. Should an individual be granted parole, he or she must agree to abide by certain conditions of community supervision. The violation of any of these conditions is sufficient grounds for revocation of parole by the Board, and the imposition of the remainder of the original sentence of incarceration.

Tables 1-C through 3-C represents all parole admissions during FY 2023.

- Table 1-C shows parole admissions by type of offense. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. A larger percent of parole admissions, 23%, fall into the violent category, as compared to 5% for probation admissions (see Table 1-B).
- Table 2-C describes all parole admissions by gender and race. Parole admissions consisted primarily of males (85%) with a racial composition of 44% black, 55% white, and 1% other.

Table 3-C reflects parole admissions by age category. Majority (87%) of offenders were 25 years or older at time of admission.

Table 4-C and Figure 4 describe active parolees by level of supervision on June 30, 2023. This total does not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. Standard risk supervision accounted for 73% of the active population, 10% were medium risk, and 9% were high-risk offenders. Sex offender supervision accounted for 7% of all active parole offenders. Less than 1% of the active parole population were under Intensive supervision, domestic violence supervision or mental health supervision.

Table 5-C provides data for parole closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). Closures include only those offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the last order to close during FY 2023 and within that order only the main case, even though an offender may have had more than one case, was included. The overall success rate for parolees was 83%, slightly higher than the 80% success rate for probationers. (see Table 5-B).

**TABLE 1-C  
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	1	25%	3	75%	4
AIKEN	4	22%	14	78%	18
ALLENDALE	0	0%	2	100%	2
ANDERSON	6	27%	16	73%	22
BAMBERG	1	100%	0	0%	1
BARNWELL	0	0%	1	100%	1
BEAUFORT	1	33%	2	67%	3
BERKELEY	4	22%	14	78%	18
CALHOUN	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON	7	29%	17	71%	24
CHEROKEE	2	15%	11	85%	13
CHESTER	0	0%	6	100%	6
CHESTERFIELD	2	25%	6	75%	8
CLARENDON	1	50%	1	50%	2
COLLETON	2	100%	0	0%	2
DARLINGTON	2	15%	11	85%	13
DILLON	3	60%	2	40%	5
DORCHESTER	2	29%	5	71%	7
EDGEFIELD	0	0%	1	100%	1
FAIRFIELD	1	25%	3	75%	4
FLORENCE	1	7%	13	93%	14
GEORGETOWN	0	0%	3	100%	3
GREENVILLE	11	27%	30	73%	41
GREENWOOD	1	20%	4	80%	5
HAMPTON	1	33%	2	67%	3
HORRY	11	22%	38	78%	49
JASPER	1	25%	3	75%	4
KERSHAW	3	50%	3	50%	6
LANCASTER	1	10%	9	90%	10
LAURENS	2	29%	5	71%	7
LEE	0	0%	1	100%	1
LEXINGTON	4	17%	20	83%	24
McCORMICK	0	0%	1	100%	1
MARION	2	40%	3	60%	5
MARLBORO	2	40%	3	60%	5
NEWBERRY	1	25%	3	75%	4
OCONEE	3	38%	5	63%	8
ORANGEBURG	3	38%	5	63%	8
PICKENS	0	0%	3	100%	3
RICHLAND	4	12%	30	88%	34
SALUDA	1	100%	0	0%	1
SPARTANBURG	7	32%	15	68%	22
SUMTER	4	25%	12	75%	16
UNION	0	0%	3	100%	3
WILLIAMSBURG	1	20%	4	80%	5
YORK	7	22%	25	78%	32
TRANSITIONAL	0	0%	4	100%	4
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>362</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>472</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 2-C  
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	100%	0%	25%	0%	75%
AIKEN	72%	28%	6%	6%	89%
ALLENDALE	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
ANDERSON	82%	18%	23%	0%	77%
BAMBERG	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
BARNWELL	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
BEAUFORT	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%
BERKELEY	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
CALHOUN	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON	88%	13%	54%	0%	46%
CHEROKEE	69%	31%	8%	8%	85%
CHESTER	50%	50%	67%	0%	33%
CHESTERFIELD	88%	13%	50%	0%	50%
CLARENDON	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
COLLETON	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
DARLINGTON	85%	15%	38%	0%	62%
DILLON	80%	20%	60%	0%	40%
DORCHESTER	71%	29%	29%	0%	71%
EDGEFIELD	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%
FAIRFIELD	100%	0%	50%	25%	25%
FLORENCE	93%	7%	71%	0%	29%
GEORGETOWN	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
GREENVILLE	80%	20%	32%	7%	61%
GREENWOOD	100%	0%	80%	0%	20%
HAMPTON	100%	0%	67%	0%	33%
HORRY	80%	20%	37%	0%	63%
JASPER	75%	25%	50%	0%	50%
KERSHAW	83%	17%	17%	0%	83%
LANCASTER	80%	20%	30%	0%	70%
LAURENS	86%	14%	14%	14%	71%
LEE	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
LEXINGTON	83%	17%	33%	0%	67%
McCORMICK	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
MARION	100%	0%	60%	0%	40%
MARLBORO	100%	0%	40%	0%	60%
NEWBERRY	100%	0%	75%	0%	25%
OCONEE	100%	0%	13%	0%	88%
ORANGEBURG	88%	13%	88%	0%	13%
PICKENS	67%	33%	0%	0%	100%
RICHLAND	91%	9%	85%	0%	15%
SALUDA	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
SPARTANBURG	82%	18%	32%	0%	68%
SUMTER	100%	0%	69%	0%	31%
UNION	100%	0%	67%	0%	33%
WILLIAMSBURG	80%	20%	60%	0%	40%
YORK	81%	19%	38%	0%	63%
TRANSITIONAL	75%	25%	25%	0%	75%
STATE TOTAL	85%	15%	44%	1%	55%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-C  
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY AGE**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Age 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Percent 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Age 25 &amp; Over</b>	<b>Percent 25 &amp; Over</b>
ABBEVILLE	1	25%	3	75%
AIKEN	0	0%	18	100%
ALLENDALE	1	50%	1	50%
ANDERSON	2	9%	20	91%
BAMBERG	0	0%	1	100%
BARNWELL	0	0%	1	100%
BEAUFORT	0	0%	3	100%
BERKELEY	4	22%	14	78%
CALHOUN	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON	5	21%	19	79%
CHEROKEE	1	8%	12	92%
CHESTER	1	17%	5	83%
CHESTERFIELD	3	38%	5	63%
CLARENDON	0	0%	2	100%
COLLETON	0	0%	2	100%
DARLINGTON	2	15%	11	85%
DILLON	2	40%	3	60%
DORCHESTER	0	0%	7	100%
EDGEFIELD	0	0%	1	100%
FAIRFIELD	0	0%	4	100%
FLORENCE	1	7%	13	93%
GEORGETOWN	0	0%	3	100%
GREENVILLE	6	15%	35	85%
GREENWOOD	2	40%	3	60%
HAMPTON	0	0%	3	100%
HORRY	6	12%	43	88%
JASPER	0	0%	4	100%
KERSHAW	1	17%	5	83%
LANCASTER	2	20%	8	80%
LAURENS	1	14%	6	86%
LEE	0	0%	1	100%
LEXINGTON	1	4%	23	96%
McCORMICK	0	0%	1	100%
MARION	0	0%	5	100%
MARLBORO	0	0%	5	100%
NEWBERRY	2	50%	2	50%
OCONEE	2	25%	6	75%
ORANGEBURG	1	13%	7	88%
PICKENS	1	33%	2	67%
RICHLAND	5	15%	29	85%
SALUDA	0	0%	1	100%
SPARTANBURG	3	14%	19	86%
SUMTER	2	13%	14	88%
UNION	1	33%	2	67%
WILLIAMSBURG	2	40%	3	60%
YORK	2	6%	30	94%
TRANSITIONAL	2	50%	2	50%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>407</b>	<b>87%</b>


