



**South Carolina Department
of Probation, Parole and
Pardon Services**

**ANNUAL
STATISTICAL
REPORT**

FISCAL YEAR 2021

**JERRY B. ADGER
AGENCY DIRECTOR**

Proprietary Disclaimer

This document (including all data and statistical information represented herein) was compiled and reported by the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services. Any reproduction or republication of said report, data, or statistics is permitted only if reproduced in its entirety without modification, or omission, and must identify the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services as the source.

Jerry B. Adger, Director

293 Greystone Blvd, Columbia, SC 29210

PO BOX 207 Columbia, SC 29202

803-734-9220

www.dppps.sc.gov

Research and Evaluation

Sasha Blackmon, Statistical and Research Analyst

LaQuenta Weldon, Senior Statistical and Research Analyst

TO THE READER

Fiscal Year 2021 (FY 2021) began July 1, 2020 and ended June 30, 2021. This report provides a statistical representation of the work of 663 employees of the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services (SCDPPPS). During the fiscal year, 85% of our probationers and 85% of our parolees successfully completed supervision.

The Department operates its offender programs within a clear framework of public safety in supervising the 63,654 offenders under our legal jurisdiction. Legal jurisdiction includes offenders who were transferred out of state, absconded with active warrants, and others who are not under the active day-to-day supervision. At the end of the fiscal year, 22,292 offenders were under active supervision of the Department. The description of active supervision represents only those offenders who had at least one active case on June 30, 2021. Our responses to offender risks and needs in the community are focused to address present or potential problems that may interfere with the successful completion of supervision without compromising public safety. We maintain a fundamental belief that given support, resources, and service interventions, the offender has the ability to make positive changes in his or her life.

The following tables provide a description of the offender population and answer some commonly asked questions regarding the Department's programmatic efforts. Each table is preceded by a short description of its contents. The reader should be aware that there are different ways of reporting units of data depending upon the purpose. Admissions include only those offenders admitted to SCDPPPS who had no other active cases at the time of admission. Closures information reflects only the last order to close during the fiscal year.

In addition, due to rounding, some of the totals will not equal 100%. For additional information or clarification, please contact Sasha Blackmon in Research and Evaluation at 803-734-8058.

EXPLANATION OF PROGRAM TYPES

Probation: Includes Probation, Conditional Discharged to Probation, Probation Terminated Upon Payment (PTUP), Split Probation (admitted to probation with a split sentence from prison), and Monitor for the Court

Parole: Includes Parole .

Other: Includes YOA, Community Supervision Program, Supervised Furlough-2, Supervised Furlough-2A, Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ), and early release program cases.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION A---TOTAL POPULATION

TABLE 1-A	Total Admissions by Program Type.....	8
TABLE 2-A	Total Admissions by Type of Offense	9
TABLE 3-A	Total Admissions by Age	10
TABLE 4-A	Total Admissions by Gender & Race.....	11
FIGURE 1	Total Admissions by Gender & Race.....	12
TABLE 5-A	Active Offenders by Level of Supervision	13
FIGURE 2	Active Offenders by Level of Supervision	14
TABLE 6-A	Total Closures by Type.....	15

SECTION B---PROBATION

TABLE 1-B	Probation Admissions by Type of Offense	18
TABLE 2-B	Probation Admissions by Gender & Race	19
TABLE 3-B	Probation Admissions by Age	20
TABLE 4-B	Active Probation Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	21
FIGURE 3	Active Probation Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	22
TABLE 5-B	Probation Closures by Type.....	23

SECTION C---PAROLE

TABLE 1-C	Parole Admissions by Type of Offense.....	26
TABLE 2-C	Parole Admissions by Gender & Race	27
TABLE 3-C	Parole Admissions by Age	28
TABLE 4-C	Active Parole Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	29
FIGURE 4	Active Parole Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	30
TABLE 5-C	Parole Closures by Type.....	31

SECTION D---OTHER

TABLE 1-D	Other Admissions by Type of Offense	34
TABLE 2-D	Other Admissions by Gender & Race.....	35
TABLE 3-D	Other Admissions by Age	36
TABLE 4-D	Active Other Offenders by Level of Supervision	37
FIGURE 5	Active Other Offenders by Level of Supervision	38
TABLE 5-D	Other Closures by Type	39

SECTION E---SEX OFFENDERS

TABLE 1-E	Active Sex Offenders	42
FUGURE 6	Sex Offenders by Supervision Status	43

SECTION F---VIOLATIONS

TABLE 1-F	Violations by County	46
TABLE 2-F	Closures by Type	47
TABLE 3-F	Administrative Hearing Collections	48

SECTION G---ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE

FIGURE 7	Offenders on Active GPS	51
----------	-------------------------------	----

SECTION H---SUMMARY

FIGURE 8	Monthly DNA Collections	54
TABLE 1-H	Offender Drug Testing	55
TABLE 2-H	Population Characteristics	56
FIGURE 9	Admissions: A 20-Year Comparison	57

SECTION A

**TOTAL
POPULATION**

FISCAL YEAR 2021

TOTAL POPULATION

Tables 1-A through 4-A and Figure 1 represent the total admissions to the SCDPPPS during FY 2021. These tables count admissions to a particular sanction, and include only those offenders admitted to SCDPPPS who had no other active cases at the time of admission. These tables also include only the main case even though an offender may have been admitted with more than one case. In FY 2021, there were 12,590 admissions. A state and county total is provided for each category of admission. Within the racial categories, due to the small number of offenders classified as "Asian, Hispanic, Native American, or Other", they have been grouped together and classified as "Other".

- Table 1-A provides information on total admissions by program type. Charleston, Greenville, Richland and Spartanburg counties had the greatest number of total admissions, together accounting for 34% of all admissions.
- Table 2-A presents information on total admissions by type of offense. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. Total admissions during the fiscal year were predominately non-violent with only 13% admissions for violent offenses.
- Table 3-A describes offender admissions by age category. Majority of those admitted during FY 2021(84%) were 25 years or older at time of admission.
- Table 4-A and Figure 1 illustrate total admissions by gender and race. Admissions overall continue to be predominately male at 80%, with a racial composition of 46% black, 52% white, and 2% of other races.

Table 5-A and Figure 2 describe all active offenders by level of supervision on June 30, 2021. This total does not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. The level of supervision determines how often the Agent has contact with the offender. Standard risk supervision accounted for 56% of the active population, 15% were medium risk, and 21% were high-risk offenders. Intensive supervision represented only 1% and sex offender supervision accounted for 3% while domestic violence supervision accounted for 5% of all active offenders.

Table 6-A shows total closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). Closures include only those offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the last order to close during FY 2021 and within that order only the main case, even though an offender may have had more than one case, was included. The overall success rate for all offenders closing during FY 2021 was 86%. The unsuccessful rate, 14%, is defined as those offenders whose supervision was revoked due to a technical violation or new offense.

Note: Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 1-A
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY PROGRAM TYPE**