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-C  
ACTIVE PAROLE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DV OFFENDER	MENTAL HEALTH	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	71%	0%	29%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7
AIKEN	84%	9%	2%	0%	5%	0%	0%	82
ALLENDALE	25%	0%	25%	0%	50%	0%	0%	4
ANDERSON	88%	6%	4%	2%	0%	0%	0%	51
BAMBERG	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2
BARNWELL	67%	11%	11%	0%	11%	0%	0%	9
BEAUFORT	43%	38%	19%	0%	0%	0%	0%	21
BERKELEY	63%	14%	13%	0%	11%	0%	0%	56
CALHOUN	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8
CHARLESTON	65%	11%	19%	0%	5%	0%	0%	100
CHEROKEE	68%	14%	14%	0%	5%	0%	0%	22
CHESTER	67%	7%	20%	0%	7%	0%	0%	15
CHESTERFIELD	55%	27%	9%	0%	9%	0%	0%	11
CLARENDON	73%	0%	0%	0%	27%	0%	0%	15
COLLETON	78%	0%	0%	0%	22%	0%	0%	9
DARLINGTON	80%	8%	8%	0%	4%	0%	0%	25
DILLON	91%	0%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11
DORCHESTER	64%	7%	25%	0%	4%	0%	0%	28
EDGEFIELD	86%	0%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7
FAIRFIELD	77%	8%	0%	0%	15%	0%	0%	13
FLORENCE	76%	12%	5%	0%	8%	0%	0%	66
GEORGETOWN	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	20
GREENVILLE	70%	12%	15%	0%	3%	0%	0%	130
GREENWOOD	86%	5%	5%	0%	5%	0%	0%	22
HAMPTON	60%	0%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5
HORRY	72%	8%	4%	1%	16%	0%	0%	116
JASPER	44%	22%	22%	0%	11%	0%	0%	9
KERSHAW	65%	12%	0%	0%	24%	0%	0%	17
LANCASTER	70%	9%	13%	0%	9%	0%	0%	23
LAURENS	73%	9%	0%	0%	18%	0%	0%	22
LEE	80%	0%	0%	0%	20%	0%	0%	5
LEXINGTON	61%	15%	17%	1%	6%	0%	0%	84
McCORMICK	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2
MARION	75%	6%	0%	0%	19%	0%	0%	16
MARLBORO	67%	17%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	12
NEWBERRY	78%	22%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9
OCONEE	76%	0%	16%	0%	8%	0%	0%	25
ORANGEBURG	92%	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	13
PICKENS	65%	17%	4%	0%	13%	0%	0%	23
RICHLAND	79%	11%	5%	0%	4%	0%	1%	146
SALUDA	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3
SPARTANBURG	88%	4%	4%	0%	4%	0%	0%	113
SUMTER	73%	9%	2%	0%	14%	0%	2%	44
UNION	38%	13%	25%	0%	25%	0%	0%	8
WILLIAMSBURG	67%	11%	22%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9
YORK	73%	9%	8%	1%	8%	0%	0%	74
TRANSITIONAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STATE TOTAL	73%	10%	9%	0%	7%	0%	0%	
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	1,110	150	137	4	109	0	2	1,512

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**FIGURE 4**  
**ACTIVE PAROLE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**  
**JUNE 30, 2023**



**PAROLE CLOSURES BY TYPE  
TABLE 5-C**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	8	89%	1	11%
AIKEN	19	95%	1	5%
ALLENDALE	1	100%	0	0%
ANDERSON	14	88%	2	13%
BAMBERG	1	100%	0	0%
BARNWELL	-	-	-	-
BEAUFORT	6	67%	3	33%
BERKELEY	13	87%	2	13%
CALHOUN	1	100%	0	0%
CHARLESTON	20	95%	1	5%
CHEROKEE	3	38%	5	63%
CHESTER	2	67%	1	33%
CHESTERFIELD	2	67%	1	33%
CLARENDON	2	100%	0	0%
COLLETON	2	100%	0	0%
DARLINGTON	4	40%	6	60%
DILLON	4	80%	1	20%
DORCHESTER	10	100%	0	0%
EDGEFIELD	2	67%	1	33%
FAIRFIELD	3	100%	0	0%
FLORENCE	31	94%	2	6%
GEORGETOWN	7	88%	1	13%
GREENVILLE	37	84%	7	16%
GREENWOOD	7	78%	2	22%
HAMPTON	5	100%	0	0%
HORRY	39	85%	7	15%
JASPER	1	50%	1	50%
KERSHAW	5	63%	3	38%
LANCASTER	9	100%	0	0%
LAURENS	10	67%	5	33%
LEE	5	100%	0	0%
LEXINGTON	13	72%	5	28%
MCCORMICK	-	-	-	-
MARION	4	57%	3	43%
MARLBORO	3	75%	1	25%
NEWBERRY	1	25%	3	75%
OCONEE	9	69%	4	31%
ORANGEBURG	9	69%	4	31%
PICKENS	4	100%	0	0%
RICHLAND	22	79%	6	21%
SALUDA	5	83%	1	17%
SPARTANBURG	26	74%	9	26%
SUMTER	32	100%	0	0%
UNION	3	100%	0	0%
WILLIAMSBURG	8	100%	0	0%
YORK	20	80%	5	20%
TRANSITIONAL	22	100%	0	0%
STATE TOTAL	454	83%	94	17%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**SECTION  
D**

**OTHER**

**FISCAL YEAR  
2023**



## OTHER

The “other” category includes YOA, Community Supervision Program, Supervised Furlough-2 (SF-2), Supervised Furlough-2A (SF-2A), Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ), and Supervised Reentry Program (SRP) cases.

- **YOA** refers to inmates aged 17 through 24, sentenced under the South Carolina Youthful Offender Act (YOA) to an indeterminate period of incarceration, not to exceed six years, within the South Carolina Department of Corrections (SCDC). *This program is being taken over by the South Carolina Department of Corrections. SCDPPPS will no longer receive admissions to this program.*
- **Community Supervision Program (CSP)** is a mandatory release program for offenders who have been sentenced to a “No Parole” offense and have served 85% of their sentence at SCDC. Offenders released to the Community Supervision Program have a two-year period of supervision. If at any time they violate the terms of supervision, a Circuit Court Judge may revoke any part of the remaining incarceration portion of the sentence for up to one year at a time.
- **SF-2** is an early release program which allows certain inmates to be released into the community to serve the last six months of their sentence. The difference between SF2 and SF-2A is most are required to be on Electronic Monitoring for the duration of their furlough under **SF-2A**.
- **DJJ** is a program where SCDPPPS supervises offenders who are at least 17 years of age, but less than 21, who have been adjudicated delinquent by a Family Court and who have been conditionally released from SCDC by the Juvenile Parole Board.
- **SRP** refers to an inmate not required to participate in CSP. Inmates who have been incarcerated for a minimum of two years shall be released to reentry supervision 180 before their release date.

Tables 1-D through 3-D represents all other admissions during FY 2023.

- Table 1-D displays other admissions by type of offense. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. Sixty (60%) of all admission in ‘other’ category were violent.
- Table 2-D describes other admissions by gender and race. Admissions were predominately male (94%) and black (57%).
- Table 3-D describes other admissions by age category. Majority (95%) of offenders were 25 years or older at time of admission.

Table 4-D and Figure 5 describe the active population for other release offenders in terms of level of supervision on June 30, 2023. Of the total active other population, 46% were supervised at standard level, 15% at medium, 24% at high, 1% at intensive, 12% at the sex offender supervision level, and 1% at the domestic violence and 1% mental health supervision levels.

Table 5-D shows 97% of other offenders closing successfully compared to 83% of the parole population (See Table 5-C) and 80% of the probation population (See Table 5-B).