COUNTY	PROBATION	PERCENT PROBATION	PAROLE	PERCENT PAROLE	OTHER	PERCENT OTHER	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	70	83%	6	7%	8	10%	84
AIKEN	306	78%	46	12%	41	10%	393
ALLENDALE	13	87%	1	7%	1	7%	15
ANDERSON	258	76%	43	13%	38	11%	339
BAMBERG	23	85%	3	11%	1	4%	27
BARNWELL	41	79%	5	10%	6	12%	52
BEAUFORT	56	58%	16	16%	25	26%	97
BERKELEY	353	80%	34	8%	57	13%	444
CALHOUN	32	74%	2	5%	9	21%	43
CHARLESTON	563	79%	55	8%	94	13%	712
CHEROKEE	295	84%	25	7%	30	9%	350
CHESTER	83	75%	12	11%	15	14%	110
CHESTERFIELD	69	76%	13	14%	9	10%	91
CLARENDON	82	85%	6	6%	8	8%	96
COLLETON	50	81%	2	3%	10	16%	62
DARLINGTON	129	80%	17	11%	15	9%	161
DILLON	79	86%	5	5%	8	9%	92
DORCHESTER	219	85%	9	3%	31	12%	259
EDGEFIELD	61	86%	5	7%	5	7%	71
FAIRFIELD	81	86%	4	4%	9	10%	94
FLORENCE	175	58%	62	21%	63	21%	300
GEORGETOWN	118	72%	21	13%	24	15%	163
GREENVILLE	1297	82%	104	7%	172	11%	1,573
GREENWOOD	248	84%	20	7%	28	9%	296
HAMPTON	24	80%	1	3%	5	17%	30
HORRY	548	72%	100	13%	108	14%	756
JASPER	24	63%	8	21%	6	16%	38
KERSHAW	83	73%	16	14%	14	12%	113
LANCASTER	118	71%	27	16%	22	13%	167
LAURENS	209	85%	20	8%	18	7%	247
LEE	26	70%	4	11%	7	19%	37
LEXINGTON	388	79%	45	9%	59	12%	492
McCORMICK	29	97%	1	3%	0	0%	30
MARION	66	67%	16	16%	17	17%	99
MARLBORO	66	79%	10	12%	8	10%	84
NEWBERRY	86	82%	6	6%	13	12%	105
OCONEE	240	90%	19	7%	9	3%	268
ORANGEBURG	243	80%	16	5%	46	15%	305
PICKENS	472	90%	12	2%	39	7%	523
RICHLAND	629	74%	67	8%	149	18%	845
SALUDA	43	83%	2	4%	7	13%	52
SPARTANBURG	915	80%	92	8%	130	11%	1,137
SUMTER	242	71%	36	11%	61	18%	339
UNION	85	70%	12	10%	25	20%	122
WILLIAMSBURG	52	75%	6	9%	11	16%	69
YORK	562	79%	71	10%	82	11%	715
TRANSITIONAL	17	18%	29	31%	47	51%	93
STATE TOTAL	9,868	78%	1,132	9%	1,590	13%	12,590

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 2-A
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	7	8%	77	92%	84
AIKEN	45	11%	348	89%	393
ALLENDALE	2	13%	13	87%	15
ANDERSON	43	13%	296	87%	339
BAMBERG	4	15%	23	85%	27
BARNWELL	8	15%	44	85%	52
BEAUFORT	14	14%	83	86%	97
BERKELEY	66	15%	378	85%	444
CALHOUN	11	26%	32	74%	43
CHARLESTON	108	15%	604	85%	712
CHEROKEE	41	12%	309	88%	350
CHESTER	13	12%	97	88%	110
CHESTERFIELD	10	11%	81	89%	91
CLARENDON	7	7%	89	93%	96
COLLETON	13	21%	49	79%	62
DARLINGTON	18	11%	143	89%	161
DILLON	13	14%	79	86%	92
DORCHESTER	26	10%	233	90%	259
EDGEFIELD	9	13%	62	87%	71
FAIRFIELD	7	7%	87	93%	94
FLORENCE	46	15%	254	85%	300
GEORGETOWN	23	14%	140	86%	163
GREENVILLE	185	12%	1388	88%	1573
GREENWOOD	29	10%	267	90%	296
HAMPTON	4	13%	26	87%	30
HORRY	116	15%	640	85%	756
JASPER	8	21%	30	79%	38
KERSHAW	15	13%	98	87%	113
LANCASTER	13	8%	154	92%	167
LAURENS	20	8%	227	92%	247
LEE	6	16%	31	84%	37
LEXINGTON	67	14%	425	86%	492
McCORMICK	0	0%	30	100%	30
MARION	13	13%	86	87%	99
MARLBORO	5	6%	79	94%	84
NEWBERRY	10	10%	95	90%	105
OCONEE	23	9%	245	91%	268
ORANGEBURG	59	19%	246	81%	305
PICKENS	38	7%	485	93%	523
RICHLAND	139	16%	706	84%	845
SALUDA	3	6%	49	94%	52
SPARTANBURG	178	16%	959	84%	1137
SUMTER	51	15%	288	85%	339
UNION	16	13%	106	87%	122
WILLIAMSBURG	10	14%	59	86%	69
YORK	76	11%	639	89%	715
TRANSITIONAL	54	58%	39	42%	93
STATE TOTAL	1,672	13%	10,918	87%	12,590

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-A
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY AGE**

COUNTY	Age 24 & Under	Percent 24 & Under	Age 25 & Over	Percent 25 & Over
ABBEVILLE	14	17%	70	83%
AIKEN	70	18%	323	82%
ALLENDALE	4	27%	11	73%
ANDERSON	37	11%	302	89%
BAMBERG	7	26%	20	74%
BARNWELL	3	6%	49	94%
BEAUFORT	16	16%	81	84%
BERKELEY	56	13%	388	87%
CALHOUN	5	12%	38	88%
CHARLESTON	131	18%	581	82%
CHEROKEE	58	17%	292	83%
CHESTER	18	16%	92	84%
CHESTERFIELD	16	18%	75	82%
CLARENDON	21	22%	75	78%
COLLETON	7	11%	55	89%
DARLINGTON	24	15%	137	85%
DILLON	15	16%	77	84%
DORCHESTER	40	15%	219	85%
EDGEFIELD	13	18%	58	82%
FAIRFIELD	19	20%	75	80%
FLORENCE	38	13%	262	87%
GEORGETOWN	18	11%	145	89%
GREENVILLE	202	13%	1371	87%
GREENWOOD	52	18%	244	82%
HAMPTON	10	33%	20	67%
HORRY	113	15%	643	85%
JASPER	8	21%	30	79%
KERSHAW	21	19%	92	81%
LANCASTER	29	17%	138	83%
LAURENS	43	17%	204	83%
LEE	4	11%	33	89%
LEXINGTON	60	12%	432	88%
McCORMICK	11	37%	19	63%
MARION	11	11%	88	89%
MARLBORO	17	20%	67	80%
NEWBERRY	19	18%	86	82%
OCONEE	32	12%	236	88%
ORANGEBURG	63	21%	242	79%
PICKENS	69	13%	454	87%
RICHLAND	193	23%	652	77%
SALUDA	8	15%	44	85%
SPARTANBURG	139	12%	998	88%
SUMTER	59	17%	280	83%
UNION	19	16%	103	84%
WILLIAMSBURG	11	16%	58	84%
YORK	120	17%	595	83%
TRANSITIONAL	10	11%	83	89%
STATE TOTAL	1,953	16%	10,637	84%


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-A
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**

COUNTY	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT
	Male	Female	Black	Other	White
ABBEVILLE	79%	21%	42%	0%	58%
AIKEN	78%	22%	38%	3%	59%
ALLENDALE	100%	0%	73%	0%	27%
ANDERSON	76%	24%	24%	1%	75%
BAMBERG	89%	11%	70%	0%	30%
BARNWELL	81%	19%	54%	0%	46%
BEAUFORT	81%	19%	54%	3%	43%
BERKELEY	80%	20%	40%	2%	59%
CALHOUN	86%	14%	49%	0%	51%
CHARLESTON	87%	13%	65%	2%	33%
CHEROKEE	78%	22%	26%	2%	72%
CHESTER	78%	22%	45%	1%	54%
CHESTERFIELD	84%	16%	51%	2%	47%
CLARENDON	75%	25%	53%	0%	47%
COLLETON	81%	19%	39%	0%	61%
DARLINGTON	77%	23%	50%	1%	49%
DILLON	83%	17%	58%	5%	37%
DORCHESTER	78%	22%	42%	3%	55%
EDGEFIELD	82%	18%	45%	1%	54%
FAIRFIELD	81%	19%	71%	3%	26%
FLORENCE	86%	14%	63%	1%	36%
GEORGETOWN	83%	17%	61%	1%	39%
GREENVILLE	78%	22%	42%	5%	53%
GREENWOOD	78%	22%	50%	2%	49%
HAMPTON	77%	23%	67%	0%	33%
HORRY	81%	19%	40%	2%	58%
JASPER	84%	16%	76%	8%	16%
KERSHAW	76%	24%	37%	0%	63%
LANCASTER	77%	23%	37%	1%	62%
LAURENS	78%	22%	28%	1%	70%
LEE	81%	19%	73%	0%	27%
LEXINGTON	83%	17%	31%	2%	67%
McCORMICK	90%	10%	73%	0%	27%
MARION	85%	15%	78%	1%	21%
MARLBORO	83%	17%	75%	5%	20%
NEWBERRY	85%	15%	56%	2%	42%
OCONEE	74%	26%	15%	1%	84%
ORANGEBURG	84%	16%	75%	1%	24%
PICKENS	74%	26%	13%	2%	85%
RICHLAND	84%	16%	76%	2%	21%
SALUDA	81%	19%	50%	0%	50%
SPARTANBURG	79%	21%	40%	4%	57%
SUMTER	82%	18%	69%	1%	30%
UNION	70%	30%	37%	1%	62%
WILLIAMSBURG	83%	17%	71%	0%	29%
YORK	77%	23%	38%	2%	60%
TRANSITIONAL	90%	10%	54%	3%	43%
STATE TOTAL	80%	20%	46%	2%	52%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 1
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE
FISCAL YEAR 2021