**TABLE 1-D  
OTHER ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	3	38%	5	63%	8
AIKEN	28	67%	14	33%	42
ALLENDALE	2	100%	0	0%	2
ANDERSON	41	63%	24	37%	65
BAMBERG	3	50%	3	50%	6
BARNWELL	15	83%	3	17%	18
BEAUFORT	13	52%	12	48%	25
BERKELEY	46	70%	20	30%	66
CALHOUN	2	50%	2	50%	4
CHARLESTON	72	67%	35	33%	107
CHEROKEE	15	50%	15	50%	30
CHESTER	12	63%	7	37%	19
CHESTERFIELD	4	57%	3	43%	7
CLARENDON	6	55%	5	45%	11
COLLETON	6	75%	2	25%	8
DARLINGTON	8	50%	8	50%	16
DILLON	4	67%	2	33%	6
DORCHESTER	12	63%	7	37%	19
EDGEFIELD	2	67%	1	33%	3
FAIRFIELD	4	50%	4	50%	8
FLORENCE	39	52%	36	48%	75
GEORGETOWN	10	40%	15	60%	25
GREENVILLE	112	60%	75	40%	187
GREENWOOD	15	54%	13	46%	28
HAMPTON	3	75%	1	25%	4
HORRY	50	57%	38	43%	88
JASPER	4	67%	2	33%	6
KERSHAW	11	55%	9	45%	20
LANCASTER	12	55%	10	45%	22
LAURENS	6	24%	19	76%	25
LEE	5	63%	3	38%	8
LEXINGTON	31	44%	39	56%	70
McCORMICK	-	-	-	-	-
MARION	6	35%	11	65%	17
MARLBORO	1	14%	6	86%	7
NEWBERRY	5	33%	10	67%	15
OCONEE	15	58%	11	42%	26
ORANGEBURG	28	72%	11	28%	39
PICKENS	19	56%	15	44%	34
RICHLAND	98	63%	58	37%	156
SALUDA	3	50%	3	50%	6
SPARTANBURG	100	65%	53	35%	153
SUMTER	41	57%	31	43%	72
UNION	11	55%	9	45%	20
WILLIAMSBURG	9	69%	4	31%	13
YORK	49	53%	43	47%	92
TRANSITIONAL	59	89%	7	11%	66
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,040</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>704</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>1,744</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 2-D  
OTHER ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	100%	0%	25%	0%	75%
AIKEN	100%	0%	52%	0%	48%
ALLENDALE	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
ANDERSON	92%	8%	48%	3%	49%
BAMBERG	83%	17%	100%	0%	0%
BARNWELL	100%	0%	56%	0%	44%
BEAUFORT	96%	4%	64%	16%	20%
BERKELEY	92%	8%	55%	9%	36%
CALHOUN	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
CHARLESTON	95%	5%	72%	4%	24%
CHEROKEE	80%	20%	37%	0%	63%
CHESTER	95%	5%	58%	0%	42%
CHESTERFIELD	86%	14%	29%	0%	71%
CLARENDON	100%	0%	45%	9%	45%
COLLETON	100%	0%	63%	0%	38%
DARLINGTON	88%	13%	69%	0%	31%
DILLON	100%	0%	67%	0%	33%
DORCHESTER	95%	5%	47%	5%	47%
EDGEFIELD	100%	0%	33%	0%	67%
FAIRFIELD	100%	0%	75%	0%	25%
FLORENCE	97%	3%	76%	1%	23%
GEORGETOWN	96%	4%	56%	0%	44%
GREENVILLE	90%	10%	49%	7%	43%
GREENWOOD	100%	0%	64%	0%	36%
HAMPTON	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
HORRY	93%	7%	52%	5%	43%
JASPER	100%	0%	83%	17%	0%
KERSHAW	100%	0%	45%	0%	55%
LANCASTER	95%	5%	41%	5%	55%
LAURENS	96%	4%	52%	0%	48%
LEE	88%	13%	100%	0%	0%
LEXINGTON	96%	4%	36%	4%	60%
McCORMICK	-	-	-	-	-
MARION	94%	6%	94%	0%	6%
MARLBORO	100%	0%	29%	29%	43%
NEWBERRY	100%	0%	60%	7%	33%
OCONEE	81%	19%	27%	0%	73%
ORANGEBURG	97%	3%	82%	0%	18%
PICKENS	85%	15%	6%	3%	91%
RICHLAND	94%	6%	86%	1%	13%
SALUDA	67%	33%	50%	0%	50%
SPARTANBURG	92%	8%	50%	2%	48%
SUMTER	100%	0%	71%	4%	25%
UNION	100%	0%	45%	0%	55%
WILLIAMSBURG	77%	23%	77%	0%	23%
YORK	93%	7%	43%	3%	53%
TRANSITIONAL	94%	6%	58%	5%	38%
STATE TOTAL	94%	6%	57%	3%	40%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-D  
OTHER ADMISSIONS BY AGE**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Age 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Percent 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Age 25 &amp; Over</b>	<b>Percent 25 &amp; Over</b>
ABBEVILLE	0	0%	8	100%
AIKEN	1	2%	41	98%
ALLENDALE	1	50%	1	50%
ANDERSON	2	3%	63	97%
BAMBERG	1	17%	5	83%
BARNWELL	0	0%	18	100%
BEAUFORT	0	0%	25	100%
BERKELEY	10	15%	56	85%
CALHOUN	0	0%	4	100%
CHARLESTON	5	5%	102	95%
CHEROKEE	0	0%	30	100%
CHESTER	2	11%	17	89%
CHESTERFIELD	0	0%	7	100%
CLARENDON	0	0%	11	100%
COLLETON	1	13%	7	88%
DARLINGTON	1	6%	15	94%
DILLON	1	17%	5	83%
DORCHESTER	3	16%	16	84%
EDGEFIELD	0	0%	3	100%
FAIRFIELD	1	13%	7	88%
FLORENCE	2	3%	73	97%
GEORGETOWN	1	4%	24	96%
GREENVILLE	12	6%	175	94%
GREENWOOD	1	4%	27	96%
HAMPTON	0	0%	4	100%
HORRY	5	6%	83	94%
JASPER	0	0%	6	100%
KERSHAW	1	5%	19	95%
LANCASTER	2	9%	20	91%
LAURENS	1	4%	24	96%
LEE	1	13%	7	88%
LEXINGTON	2	3%	68	97%
McCORMICK	-	-	-	-
MARION	1	6%	16	94%
MARLBORO	1	14%	6	86%
NEWBERRY	1	7%	14	93%
OCONEE	0	0%	26	100%
ORANGEBURG	2	5%	37	95%
PICKENS	0	0%	34	100%
RICHLAND	9	6%	147	94%
SALUDA	0	0%	6	100%
SPARTANBURG	8	5%	145	95%
SUMTER	4	6%	68	94%
UNION	2	10%	18	90%
WILLIAMSBURG	0	0%	13	100%
YORK	5	5%	87	95%
TRANSITIONAL	3	5%	63	95%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>1,651</b>	<b>95%</b>


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-D  
ACTIVE OTHER OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DV OFFENDER	MENTAL HEALTH	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	56%	11%	11%	0%	22%	0%	0%	9
AIKEN	40%	22%	16%	0%	18%	4%	0%	45
ALLENDALE	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3
ANDERSON	51%	16%	18%	0%	12%	3%	0%	67
BAMBERG	40%	20%	20%	0%	20%	0%	0%	5
BARNWELL	55%	18%	0%	9%	18%	0%	0%	11
BEAUFORT	21%	37%	32%	0%	11%	0%	0%	19
BERKELEY	47%	22%	19%	0%	10%	0%	2%	58
CALHOUN	75%	0%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4
CHARLESTON	32%	11%	46%	1%	5%	1%	4%	105
CHEROKEE	52%	14%	19%	5%	5%	5%	0%	21
CHESTER	44%	19%	25%	0%	6%	0%	6%	16
CHESTERFIELD	33%	17%	17%	0%	33%	0%	0%	6
CLARENDON	57%	0%	29%	0%	14%	0%	0%	7
COLLETON	57%	14%	29%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7
DARLINGTON	38%	31%	31%	0%	0%	0%	0%	13
DILLON	50%	0%	33%	0%	17%	0%	0%	6
DORCHESTER	50%	15%	25%	0%	10%	0%	0%	20
EDGEFIELD	50%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2
FAIRFIELD	75%	0%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4
FLORENCE	63%	22%	9%	0%	4%	2%	0%	46
GEORGETOWN	42%	11%	26%	0%	16%	0%	5%	19
GREENVILLE	42%	16%	31%	1%	9%	1%	0%	142
GREENWOOD	25%	44%	13%	0%	13%	6%	0%	16
HAMPTON	33%	0%	33%	0%	33%	0%	0%	3
HORRY	51%	12%	27%	0%	9%	0%	1%	67
JASPER	25%	13%	50%	0%	13%	0%	0%	8
KERSHAW	44%	22%	22%	0%	11%	0%	0%	9
LANCASTER	24%	41%	29%	0%	0%	0%	6%	17
LAURENS	61%	17%	17%	0%	6%	0%	0%	18
LEE	80%	0%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5
LEXINGTON	42%	12%	33%	0%	9%	3%	0%	66
McCORMICK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARION	50%	14%	36%	0%	0%	0%	0%	14
MARLBORO	20%	0%	40%	0%	40%	0%	0%	5
NEWBERRY	50%	0%	30%	0%	20%	0%	0%	10
OCONEE	55%	7%	17%	0%	21%	0%	0%	29
ORANGEBURG	52%	13%	22%	0%	9%	4%	0%	23
PICKENS	64%	8%	12%	4%	8%	4%	0%	25
RICHLAND	56%	16%	13%	1%	11%	1%	2%	151
SALUDA	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3
SPARTANBURG	40%	10%	22%	1%	25%	1%	1%	165
SUMTER	31%	16%	20%	2%	27%	0%	4%	55
UNION	64%	9%	27%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11
WILLIAMSBURG	50%	6%	31%	0%	13%	0%	0%	16
YORK	51%	15%	19%	0%	8%	5%	1%	73
TRANSITIONAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STATE TOTAL	46%	15%	24%	1%	12%	1%	1%	
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	654	216	337	8	173	19	17	1,424
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.								

**FIGURE 5**

**ACTIVE OTHER OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION  
JUNE 30, 2023**



**TABLE 5-D  
OTHER CLOSURES BY TYPE**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	8	100%	0	0%
AIKEN	35	97%	1	3%
ALLENDALE	1	100%	0	0%
ANDERSON	49	98%	1	2%
BAMBERG	2	100%	0	0%
BARNWELL	5	100%	0	0%
BEAUFORT	16	100%	0	0%
BERKELEY	45	98%	1	2%
CALHOUN	5	100%	0	0%
CHARLESTON	90	98%	2	2%
CHEROKEE	33	100%	0	0%
CHESTER	18	100%	0	0%
CHESTERFIELD	2	100%	0	0%
CLARENDON	9	90%	1	10%
COLLETON	6	100%	0	0%
DARLINGTON	10	100%	0	0%
DILLON	2	50%	2	50%
DORCHESTER	20	91%	2	9%
EDGEFIELD	5	100%	0	0%
FAIRFIELD	11	100%	0	0%
FLORENCE	62	100%	0	0%
GEORGETOWN	20	100%	0	0%
GREENVILLE	144	95%	7	5%
GREENWOOD	36	95%	2	5%
HAMPTON	2	100%	0	0%
HORRY	77	95%	4	5%
JASPER	7	100%	0	0%
KERSHAW	20	95%	1	5%
LANCASTER	25	96%	1	4%
LAURENS	36	97%	1	3%
LEE	5	100%	0	0%
LEXINGTON	57	93%	4	7%
MCCORMICK	2	100%	0	0%
MARION	15	100%	0	0%
MARLBORO	9	100%	0	0%
NEWBERRY	5	71%	2	29%
OCONEE	11	92%	1	8%
ORANGEBURG	29	91%	3	9%
PICKENS	30	100%	0	0%
RICHLAND	143	99%	2	1%
SALUDA	5	100%	0	0%
SPARTANBURG	134	96%	5	4%
SUMTER	55	98%	1	2%
UNION	18	100%	0	0%
WILLIAMSBURG	16	100%	0	0%
YORK	64	100%	0	0%
TRANSITIONAL	72	100%	0	0%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,471</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>3%</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**SECTION E**

**SEX  
OFFENDERS**

**FISCAL YEAR 2023**



## SEX OFFENDERS

The Department is responsible for supervising those offenders sentenced to community supervision by the Court of General Sessions or released from incarceration on other supervision programs who have been convicted of sex offenses.

SCDPPPS utilizes the Sex Offender Management Program to supervise those sex offenders who are currently serving an active sentence for a sex offense. For those offenders currently under supervision for an offense that is not a sex offense but who are required to register as a sex offender for a previous offense, SCDPPPS provides general supervision according to the offender's risk assessment score. Table 1-E and Figure 6 compares the number of sex offenders supervised under general supervision (17%) with those in the Sex Offender Management Program (83%).

A male sex offender's level of supervision is determined by his score on the Static-99 risk assessment. The three levels of male sex offender (SO) supervision are SO-High, SO-Intensive, and SO-Containment. Female sex offenders are supervised at the SO-High level of supervision for the entirety of their supervision period.

## SEX OFFENDER CONTACT STANDARDS


SO-HIGH	SO-INTENSIVE	SO-CONTAINMENT
1 Home Visit Progress Audit per month	1 Home Visit Progress Audit per month	1 Home Visit Progress Audit per month
1 Home Visits OR Field Visit per month	2 Home Visits OR Field Visit per month	2 Home Visits per month
1 Employment Verification per Month	1 Employment Verification per Month	1 Employment Verification per Month
1 Face-to-Face Treatment Provider Contact per Month	1 Face-to-Face Treatment Provider Contact per Month	1 Additional Progress Audit (any type) 1 Face-to-Face Treatment Provider Contact per Month