**TABLE 5-A
ACTIVE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	MENTAL HEALTH	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	80%	9%	8%	1%	2%	0%	0%	170
AIKEN	72%	10%	14%	1%	4%	0%	0%	800
ALLENDALE	90%	3%	5%	3%	0%	0%	0%	40
ANDERSON	60%	15%	16%	1%	3%	6%	0%	1,196
BAMBERG	66%	12%	18%	2%	2%	0%	0%	50
BARNWELL	56%	8%	30%	1%	5%	0%	0%	84
BEAUFORT	45%	26%	27%	0%	3%	0%	0%	152
BERKELEY	65%	10%	19%	1%	4%	0%	0%	941
CALHOUN	79%	10%	5%	0%	6%	0%	0%	82
CHARLESTON	45%	18%	26%	1%	3%	7%	0%	1,582
CHEROKEE	33%	27%	21%	1%	5%	14%	0%	482
CHESTER	59%	15%	22%	1%	3%	0%	0%	179
CHESTERFIELD	74%	14%	9%	1%	2%	0%	0%	116
CLARENDON	63%	14%	17%	0%	6%	0%	0%	149
COLLETON	61%	19%	13%	1%	5%	0%	0%	190
DARLINGTON	70%	12%	14%	0%	3%	0%	0%	247
DILLON	85%	3%	9%	0%	2%	0%	0%	130
DORCHESTER	61%	11%	14%	2%	4%	8%	0%	531
EDGEFIELD	71%	8%	18%	0%	3%	0%	0%	147
FAIRFIELD	72%	11%	11%	1%	5%	0%	0%	146
FLORENCE	56%	30%	11%	0%	3%	0%	0%	489
GEORGETOWN	73%	6%	18%	1%	3%	0%	0%	255
GREENVILLE	37%	22%	30%	0%	3%	8%	0%	2,642
GREENWOOD	56%	10%	15%	0%	2%	17%	0%	459
HAMPTON	70%	7%	17%	0%	7%	0%	0%	76
HORRY	74%	7%	12%	0%	7%	0%	0%	1,127
JASPER	15%	47%	31%	2%	6%	0%	0%	62
KERSHAW	57%	16%	19%	2%	6%	0%	0%	206
LANCASTER	59%	17%	22%	0%	2%	0%	0%	241
LAURENS	61%	14%	13%	1%	2%	8%	0%	409
LEE	76%	5%	13%	0%	6%	0%	0%	62
LEXINGTON	38%	18%	34%	1%	3%	5%	0%	1,051
McCORMICK	89%	6%	4%	0%	2%	0%	0%	53
MARION	84%	8%	3%	2%	4%	0%	0%	128
MARLBORO	68%	9%	20%	2%	2%	0%	0%	105
NEWBERRY	61%	19%	15%	1%	3%	0%	0%	139
OCONEE	67%	8%	21%	0%	3%	0%	0%	636
ORANGEBURG	69%	6%	13%	1%	3%	8%	0%	630
PICKENS	51%	11%	35%	0%	3%	0%	0%	790
RICHLAND	51%	14%	26%	2%	4%	5%	0%	1,296
SALUDA	75%	11%	9%	3%	2%	0%	0%	65
SPARTANBURG	52%	12%	21%	1%	4%	10%	0%	2,046
SUMTER	68%	14%	13%	0%	5%	0%	0%	547
UNION	57%	17%	25%	0%	1%	0%	0%	182
WILLIAMSBURG	73%	14%	10%	1%	2%	0%	0%	153
YORK	54%	16%	17%	1%	3%	9%	0%	1,029
TRANSITIONAL	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
STATE TOTAL	56%	15%	21%	1%	3%	5%	0%	---
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	12,395	3,240	4,623	186	771	1,076	1	22,292

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 2
ACTIVE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION
JUNE 30, 2021



CONTACT STANDARDS

- | STANDARD | MEDIUM | HIGH | INTENSIVE | DOMESTIC VIOLENCE |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Following the initial home contact, the primary form of communication with this supervision level should be of electronic/ remote means. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One home visit progress audit (HVPA) every other month. One Agent Discretion Contact during an HVPA is not conducted. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Two contacts every month, one of which must be a home visit progress audit (HVPA). One Agent Discretion Contact per month. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Three contacts every month, two of which must be home visit progress audit (HVPA). One Agent Discretion Contact per month. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One HVPA or FVPA per month |

**TABLE 6-A
TOTAL CLOSURES BY TYPE**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	104	97%	3	3%
AIKEN	469	78%	131	22%
ALLENDALE	34	94%	2	6%
ANDERSON	578	84%	113	16%
BAMBERG	37	88%	5	12%
BARNWELL	53	84%	10	16%
BEAUFORT	143	82%	31	18%
BERKELEY	594	93%	43	7%
CALHOUN	49	88%	7	13%
CHARLESTON	853	92%	74	8%
CHEROKEE	390	84%	75	16%
CHESTER	121	86%	20	14%
CHESTERFIELD	105	96%	4	4%
CLARENDON	99	91%	10	9%
COLLETON	141	89%	17	11%
DARLINGTON	204	92%	17	8%
DILLON	72	86%	12	14%
DORCHESTER	296	91%	30	9%
EDGEFIELD	62	83%	13	17%
FAIRFIELD	115	96%	5	4%
FLORENCE	362	86%	59	14%
GEORGETOWN	134	86%	22	14%
GREENVILLE	1498	84%	282	16%
GREENWOOD	303	87%	45	13%
HAMPTON	48	98%	1	2%
HORRY	535	81%	124	19%
JASPER	99	89%	12	11%
KERSHAW	148	84%	28	16%
LANCASTER	198	93%	14	7%
LAURENS	324	86%	54	14%
LEE	59	89%	7	11%
LEXINGTON	472	82%	105	18%
MCCORMICK	22	96%	1	4%
MARION	132	94%	8	6%
MARLBORO	57	85%	10	15%
NEWBERRY	93	75%	31	25%
OCONEE	208	86%	35	14%
ORANGEBURG	331	91%	31	9%
PICKENS	422	88%	59	12%
RICHLAND	745	84%	146	16%
SALUDA	41	82%	9	18%
SPARTANBURG	1108	81%	254	19%
SUMTER	334	87%	52	13%
UNION	107	78%	31	22%
WILLIAMSBURG	85	85%	15	15%
YORK	664	93%	52	7%
TRANSITIONAL	324	100%	0	0%
STATE TOTAL	13,372	86%	2,109	14%
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.				

SECTION B

PROBATION

FISCAL YEAR

2021

PROBATION

The Department is charged with the responsibility of supervising those offenders placed on probation by the Court. Probation is a court-ordered community sanction which suspends the imposition of all or part of the original sentence of incarceration. It requires the offender, under SCDPPPS supervision in the community, to adhere to a set of conditions which limit the offender's freedom, to make reparation to victims if so ordered, and to provide for judicial revocation for violation of those conditions.

Tables 1-B through 3-B represents all probation admissions during FY 2021. Probation includes Probation, PTUP (Probation Terminated upon Payment), Split Probation admitted to probation with a split sentence from prison, and Monitor for the Court.

- Table 1-B shows probation admissions in terms of offense type, violent or non-violent. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. For FY 2021, 5% of all probation admissions were for violent offenses.
- Table 2-B provides information on probation admissions by gender and race. Probation admissions were predominately male (77%) with a racial composition of 54% white, 44% black, and 2% other.
- Table 3-B reflects probation admissions by age category. Majority (82%) of offenders were 25 years or older at time of admission.

Table 4-B and Figure 3 describe active probation offenders by level of supervision on June 30, 2021. This total does not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. Standard risk supervision accounted for 55% of the active population, 15% were medium risk, and 21% were high-risk offenders. Intensive supervision represented only 1%, sex offender supervision accounted for 3%, and domestic violence supervision accounted for 6% of all active probation offenders.