**TABLE 1-E  
ACTIVE SEX OFFENDERS UNDER SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	SEX OFFENDER MANAGEMENT SUPERVISION		GENERAL SUPERVISION		TOTAL SEX OFFENDERS
ABBEVILLE	2	29%	5	71%	7
AIKEN	35	90%	4	10%	39
ALLENDALE	3	100%	0	0%	3
ANDERSON	41	79%	11	21%	52
BAMBERG	3	100%	0	0%	3
BARNWELL	7	78%	2	22%	9
BEAUFORT	5	71%	2	29%	7
BERKELEY	44	96%	2	4%	46
CALHOUN	3	100%	0	0%	3
CHARLESTON	52	74%	18	26%	70
CHEROKEE	13	62%	8	38%	21
CHESTER	6	86%	1	14%	7
CHESTERFIELD	6	60%	4	40%	10
CLARENDON	14	88%	2	13%	16
COLLETON	6	67%	3	33%	9
DARLINGTON	9	82%	2	18%	11
DILLON	2	100%	0	0%	2
DORCHESTER	21	84%	4	16%	25
EDGEFIELD	1	50%	1	50%	2
FAIRFIELD	6	100%	0	0%	6
FLORENCE	15	75%	5	25%	20
GEORGETOWN	7	100%	0	0%	7
GREENVILLE	69	81%	16	19%	85
GREENWOOD	7	50%	7	50%	14
HAMPTON	5	100%	0	0%	5
HORRY	73	91%	7	9%	80
JASPER	2	100%	0	0%	2
KERSHAW	16	94%	1	6%	17
LANCASTER	5	100%	0	0%	5
LAURENS	13	76%	4	24%	17
LEE	1	50%	1	50%	2
LEXINGTON	48	94%	3	6%	51
McCORMICK	-	-	-	-	-
MARION	6	86%	1	14%	7
MARLBORO	4	57%	3	43%	7
NEWBERRY	3	75%	1	25%	4
OCONEE	33	73%	12	27%	45
ORANGEBURG	5	83%	1	17%	6
PICKENS	17	65%	9	35%	26
RICHLAND	61	100%	0	0%	61
SALUDA	4	80%	1	20%	5
SPARTANBURG	80	87%	12	13%	92
SUMTER	39	91%	4	9%	43
UNION	5	71%	2	29%	7
WILLIAMSBURG	2	33%	4	67%	6
YORK	37	82%	8	18%	45
TRANSITIONAL	-	-	-	-	-
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>836</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>1,007</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**FIGURE 6**  
**ACTIVE SEX OFFENDERS UNDER SUPERVISION**  
**JUNE 30, 2023**



**SECTION F**

**VIOLATIONS**

**FISCAL YEAR 2023**

## **VIOLATIONS & CLOSURES**

Offenders charged by their supervising Agents with violations of the conditions of supervision are reviewed through a violations matrix to determine the most appropriate response while ensuring a consistent application of sanctions statewide.

Table 1-F provides data by county on the violation process. Statewide, 3,900 violation hearings were held during the fiscal year. At those hearings, 2,018 cases were continued or recommended for continuation, while 1,882 cases were revoked or recommended for revocation.

Table 2-F shows fees collected in FY 2023 as a result of the Administrative Hearing Process. Offenders pay restitution, supervision fees, and fines just prior to their Administrative Hearing to avoid incarceration. During the year, \$112,892.08 was collected in delinquent restitution payments, \$195,162.10 in supervision fees, and \$29,126.33 in court-ordered fines and fees. The total of \$337,180.51 collected demonstrates the effectiveness of the administrative hearing process in bringing offenders who have the means to become compliant with their monetary obligations.

Table 3-F provides a comparison of changes in active population and the types of closure for FY 2018 to FY 2023. Of the 14,415 closures during FY 2023, 82% closed successfully. The majority (71%) of unsuccessful closures resulted in compliance revocations.

**TABLE 1-F****VIOLATIONS BY COUNTY**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Cases Heard</b>	<b>Cases Revoked or Recommended for Revocation Cases</b>	<b>Continued or Recommended for Continuation</b>
ABBEVILLE	27	10	17
AIKEN	57	21	36
ALLENDALE	3	0	3
ANDERSON	160	74	86
BAMBERG	5	1	4
BARNWELL	8	8	0
BEAUFORT	8	3	5
BERKELEY	133	83	50
CALHOUN	20	9	11
CHARLESTON	272	145	127
CHEROKEE	287	131	156
CHESTER	23	20	3
CHESTERFIELD	3	1	2
CLARENDON	20	7	13
COLLETON	12	2	10
DARLINGTON	77	41	36
DILLON	11	7	4
DORCHESTER	123	48	75
EDGEFIELD	23	13	10
FAIRFIELD	40	14	26
FLORENCE	82	32	50
GEORGETOWN	23	7	16
GREENVILLE	464	233	231
GREENWOOD	50	27	23
HAMPTON	7	0	7
HORRY	105	49	56
JASPER	5	2	3
KERSHAW	46	27	19
LANCASTER	31	17	14
LAURENS	49	27	22
LEE	4	2	2
LEXINGTON	206	100	106
McCORMICK	1	0	1
MARION	11	8	3
MARLBORO	3	1	2
NEWBERRY	51	28	23
OCONEE	147	52	95
ORANGEBURG	53	13	40
PICKENS	128	52	76
RICHLAND	284	164	120
SALUDA	16	8	8
SPARTANBURG	382	191	191
SUMTER	106	39	67
UNION	48	31	17
WILLIAMSBURG	14	2	12
YORK	272	132	140
TRANSITIONAL			
STATE TOTAL	<b>3,900</b>	<b>1,882</b>	<b>2,018</b>

<b>TABLE 2-F</b>				
<b>FEEES COLLECTED THROUGH ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS PROCESS</b>				
<b>Month</b>	<b>Supervision Fee</b>	<b>Fine/Court Cost</b>	<b>Restitution</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>July 2022</b>	\$54,219.00	\$1,012.50	\$9,558.40	<b>\$64,789.90</b>
<b>August</b>	\$8,110.00	\$1,605.25	\$7,995.12	<b>\$17,710.37</b>
<b>September</b>	\$12,559.44	\$2,554.53	\$10,709.40	<b>\$25,823.37</b>
<b>October</b>	\$10,804.00	\$1,594.47	\$8,946.90	<b>\$21,345.37</b>
<b>November</b>	\$10,278.00	\$1,783.00	\$7,968.00	<b>\$20,029.00</b>
<b>December</b>	\$13,830.00	\$1,546.00	\$8,013.92	<b>\$23,389.92</b>
<b>January 2023</b>	\$4,781.00	\$2,115.75	\$5,038.75	<b>\$11,935.50</b>
<b>February</b>	\$16,938.50	\$1,772.25	\$3,477.00	<b>\$22,187.75</b>
<b>March</b>	\$14,698.21	\$2,926.00	\$24,678.00	<b>\$42,302.21</b>
<b>April</b>	\$24,307.00	\$2,173.58	\$10,710.19	<b>\$37,190.77</b>
<b>May</b>	\$12,326.95	\$5,257.25	\$12,744.82	<b>\$30,329.02</b>
<b>June 2023</b>	\$12,310.00	\$4,785.75	\$3,051.58	<b>\$ 20,147.33</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$195,162.10</b>	<b>\$29,126.33</b>	<b>\$112,892.08</b>	<b>\$337,180.51</b>