Table 5-B provides data for probation closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). Closures include only those offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the last order to close during FY 2021 and within that order only the main case, even though an offender may have had more than one case, was included. The overall success rate for probationers was 85%, slightly lower than the total offender population success rate of 86%.

**TABLE 1-B
PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	1	1%	69	99%	70
AIKEN	16	5%	290	95%	306
ALLENDALE	1	8%	12	92%	13
ANDERSON	12	5%	246	95%	258
BAMBERG	2	9%	21	91%	23
BARNWELL	2	5%	39	95%	41
BEAUFORT	1	2%	55	98%	56
BERKELEY	21	6%	332	94%	353
CALHOUN	3	9%	29	91%	32
CHARLESTON	33	6%	530	94%	563
CHEROKEE	23	8%	272	92%	295
CHESTER	4	5%	79	95%	83
CHESTERFIELD	3	4%	66	96%	69
CLARENDON	2	2%	80	98%	82
COLLETON	4	8%	46	92%	50
DARLINGTON	9	7%	120	93%	129
DILLON	6	8%	73	92%	79
DORCHESTER	8	4%	211	96%	219
EDGEFIELD	2	3%	59	97%	61
FAIRFIELD	1	1%	80	99%	81
FLORENCE	12	7%	163	93%	175
GEORGETOWN	3	3%	115	97%	118
GREENVILLE	52	4%	1245	96%	1297
GREENWOOD	13	5%	235	95%	248
HAMPTON	1	4%	23	96%	24
HORRY	39	7%	509	93%	548
JASPER	2	8%	22	92%	24
KERSHAW	4	5%	79	95%	83
LANCASTER	5	4%	113	96%	118
LAURENS	9	4%	200	96%	209
LEE	0	0%	26	100%	26
LEXINGTON	20	5%	368	95%	388
McCORMICK	0	0%	29	100%	29
MARION	4	6%	62	94%	66
MARLBORO	2	3%	64	97%	66
NEWBERRY	4	5%	82	95%	86
OCONEE	15	6%	225	94%	240
ORANGEBURG	19	8%	224	92%	243
PICKENS	16	3%	456	97%	472
RICHLAND	28	4%	601	96%	629
SALUDA	0	0%	43	100%	43
SPARTANBURG	70	8%	845	92%	915
SUMTER	13	5%	229	95%	242
UNION	2	2%	83	98%	85
WILLIAMSBURG	1	2%	51	98%	52
YORK	32	6%	530	94%	562
TRANSITIONAL	1	6%	16	94%	17
STATE TOTAL	521	5%	9,347	95%	9,868

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE
TABLE 2-B**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	79%	21%	40%	0%	60%
AIKEN	77%	26%	37%	3%	61%
ALLENDALE	62%	0%	48%	0%	23%
ANDERSON	74%	28%	19%	2%	80%
BAMBERG	74%	11%	56%	0%	35%
BARNWELL	76%	24%	49%	0%	51%
BEAUFORT	80%	24%	46%	4%	52%
BERKELEY	77%	24%	37%	1%	62%
CALHOUN	73%	14%	32%	0%	63%
CHARLESTON	86%	16%	65%	2%	34%
CHEROKEE	75%	23%	24%	2%	74%
CHESTER	77%	18%	44%	1%	53%
CHESTERFIELD	81%	21%	50%	3%	48%
CLARENDON	71%	26%	52%	0%	46%
COLLETON	76%	24%	36%	0%	64%
DARLINGTON	76%	25%	49%	1%	50%
DILLON	84%	21%	61%	6%	35%
DORCHESTER	75%	25%	39%	3%	58%
EDGEFIELD	76%	17%	42%	2%	52%
FAIRFIELD	81%	20%	73%	2%	26%
FLORENCE	82%	18%	64%	1%	35%
GEORGETOWN	81%	18%	59%	0%	41%
GREENVILLE	80%	25%	44%	5%	54%
GREENWOOD	61%	20%	40%	2%	49%
HAMPTON	61%	25%	57%	0%	33%
HORRY	78%	23%	37%	1%	62%
JASPER	64%	21%	61%	13%	17%
KERSHAW	67%	29%	35%	0%	64%
LANCASTER	72%	29%	35%	1%	64%
LAURENS	76%	25%	26%	1%	73%
LEE	66%	24%	59%	0%	35%
LEXINGTON	81%	20%	29%	2%	70%
McCORMICK	74%	9%	60%	0%	28%
MARION	80%	20%	74%	0%	26%
MARLBORO	85%	21%	79%	6%	20%
NEWBERRY	81%	17%	50%	2%	47%
OCONEE	73%	28%	14%	1%	85%
ORANGEBURG	80%	19%	75%	0%	24%
PICKENS	73%	28%	13%	1%	86%
RICHLAND	82%	19%	77%	2%	22%
SALUDA	62%	16%	36%	0%	53%
SPARTANBURG	79%	25%	40%	4%	58%
SUMTER	68%	21%	61%	1%	30%
UNION	61%	35%	34%	0%	65%
WILLIAMSBURG	77%	23%	69%	0%	31%
YORK	76%	26%	36%	2%	63%
TRANSITIONAL	54%	7%	29%	0%	53%
STATE TOTAL	77%	23%	44%	2%	54%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-B
PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY AGE**


COUNTY	Age 24 & Under	Percent 24 & Under	Age 25 & Over	Percent 25 & Over
ABBEVILLE	12	17%	58	83%
AIKEN	63	21%	243	79%
ALLENDALE	4	31%	9	69%
ANDERSON	32	12%	226	88%
BAMBERG	7	30%	16	70%
BARNWELL	2	5%	39	95%
BEAUFORT	14	25%	42	75%
BERKELEY	52	15%	301	85%
CALHOUN	4	13%	28	88%
CHARLESTON	120	21%	443	79%
CHEROKEE	56	19%	239	81%
CHESTER	15	18%	68	82%
CHESTERFIELD	15	22%	54	78%
CLARENDON	20	24%	62	76%
COLLETON	7	14%	43	86%
DARLINGTON	22	17%	107	83%
DILLON	15	19%	64	81%
DORCHESTER	37	17%	182	83%
EDGEFIELD	13	21%	48	79%
FAIRFIELD	16	20%	65	80%
FLORENCE	25	14%	150	86%
GEORGETOWN	18	15%	100	85%
GREENVILLE	182	14%	1115	86%
GREENWOOD	48	19%	200	81%
HAMPTON	9	38%	15	63%
HORRY	93	17%	455	83%
JASPER	4	17%	20	83%
KERSHAW	19	23%	64	77%
LANCASTER	22	19%	96	81%
LAURENS	34	16%	175	84%
LEE	2	8%	24	92%
LEXINGTON	50	13%	338	87%
McCORMICK	11	38%	18	62%
MARION	7	11%	59	89%
MARLBORO	14	21%	52	79%
NEWBERRY	16	19%	70	81%
OCONEE	32	13%	208	87%
ORANGEBURG	59	24%	184	76%
PICKENS	65	14%	407	86%
RICHLAND	176	28%	453	72%
SALUDA	8	19%	35	81%
SPARTANBURG	134	15%	781	85%
SUMTER	49	20%	193	80%
UNION	17	20%	68	80%
WILLIAMSBURG	10	19%	42	81%
YORK	108	19%	454	81%
TRANSITIONAL	4	24%	13	76%
STATE TOTAL	1,742	18%	8,126	82%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-B
ACTIVE PROBATION OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	MENTAL HEALTH	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	80%	8%	9%	1%	1%	0%	0%	146
AIKEN	72%	9%	15%	1%	3%	0%	0%	651
ALLENDALE	92%	0%	5%	3%	0%	0%	0%	38
ANDERSON	60%	15%	16%	1%	2%	6%	0%	1,066
BAMBERG	68%	9%	18%	2%	2%	0%	0%	44
BARNWELL	55%	8%	31%	1%	4%	0%	0%	71
BEAUFORT	50%	23%	25%	0%	3%	0%	0%	105
BERKELEY	66%	10%	20%	1%	4%	0%	0%	807
CALHOUN	78%	12%	4%	0%	6%	0%	0%	68
CHARLESTON	43%	18%	28%	1%	2%	8%	0%	1,339
CHEROKEE	32%	26%	21%	1%	4%	16%	0%	421
CHESTER	58%	15%	22%	1%	3%	0%	0%	148
CHESTERFIELD	78%	12%	8%	1%	1%	0%	0%	95
CLARENDON	66%	13%	17%	0%	3%	0%	0%	122
COLLETON	62%	19%	14%	1%	4%	0%	0%	162
DARLINGTON	69%	12%	16%	1%	3%	0%	0%	192
DILLON	85%	4%	10%	0%	1%	0%	0%	109
DORCHESTER	59%	11%	15%	2%	4%	9%	0%	467
EDGEFIELD	70%	9%	19%	0%	2%	0%	0%	125
FAIRFIELD	72%	10%	12%	2%	4%	0%	0%	123
FLORENCE	54%	32%	11%	1%	2%	0%	0%	338
GEORGETOWN	75%	5%	17%	1%	2%	0%	0%	187
GREENVILLE	35%	23%	31%	0%	2%	9%	0%	2,317
GREENWOOD	55%	10%	14%	1%	1%	19%	0%	397
HAMPTON	76%	3%	14%	0%	6%	0%	0%	63
HORRY	76%	7%	11%	0%	5%	0%	0%	854
JASPER	17%	50%	31%	0%	2%	0%	0%	42
KERSHAW	56%	16%	22%	3%	4%	0%	0%	162
LANCASTER	58%	17%	23%	1%	1%	0%	0%	185
LAURENS	60%	14%	14%	1%	1%	9%	0%	344
LEE	79%	4%	15%	0%	2%	0%	0%	47
LEXINGTON	36%	19%	35%	1%	3%	6%	0%	891
McCORMICK	90%	4%	4%	0%	2%	0%	0%	48
MARION	88%	7%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	94
MARLBORO	72%	6%	19%	2%	1%	0%	0%	86
NEWBERRY	64%	17%	15%	2%	3%	0%	0%	116
OCONEE	68%	8%	22%	0%	2%	0%	0%	577
ORANGEBURG	68%	6%	14%	1%	2%	9%	0%	520
PICKENS	52%	11%	35%	0%	2%	0%	0%	723
RICHLAND	49%	14%	26%	2%	3%	6%	0%	960
SALUDA	75%	9%	11%	4%	2%	0%	0%	56
SPARTANBURG	50%	13%	22%	1%	2%	12%	0%	1,733
SUMTER	69%	14%	14%	0%	3%	0%	0%	407
UNION	62%	17%	21%	0%	0%	0%	0%	146
WILLIAMSBURG	71%	14%	13%	1%	1%	0%	0%	119
YORK	55%	15%	15%	1%	3%	11%	0%	822
TRANSITIONAL	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
STATE TOTAL	55%	15%	21%	1%	3%	6%	0%	
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	10,179	2,696	3,960	159	482	1,056	1	18,533
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.								

FIGURE 3
ACTIVE PROBATION OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION
JUNE 30, 2021



**PROBATION CLOSURES BY TYPE
TABLE 5-B**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	81	96%	3	4%
AIKEN	382	75%	128	25%
ALLENDALE	30	94%	2	6%
ANDERSON	506	82%	109	18%
BAMBERG	31	86%	5	14%
BARNWELL	42	81%	10	19%
BEAUFORT	112	84%	22	16%
BERKELEY	526	93%	40	7%
CALHOUN	44	88%	6	12%
CHARLESTON	689	92%	63	8%
CHEROKEE	349	83%	71	17%
CHESTER	97	85%	17	15%
CHESTERFIELD	85	97%	3	3%
CLARENDON	89	91%	9	9%
COLLETON	123	88%	17	12%
DARLINGTON	175	94%	12	6%
DILLON	53	83%	11	17%
DORCHESTER	247	90%	27	10%
EDGEFIELD	53	83%	11	17%
FAIRFIELD	107	96%	4	4%
FLORENCE	238	85%	41	15%
GEORGETOWN	96	82%	21	18%
GREENVILLE	1258	83%	263	17%
GREENWOOD	262	87%	38	13%
HAMPTON	43	98%	1	2%
HORRY	384	77%	112	23%
JASPER	87	92%	8	8%
KERSHAW	129	82%	28	18%
LANCASTER	169	93%	12	7%
LAURENS	275	85%	48	15%
LEE	51	88%	7	12%
LEXINGTON	387	80%	99	20%
MCCORMICK	20	95%	1	5%
MARION	98	95%	5	5%
MARLBORO	45	85%	8	15%
NEWBERRY	76	75%	26	25%
OCONEE	185	85%	33	15%
ORANGEBURG	291	91%	28	9%
PICKENS	383	88%	53	12%
RICHLAND	556	81%	127	19%
SALUDA	26	81%	6	19%
SPARTANBURG	919	79%	243	21%
SUMTER	241	84%	47	16%
UNION	76	72%	29	28%
WILLIAMSBURG	65	86%	11	14%
YORK	558	93%	44	7%
TRANSITIONAL	244	100%	0	0%
STATE TOTAL	10,983	85%	1,909	15%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

SECTION C

PAROLE

**FISCAL YEAR
2021**

PAROLE

The Department is charged with the responsibility of supervising those offenders paroled by the South Carolina Board of Pardons and Paroles. Parole is the conditional release of an individual from imprisonment, but not from the legal custody of the state, to complete his or her sentence outside a correctional institution under conditions and provisions of supervision determined by the Board. Should an individual be granted parole, he or she must agree to abide by certain conditions of community supervision. The violation of any of these conditions is sufficient grounds for revocation of parole by the Board, and the imposition of the remainder of the original sentence of incarceration.

Tables 1-C through 3-C represents all parole admissions during FY 2021.

- Table 1-C shows parole admissions by type of offense. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. A larger percent of parole admissions, 21%, fall into the violent category, as compared to 5% for probation admissions (see Table 1-B).
- Table 2-C describes all parole admissions by gender and race. Parole admissions consisted primarily of males (85%) with a racial composition of 47% black, 51% white, and 2% other.

Table 3-C reflects parole admissions by age category. Majority (91%) of offenders were 25 years or older at time of admission.

Table 4-C and Figure 4 describe active parolees by level of supervision on June 30, 2021. This total does not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. Standard risk supervision accounted for 64% of the active population, 13% were medium risk, and 17% were high-risk offenders. Intensive supervision represented only 1% and sex offender supervision accounted for 5% of all active parole offenders. Less than 1% of the active parole population were under domestic violence supervision or mental health supervision.

Table 5-C provides data for parole closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). Closures include only those offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the last order to close during FY 2021 and within that order only the main case, even though an offender may have had more than one case, was included. The overall success rate for parolees (85%) was the same as that of probationers (85%, see Table 5-B).

**TABLE 1-C
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	1	17%	5	83%	6
AIKEN	7	15%	39	85%	46
ALLENDALE	0	0%	1	100%	1
ANDERSON	7	16%	36	84%	43
BAMBERG	2	67%	1	33%	3
BARNWELL	2	40%	3	60%	5
BEAUFORT	6	38%	10	63%	16
BERKELEY	12	35%	22	65%	34
CALHOUN	1	50%	1	50%	2
CHARLESTON	21	38%	34	62%	55
CHEROKEE	1	4%	24	96%	25
CHESTER	2	17%	10	83%	12
CHESTERFIELD	3	23%	10	77%	13
CLARENDON	0	0%	6	100%	6
COLLETON	2	100%	0	0%	2
DARLINGTON	2	12%	15	88%	17
DILLON	1	20%	4	80%	5
DORCHESTER	1	11%	8	89%	9
EDGEFIELD	4	80%	1	20%	5
FAIRFIELD	0	0%	4	100%	4
FLORENCE	9	15%	53	85%	62
GEORGETOWN	2	10%	19	90%	21
GREENVILLE	25	24%	79	76%	104
GREENWOOD	2	10%	18	90%	20
HAMPTON	1	100%	0	0%	1
HORRY	14	14%	86	86%	100
JASPER	2	25%	6	75%	8
KERSHAW	2	13%	14	88%	16
LANCASTER	2	7%	25	93%	27
LAURENS	3	15%	17	85%	20
LEE	3	75%	1	25%	4
LEXINGTON	12	27%	33	73%	45
McCORMICK	0	0%	1	100%	1
MARION	4	25%	12	75%	16
MARLBORO	2	20%	8	80%	10
NEWBERRY	0	0%	6	100%	6
OCONEE	4	21%	15	79%	19
ORANGEBURG	5	31%	11	69%	16
PICKENS	1	8%	11	92%	12
RICHLAND	18	27%	49	73%	67
SALUDA	0	0%	2	100%	2
SPARTANBURG	23	25%	69	75%	92
SUMTER	10	28%	26	72%	36
UNION	0	0%	12	100%	12
WILLIAMSBURG	1	17%	5	83%	6
YORK	8	11%	63	89%	71
TRANSITIONAL	10	34%	19	66%	29
STATE TOTAL	238	21%	894	79%	1,132