**CLOSURES BY TYPE**

**TABLE 3-F**

<b>FY 2023</b>	<b>Active</b>							<b>Total</b>
	<b>Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I</b>	<b>JC-I</b>	<b>Rev-C</b>	<b>Rev-T</b>	<b>Ret-CD <sup>6</sup></b>	<b>Unsuccessful</b>
Probation	20,246	9,862	7	1	279	1,762	441	2,490
Parole	1,512	454	2	0	9	83	0	94
Other Releases	1,424	1,471	27	0	1	16	0	44
<b>Total</b>	<b>23,182</b>	<b>11,787</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>289</b>	<b>1,861</b>	<b>441</b>	<b>2,628</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			1.4%	0.0%	11.0%	70.8%	16.8%	

<b>FY 2022</b>	<b>Active</b>							<b>Total</b>
	<b>Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I</b>	<b>JC-I</b>	<b>Rev-C</b>	<b>Rev-T</b>	<b>Ret-CD <sup>6</sup></b>	<b>Unsuccessful</b>
Probation	19,020	9,565	4	9	299	1,619	354	2,285
Parole	1,813	696	3	0	19	124	0	146
Other Releases	1,279	1,500	20	0	0	32	0	52
<b>Total</b>	<b>22,112</b>	<b>11,761</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>318</b>	<b>1,775</b>	<b>354</b>	<b>2,483</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			1.1%	0.4%	12.8%	71.5%	14.3%	

<b>FY 2021</b>	<b>Active</b>							<b>Total</b>
	<b>Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I</b>	<b>JC-I</b>	<b>Rev-C</b>	<b>Rev-T</b>	<b>Ret-CD <sup>6</sup></b>	<b>Unsuccessful</b>
Probation	18,533	10,983	14	2	230	1,359	304	1,909
Parole	2,441	906	2	0	22	135	0	159
Other Releases	1,318	1,483	19	0	0	22	0	41
<b>Total</b>	<b>22,292</b>	<b>13,372</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>1,516</b>	<b>304</b>	<b>2,109</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			1.7%	0.1%	11.9%	71.9%	14.4%	

<b>FY 2020</b>	<b>Active</b>							<b>Total</b>
	<b>Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I</b>	<b>JC-I</b>	<b>Rev-C</b>	<b>Rev-T</b>	<b>Ret-CD <sup>6</sup></b>	<b>Unsuccessful</b>
Probation	21,935	11,701	12	2	376	1,889	591	2,870
Parole	2,693	871	6	0	25	118	0	149
Other Releases	1,322	1,396	24	0	0	15	0	39
<b>Total</b>	<b>25,950</b>	<b>13,968</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>401</b>	<b>2,022</b>	<b>591</b>	<b>3,058</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			1.4%	0.1%	13.1%	66.1%	19.3%	

<b>FY 2019</b>	<b>Active</b>							<b>Total</b>
	<b>Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I</b>	<b>JC-I</b>	<b>Rev-C</b>	<b>Rev-T</b>	<b>Ret-CD <sup>6</sup></b>	<b>Unsuccessful</b>
Probation	24,506	11,792	10	1	427	1,983	511	2,932
Parole	2,804	836	5	0	21	159	0	185
Other Releases	1,372	1,490	27	0	1	33	0	61
<b>Total</b>	<b>28,682</b>	<b>14,118</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>449</b>	<b>2,175</b>	<b>511</b>	<b>3,178</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			1.3%	0.0%	14.1%	68.4%	16.1%	

**Footnotes:**

1 Exp-I - Expired Offender in Institution

2 JC-I - Judicial Closure in Institution

3 Rev-C - Revoke, New Conviction

4 Rev-T - Revoke, Technical Charges

5 Rev TC - Revoke, Technical Charges & New Charges Pending

6 Ret-CD Returned - Conditional Discharge

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.



**SECTION G**


**ELECTRONIC  
SURVEILLANCE**

**FISCAL YEAR 2023**

## Electronic Surveillance

The Department utilizes electronic surveillance to monitor certain offenders. On June 8, 2006, Jessie's Law, a bill aimed at protecting the state's children through tougher penalties for sexual predators was signed into law with an effective date of July 1, 2006. Named after Jessica Marie Lunsford -- who was murdered in 2005 by a registered sex offender in Florida -- the law imposes a mandatory minimum of 25 years in prison for sexual predators and mandates active Global Positioning Satellite (GPS) monitoring for sex offenders convicted of certain offenses. GPS can pinpoint within 15 meters a person's position on Earth using 24 satellites in orbit at 11,000 nautical miles above the Earth. The satellites are owned and operated by the U. S. Department of Defense and continuously transmit signals which can be detected by anyone possessing a GPS receiver. The use of Active-GPS enhances public safety and provides a more modern and efficient way to ensure accountability and enforce home detention and curfews for those offenders requiring a heightened supervision strategy. Of the 906 offenders on Active GPS on June 9, 2023, 653 (72%) offenders were on GPS under Jessie's Law.

**FIGURE 7**  
**OFFENDERS ON ACTIVE GPS**  
**FISCAL YEAR 2023**



# SECTION H

## SUMMARY

FISCAL YEAR  
2023

## SUMMARY

Table 1-H shows drug testing activity during FY 2023. This table represents the number of individual offenders tested (17,157), the number of individuals testing positive (8,158), the total number of positive tests (17,462) and the number of times offenders were tested (22,138).

Table 2-H summarizes the population characteristics of SCDPPPS offenders by supervision programs as well as offender involvement in drug testing.

### Population Overview:

- The proportion of violent offenses among parole (23%) and probation (5%) admissions in FY 2023 differed from the violent offenses among parole (26%) and probation (4%) admissions in FY 2022.
- Overall, the most utilized level of supervision was standard (57%), followed by high (18%), medium (14%), domestic violence (6%), sex offender (4%), intensive (1%) and mental health (1%) for all active cases.
- The overall success rate for all closures was 82%. The overall success rate for parolees is 83% and probationers is 80% for FY 2023.
- Of the 17,157 offenders tested for drug use while under supervision, 8,158 or 47.55% tested positive for drugs.