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 2-C
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	50%	50%	50%	0%	50%
AIKEN	89%	16%	39%	0%	61%
ALLENDALE	33%	0%	0%	67%	33%
ANDERSON	86%	14%	37%	0%	63%
BAMBERG	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
BARNWELL	100%	0%	60%	0%	40%
BEAUFORT	87%	20%	60%	0%	40%
BERKELEY	83%	14%	51%	3%	46%
CALHOUN	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
CHARLESTON	96%	8%	68%	0%	32%
CHEROKEE	77%	19%	19%	4%	77%
CHESTER	50%	50%	17%	0%	83%
CHESTERFIELD	100%	0%	69%	0%	31%
CLARENDON	67%	33%	33%	0%	67%
COLLETON	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%
DARLINGTON	76%	24%	65%	0%	35%
DILLON	100%	0%	20%	0%	80%
DORCHESTER	100%	0%	33%	0%	67%
EDGEFIELD	80%	20%	20%	0%	80%
FAIRFIELD	75%	25%	50%	0%	50%
FLORENCE	85%	15%	50%	0%	50%
GEORGETOWN	81%	19%	62%	0%	38%
GREENVILLE	84%	20%	40%	0%	60%
GREENWOOD	71%	13%	38%	17%	46%
HAMPTON	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
HORRY	88%	14%	46%	0%	54%
JASPER	80%	0%	60%	20%	20%
KERSHAW	88%	13%	38%	0%	63%
LANCASTER	96%	8%	38%	0%	62%
LAURENS	86%	10%	38%	5%	57%
LEE	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
LEXINGTON	93%	15%	38%	0%	63%
McCORMICK	25%	0%	25%	75%	0%
MARION	94%	6%	81%	0%	19%
MARLBORO	90%	10%	60%	0%	40%
NEWBERRY	83%	17%	83%	0%	17%
OCONEE	89%	17%	28%	0%	72%
ORANGEBURG	94%	0%	71%	6%	24%
PICKENS	73%	36%	18%	0%	82%
RICHLAND	81%	19%	61%	1%	37%
SALUDA	33%	33%	0%	33%	67%
SPARTANBURG	82%	20%	40%	0%	60%
SUMTER	86%	11%	68%	5%	27%
UNION	91%	9%	45%	9%	45%
WILLIAMSBURG	86%	0%	57%	14%	29%
YORK	86%	16%	43%	0%	57%
TRANSITIONAL	86%	14%	48%	3%	48%
STATE TOTAL	85%	15%	47%	2%	51%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-C
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY AGE**

COUNTY	Age 24 & Under	Percent 24 & Under	Age 25 & Over	Percent 25 & Over
ABBEVILLE	1	17%	5	83%
AIKEN	3	7%	43	93%
ALLENDALE	0	0%	1	100%
ANDERSON	4	9%	39	91%
BAMBERG	0	0%	3	100%
BARNWELL	0	0%	5	100%
BEAUFORT	0	0%	16	100%
BERKELEY	1	3%	33	97%
CALHOUN	1	50%	1	50%
CHARLESTON	2	4%	53	96%
CHEROKEE	1	4%	24	96%
CHESTER	2	17%	10	83%
CHESTERFIELD	1	8%	12	92%
CLARENDON	1	17%	5	83%
COLLETON	0	0%	2	100%
DARLINGTON	1	6%	16	94%
DILLON	0	0%	5	100%
DORCHESTER	1	11%	8	89%
EDGEFIELD	0	0%	5	100%
FAIRFIELD	1	25%	3	75%
FLORENCE	10	16%	52	84%
GEORGETOWN	0	0%	21	100%
GREENVILLE	12	12%	92	88%
GREENWOOD	0	0%	20	100%
HAMPTON	0	0%	1	100%
HORRY	7	7%	93	93%
JASPER	3	38%	5	63%
KERSHAW	1	6%	15	94%
LANCASTER	3	11%	24	89%
LAURENS	6	30%	14	70%
LEE	1	25%	3	75%
LEXINGTON	6	13%	39	87%
McCORMICK	0	0%	1	100%
MARION	3	19%	13	81%
MARLBORO	1	10%	9	90%
NEWBERRY	3	50%	3	50%
OCONEE	0	0%	19	100%
ORANGEBURG	1	6%	15	94%
PICKENS	2	17%	10	83%
RICHLAND	10	15%	57	85%
SALUDA	0	0%	2	100%
SPARTANBURG	2	2%	90	98%
SUMTER	4	11%	32	89%
UNION	2	17%	10	83%
WILLIAMSBURG	0	0%	6	100%
YORK	5	7%	66	93%
TRANSITIONAL	4	14%	25	86%
STATE TOTAL	106	9%	1,026	91%


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-C
ACTIVE PAROLE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DV OFFENDER	MENTAL HEALTH	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	76%	18%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	17
AIKEN	74%	10%	9%	1%	5%	0%	0%	117
ALLENDALE	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2
ANDERSON	76%	5%	18%	0%	1%	0%	0%	74
BAMBERG	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5
BARNWELL	50%	13%	25%	0%	13%	0%	0%	8
BEAUFORT	38%	26%	35%	0%	0%	0%	0%	34
BERKELEY	63%	10%	18%	0%	9%	0%	0%	82
CALHOUN	83%	0%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6
CHARLESTON	63%	17%	14%	2%	4%	0%	0%	155
CHEROKEE	42%	27%	27%	0%	3%	0%	0%	33
CHESTER	65%	10%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	20
CHESTERFIELD	63%	19%	13%	0%	6%	0%	0%	16
CLARENDON	50%	7%	21%	0%	21%	0%	0%	14
COLLETON	63%	19%	0%	0%	19%	0%	0%	16
DARLINGTON	76%	14%	5%	0%	5%	0%	0%	42
DILLON	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	12
DORCHESTER	77%	13%	8%	0%	3%	0%	0%	39
EDGEFIELD	73%	7%	13%	0%	7%	0%	0%	15
FAIRFIELD	69%	15%	8%	0%	8%	0%	0%	13
FLORENCE	60%	27%	9%	0%	4%	0%	0%	114
GEORGETOWN	67%	9%	18%	0%	7%	0%	0%	45
GREENVILLE	58%	18%	20%	1%	3%	0%	0%	189
GREENWOOD	63%	13%	18%	0%	8%	0%	0%	40
HAMPTON	40%	10%	40%	0%	10%	0%	0%	10
HORRY	70%	7%	11%	1%	11%	0%	0%	192
JASPER	13%	40%	33%	7%	7%	0%	0%	15
KERSHAW	66%	13%	13%	0%	9%	0%	0%	32
LANCASTER	67%	14%	12%	0%	7%	0%	0%	43
LAURENS	58%	18%	12%	2%	8%	2%	0%	50
LEE	80%	0%	0%	0%	20%	0%	0%	10
LEXINGTON	52%	14%	29%	1%	5%	0%	0%	108
McCORMICK	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4
MARION	77%	4%	8%	4%	8%	0%	0%	26
MARLBORO	54%	8%	31%	0%	8%	0%	0%	13
NEWBERRY	53%	27%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	15
OCONEE	70%	4%	20%	0%	7%	0%	0%	46
ORANGEBURG	74%	7%	15%	0%	2%	2%	0%	54
PICKENS	41%	14%	35%	0%	11%	0%	0%	37
RICHLAND	59%	15%	22%	1%	4%	0%	0%	200
SALUDA	83%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6
SPARTANBURG	74%	7%	17%	1%	1%	0%	0%	186
SUMTER	75%	6%	13%	0%	5%	0%	0%	93
UNION	36%	14%	45%	0%	5%	0%	0%	22
WILLIAMSBURG	82%	9%	5%	0%	5%	0%	0%	22
YORK	57%	15%	21%	1%	5%	1%	0%	149
TRANSITIONAL	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
STATE TOTAL	64%	13%	17%	1%	5%	0%	0%	
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	1,563	322	411	15	126	4	0	2,441

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 4
ACTIVE PAROLE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION
JUNE 30, 2021



**PAROLE CLOSURES BY TYPE
TABLE 5-C**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	10	100%	0	0%
AIKEN	41	93%	3	7%
ALLENDALE	1	100%	0	0%
ANDERSON	27	87%	4	13%
BAMBERG	4	100%	0	0%
BARNWELL	4	100%	0	0%
BEAUFORT	8	67%	4	33%
BERKELEY	20	87%	3	13%
CALHOUN	3	75%	1	25%
CHARLESTON	58	88%	8	12%
CHEROKEE	17	81%	4	19%
CHESTER	12	80%	3	20%
CHESTERFIELD	3	75%	1	25%
CLARENDON	4	80%	1	20%
COLLETON	7	100%	0	0%
DARLINGTON	17	77%	5	23%
DILLON	9	100%	0	0%
DORCHESTER	14	88%	2	13%
EDGEFIELD	6	75%	2	25%
FAIRFIELD	1	50%	1	50%
FLORENCE	55	76%	17	24%
GEORGETOWN	15	94%	1	6%
GREENVILLE	81	88%	11	12%
GREENWOOD	17	81%	4	19%
HAMPTON	3	100%	0	0%
HORRY	65	87%	10	13%
JASPER	7	64%	4	36%
KERSHAW	8	100%	0	0%
LANCASTER	10	83%	2	17%
LAURENS	26	81%	6	19%
LEE	4	100%	0	0%
LEXINGTON	40	89%	5	11%
MCCORMICK	1	100%	0	0%
MARION	9	82%	2	18%
MARLBORO	6	75%	2	25%
NEWBERRY	8	67%	4	33%
OCONEE	5	71%	2	29%
ORANGEBURG	12	92%	1	8%
PICKENS	12	67%	6	33%
RICHLAND	47	81%	11	19%
SALUDA	7	70%	3	30%
SPARTANBURG	69	90%	8	10%
SUMTER	42	91%	4	9%
UNION	11	85%	2	15%
WILLIAMSBURG	10	71%	4	29%
YORK	42	84%	8	16%
TRANSITIONAL	28	100%	0	0%
STATE TOTAL	906	85%	159	15%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**SECTION
D**

OTHER

**FISCAL YEAR
2021**

OTHER

The “other” category includes YOA, Community Supervision Program, Supervised Furlough-2 (SF-2), Supervised Furlough-2A (SF-2A), Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ), and Supervised Reentry Program (SRP) cases.

- **YOA** refers to inmates aged 17 through 24, sentenced under the South Carolina Youthful Offender Act (YOA) to an indeterminate period of incarceration, not to exceed six years, within the South Carolina Department of Corrections (SCDC). *This program is being taken over by the South Carolina Department of Corrections. SCDPPPS will no longer receive admissions to this program.*
- **Community Supervision Program (CSP)** is a mandatory release program for offenders who have been sentenced to a “No Parole” offense and have served 85% of their sentence at SCDC. Offenders released to the Community Supervision Program have a two-year period of supervision. If at any time they violate the terms of supervision, a Circuit Court Judge may revoke any part of the remaining incarcerative portion of the sentence for up to one year at a time.
- **SF-2** is an early release program which allows certain inmates to be released into the community to serve the last six months of their sentence. The difference between SF2 and SF-2A is most are required to be on Electronic Monitoring for the duration of their furlough under **SF-2A**.
- **DJJ** is a program where SCDPPPS supervises offenders who are at least 17 years of age, but less than 21, who have been adjudicated delinquent by a Family Court and who have been conditionally released from SCDC by the Juvenile Parole Board.
- **SRP** refers to an inmate not required to participate in CSP. Inmates who have been incarcerated for a minimum of two years shall be released to reentry supervision 180 before their release date.

Tables 1-D through 3-D represents all other admissions during FY 2021.

- Table 1-D displays other admissions by type of offense. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. Fifty-seven (57%) of all admission in ‘other’ category were violent.
- Table 2-D describes other admissions by gender and race. Admissions were predominately male (94%) and black (59%).
- Table 3-D describes other admissions by age category. Majority (93%) of offenders were 25 years or older at time of admission.

Table 4-D and Figure 5 describe the active population for other release offenders in terms of level of supervision on June 30, 2021. Of the total active other population, 50% were supervised at standard level, 17% at medium, 19% at high, 1% at intensive, 12% at the sex offender supervision level, and 1% at the domestic violence and less than 1% mental health supervision levels.

Table 5-D shows 97% of other offenders closing successfully compared to 85% of the parole population (See Table 5-C) and 85% of the probation population (See Table 5-B).

**TABLE 1-D
OTHER ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	5	63%	3	38%	8
AIKEN	22	54%	19	46%	41
ALLENDALE	1	100%	0	0%	1
ANDERSON	24	63%	14	37%	38
BAMBERG	0	0%	1	100%	1
BARNWELL	4	67%	2	33%	6
BEAUFORT	7	28%	18	72%	25
BERKELEY	33	58%	24	42%	57
CALHOUN	7	78%	2	22%	9
CHARLESTON	54	57%	40	43%	94
CHEROKEE	17	57%	13	43%	30
CHESTER	7	47%	8	53%	15
CHESTERFIELD	4	44%	5	56%	9
CLARENDON	5	63%	3	38%	8
COLLETON	7	70%	3	30%	10
DARLINGTON	7	47%	8	53%	15
DILLON	6	75%	2	25%	8
DORCHESTER	17	55%	14	45%	31
EDGEFIELD	3	60%	2	40%	5
FAIRFIELD	6	67%	3	33%	9
FLORENCE	25	40%	38	60%	63
GEORGETOWN	18	75%	6	25%	24
GREENVILLE	108	63%	64	37%	172
GREENWOOD	14	50%	14	50%	28
HAMPTON	2	40%	3	60%	5
HORRY	2	58%	45	42%	108
JASPER	63	67%	2	33%	6
KERSHAW	4	64%	5	36%	14
LANCASTER	9	27%	16	73%	22
LAURENS	6	44%	10	56%	18
LEE	8	43%	4	57%	7
LEXINGTON	3	59%	24	41%	59
McCORMICK	-	-	-	-	-
MARION	5	29%	12	71%	17
MARLBORO	1	13%	7	88%	8
NEWBERRY	6	46%	7	54%	13
OCONEE	4	44%	5	56%	9
ORANGEBURG	35	76%	11	24%	46
PICKENS	21	54%	18	46%	39
RICHLAND	93	62%	56	38%	149
SALUDA	3	43%	4	57%	7
SPARTANBURG	85	65%	45	35%	130
SUMTER	28	46%	33	54%	61
UNION	14	56%	11	44%	25
WILLIAMSBURG	8	73%	3	27%	11
YORK	36	44%	46	56%	82
TRANSITIONAL	43	91%	4	9%	47
STATE TOTAL	913	57%	677	43%	1,590