Figure 9 compares the number of admissions for each fiscal year from 2003 to 2023.

**TABLE 1-H  
OFFENDER DRUG TESTING**

COUNTY	INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTED	INDIVIDUALS TESTING POSITIVE	PERCENTAGE OF INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTING POSITIVE	TOTAL NUMBER OF POSITIVE TESTS	NUMBER OF TIMES OFFENDERS WERE TESTED
ABBEVILLE	142	66	46.48%	138	162
AIKEN	508	241	47.44%	510	575
ALLENDALE	31	29	93.55%	52	40
ANDERSON	614	330	53.75%	749	765
BAMBERG	51	24	47.06%	47	71
BARNWELL	67	30	44.78%	62	74
BEAUFORT	304	130	42.76%	266	727
BERKELEY	618	285	46.12%	558	683
CALHOUN	50	28	56.00%	45	52
CHARLESTON	1072	482	44.96%	882	1385
CHEROKEE	653	347	53.14%	869	985
CHESTER	112	58	51.79%	178	163
CHESTERFIELD	178	102	57.30%	239	219
CLARENDON	82	28	34.15%	54	105
COLLETON	160	83	51.88%	193	205
DARLINGTON	207	124	59.90%	259	231
DILLON	127	43	33.86%	83	143
DORCHESTER	400	165	41.25%	317	490
EDGEFIELD	112	68	60.71%	142	129
FAIRFIELD	161	81	50.31%	154	185
FLORENCE	556	236	42.45%	469	720
GEORGETOWN	161	66	40.99%	148	218
GREENVILLE	1799	846	47.03%	1879	2159
GREENWOOD	379	178	46.97%	339	495
HAMPTON	60	19	31.67%	26	66
HORRY	782	341	43.61%	616	925
JASPER	127	39	30.71%	61	389
KERSHAW	197	91	46.19%	180	240
LANCASTER	244	106	43.44%	205	279
LAURENS	316	130	41.14%	398	450
LEE	64	27	42.19%	35	73
LEXINGTON	836	468	55.98%	1207	1230
MCCORMICK	35	10	28.57%	12	35
MARION	136	67	49.26%	118	150
MARLBORO	91	45	49.45%	89	102
NEWBERRY	157	65	41.40%	147	251
OCONEE	583	288	49.40%	715	709
ORANGEBURG	480	153	31.88%	280	522
PICKENS	515	292	56.70%	810	659
RICHLAND	1017	537	52.80%	963	1311
SALUDA	49	17	34.69%	30	59
SPARTANBURG	1180	556	47.12%	1205	1577
SUMTER	469	248	52.88%	474	588
UNION	192	76	39.58%	169	227
WILLIAMSBURG	114	44	38.60%	77	127
YORK	903	450	49.83%	966	1114
CENTRAL	66	19	28.79%	47	74
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>17,157</b>	<b>8,158</b>	<b>47.55%</b>	<b>17,462</b>	<b>22,138</b>

**TABLE 2-H  
POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS**

<b>ADMISSIONS</b>								
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>Probation</b>		<b>Parole</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>Total</b>	
	<b>FY 22</b>	<b>FY 23</b>	<b>FY 22</b>	<b>FY 23</b>	<b>FY 22</b>	<b>FY 23</b>	<b>FY 22</b>	<b>FY 23</b>
<b><u>RACE:</u></b>								
BLACK	42%	43%	49%	44%	60%	57%	44%	45%
WHITE	55%	54%	50%	55%	38%	40%	53%	52%
OTHER	3%	3%	1%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%
<b><u>GENDER:</u></b>								
MALE	76%	76%	84%	85%	94%	94%	78%	78%
FEMALE	24%	24%	16%	15%	6%	6%	22%	22%
<b><u>OFFENSE TYPE:</u></b>								
VIOLENT	4%	5%	26%	23%	62%	60%	11%	11%
NON-VIOLENT	96%	95%	74%	77%	38%	40%	89%	89%


<b>ACTIVES</b>								
<b>LEVEL OF SUPERVISION:</b>	<b>Probation</b>		<b>Parole</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>Total</b>	
	<b>FY 22</b>	<b>FY 23</b>	<b>FY 22</b>	<b>FY 23</b>	<b>FY 22</b>	<b>FY 23</b>	<b>FY 22</b>	<b>FY 23</b>
STANDARD	54%	56%	70%	73%	49%	46%	55%	57%
MEDIUM	16%	15%	11%	10%	16%	15%	15%	14%
HIGH	21%	18%	13%	9%	20%	24%	20%	18%
INTENSIVE	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
SEX OFFENDER	2%	3%	6%	7%	11%	12%	3%	4%
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	6%	6%	0%	0%	1%	1%	5%	6%
MENTAL HEALTH	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%

<b>CLOSURES</b>								
<b>CASE OUTCOME:</b>	<b>Probation</b>		<b>Parole</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>Total</b>	
	<b>FY 22</b>	<b>FY 23</b>	<b>FY 22</b>	<b>FY 23</b>	<b>FY 22</b>	<b>FY 23</b>	<b>FY 22</b>	<b>FY 23</b>
SUCCESSFUL	81%	80%	83%	83%	97%	97%	83%	82%
UNSUCCESSFUL	19%	20%	17%	17%	3%	3%	17%	18%

<b>DRUG TESTING</b>		
	<b>FY 22</b>	<b>FY 23</b>
<b>INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTED</b>	14,787	17,157
<b>INDIVIDUALS TESTING POSITIVE</b>	6,053	8,158
<b>PERCENTAGE OF INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTING POSITIVE</b>	40.93%	47.55%
<b>TOTAL POSITIVE TESTS</b>	11,934	17,462
<b>NUMBER OF TIMES OFFENDERS TESTED</b>	19,214	22,138

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**FIGURE 9**  
**ADMISSIONS: A 20-YEAR COMPARISON**





**1<sup>st</sup> Printing/SCDPPPS**  
**15 copies of this document were published: \$5.07 per copy/\$76.05 total.**