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 2-D
OTHER ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
AIKEN	93%	7%	59%	5%	37%
ALLENDALE	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
ANDERSON	89%	11%	45%	0%	55%
BAMBERG	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
BARNWELL	100%	0%	83%	0%	17%
BEAUFORT	92%	8%	72%	0%	28%
BERKELEY	98%	2%	51%	4%	46%
CALHOUN	89%	11%	78%	0%	22%
CHARLESTON	96%	4%	69%	2%	29%
CHEROKEE	93%	7%	43%	3%	53%
CHESTER	87%	13%	67%	0%	33%
CHESTERFIELD	89%	11%	33%	0%	67%
CLARENDON	100%	0%	63%	0%	38%
COLLETON	100%	0%	60%	0%	40%
DARLINGTON	93%	7%	40%	7%	53%
DILLON	100%	0%	75%	0%	25%
DORCHESTER	94%	6%	71%	3%	26%
EDGEFIELD	80%	20%	60%	0%	40%
FAIRFIELD	89%	11%	78%	11%	11%
FLORENCE	95%	5%	71%	5%	24%
GEORGETOWN	96%	4%	67%	4%	29%
GREENVILLE	93%	7%	51%	8%	42%
GREENWOOD	96%	4%	54%	4%	43%
HAMPTON	100%	0%	60%	0%	40%
HORRY	93%	7%	52%	7%	41%
JASPER	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
KERSHAW	100%	0%	43%	0%	57%
LANCASTER	91%	9%	50%	0%	50%
LAURENS	94%	6%	44%	0%	56%
LEE	100%	0%	86%	0%	14%
LEXINGTON	95%	5%	46%	2%	53%
McCORMICK	---	---	---	---	---
MARION	94%	6%	88%	6%	6%
MARLBORO	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
NEWBERRY	100%	0%	77%	0%	23%
OCONEE	89%	11%	22%	0%	78%
ORANGEBURG	96%	4%	72%	2%	26%
PICKENS	95%	5%	18%	3%	79%
RICHLAND	95%	5%	85%	3%	12%
SALUDA	100%	0%	86%	0%	14%
SPARTANBURG	98%	2%	51%	5%	45%
SUMTER	97%	3%	70%	0%	30%
UNION	84%	16%	40%	0%	60%
WILLIAMSBURG	100%	0%	82%	0%	18%
YORK	91%	9%	52%	0%	48%
TRANSITIONAL	94%	6%	60%	4%	36%
STATE TOTAL	94%	6%	59%	3%	37%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-D
OTHER ADMISSIONS BY AGE**

COUNTY	Age 24 & Under	Percent 24 & Under	Age 25 & Over	Percent 25 & Over
ABBEVILLE	1	13%	7	88%
AIKEN	4	10%	37	90%
ALLENDALE	0	0%	1	100%
ANDERSON	1	3%	37	97%
BAMBERG	0	0%	1	100%
BARNWELL	1	17%	5	83%
BEAUFORT	2	8%	23	92%
BERKELEY	3	5%	54	95%
CALHOUN	0	0%	9	100%
CHARLESTON	9	10%	85	90%
CHEROKEE	1	3%	29	97%
CHESTER	1	7%	14	93%
CHESTERFIELD	0	0%	9	100%
CLARENDON	0	0%	8	100%
COLLETON	0	0%	10	100%
DARLINGTON	1	7%	14	93%
DILLON	0	0%	8	100%
DORCHESTER	2	6%	29	94%
EDGEFIELD	0	0%	5	100%
FAIRFIELD	2	22%	7	78%
FLORENCE	3	5%	60	95%
GEORGETOWN	0	0%	24	100%
GREENVILLE	8	5%	164	95%
GREENWOOD	4	14%	24	86%
HAMPTON	1	20%	4	80%
HORRY	13	12%	95	88%
JASPER	1	17%	5	83%
KERSHAW	1	7%	13	93%
LANCASTER	4	18%	18	82%
LAURENS	3	17%	15	83%
LEE	1	14%	6	86%
LEXINGTON	4	7%	55	93%
McCORMICK	-	-	-	-
MARION	1	6%	16	94%
MARLBORO	2	25%	6	75%
NEWBERRY	0	0%	13	100%
OCONEE	0	0%	9	100%
ORANGEBURG	3	7%	43	93%
PICKENS	2	5%	37	95%
RICHLAND	7	5%	142	95%
SALUDA	0	0%	7	100%
SPARTANBURG	3	2%	127	98%
SUMTER	6	10%	55	90%
UNION	0	0%	25	100%
WILLIAMSBURG	1	9%	10	91%
YORK	7	9%	75	91%
TRANSITIONAL	2	4%	45	96%
STATE TOTAL	105	7%	1,485	93%


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-D
ACTIVE OTHER OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DV OFFENDER	MENTAL HEALTH	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	86%	0%	0%	0%	14%	0%	0%	7
AIKEN	53%	22%	16%	0%	9%	0%	0%	32
ALLENDALE	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
ANDERSON	48%	18%	20%	0%	11%	4%	0%	56
BAMBERG	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1
BARNWELL	80%	0%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5
BEAUFORT	23%	46%	23%	0%	8%	0%	0%	13
BERKELEY	65%	13%	10%	2%	10%	0%	0%	52
CALHOUN	88%	0%	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%	8
CHARLESTON	42%	20%	26%	3%	5%	3%	0%	88
CHEROKEE	43%	29%	11%	0%	18%	0%	0%	28
CHESTER	64%	18%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11
CHESTERFIELD	40%	40%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5
CLARENDON	46%	31%	8%	0%	15%	0%	0%	13
COLLETON	50%	25%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	12
DARLINGTON	69%	8%	15%	0%	8%	0%	0%	13
DILLON	67%	0%	11%	0%	22%	0%	0%	9
DORCHESTER	68%	12%	16%	0%	4%	0%	0%	25
EDGEFIELD	86%	0%	0%	0%	14%	0%	0%	7
FAIRFIELD	70%	20%	0%	0%	10%	0%	0%	10
FLORENCE	59%	19%	11%	0%	11%	0%	0%	37
GEORGETOWN	70%	4%	22%	0%	4%	0%	0%	23
GREENVILLE	45%	13%	27%	0%	13%	1%	0%	136
GREENWOOD	55%	0%	27%	0%	14%	5%	0%	22
HAMPTON	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3
HORRY	57%	11%	19%	1%	12%	0%	0%	81
JASPER	0%	40%	20%	0%	40%	0%	0%	5
KERSHAW	58%	17%	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	12
LANCASTER	38%	23%	31%	0%	8%	0%	0%	13
LAURENS	73%	13%	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%	15
LEE	40%	20%	20%	0%	20%	0%	0%	5
LEXINGTON	40%	17%	31%	2%	8%	2%	0%	52
McCORMICK	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1
MARION	50%	25%	13%	0%	13%	0%	0%	8
MARLBORO	33%	50%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6
NEWBERRY	38%	38%	13%	0%	13%	0%	0%	8
OCONEE	46%	31%	15%	0%	8%	0%	0%	13
ORANGEBURG	70%	7%	7%	2%	13%	2%	0%	56
PICKENS	30%	17%	30%	3%	20%	0%	0%	30
RICHLAND	48%	13%	27%	1%	10%	1%	0%	136
SALUDA	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3
SPARTANBURG	40%	16%	15%	2%	25%	2%	0%	127
SUMTER	45%	28%	9%	0%	19%	0%	0%	47
UNION	36%	21%	36%	0%	7%	0%	0%	14
WILLIAMSBURG	67%	17%	0%	8%	8%	0%	0%	12
YORK	34%	26%	24%	0%	12%	3%	0%	58
TRANSITIONAL	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
STATE TOTAL	50%	17%	19%	1%	12%	1%	0%	
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	653	222	252	12	163	16	0	1,318
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.								

FIGURE 5

**ACTIVE OTHER OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION
JUNE 30, 2021**



**TABLE 5-D
OTHER CLOSURES BY TYPE**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	13	100%	0	0%
AIKEN	46	100%	0	0%
ALLENDALE	3	100%	0	0%
ANDERSON	45	100%	0	0%
BAMBERG	2	100%	0	0%
BARNWELL	7	100%	0	0%
BEAUFORT	23	82%	5	18%
BERKELEY	48	100%	0	0%
CALHOUN	2	100%	0	0%
CHARLESTON	106	97%	3	3%
CHEROKEE	24	100%	0	0%
CHESTER	12	100%	0	0%
CHESTERFIELD	17	100%	0	0%
CLARENDON	6	100%	0	0%
COLLETON	11	100%	0	0%
DARLINGTON	12	100%	0	0%
DILLON	10	91%	1	9%
DORCHESTER	35	97%	1	3%
EDGEFIELD	3	100%	0	0%
FAIRFIELD	7	100%	0	0%
FLORENCE	69	99%	1	1%
GEORGETOWN	23	100%	0	0%
GREENVILLE	159	95%	8	5%
GREENWOOD	24	89%	3	11%
HAMPTON	2	100%	0	0%
HORRY	86	98%	2	2%
JASPER	5	100%	0	0%
KERSHAW	11	100%	0	0%
LANCASTER	19	100%	0	0%
LAURENS	23	100%	0	0%
LEE	4	100%	0	0%
LEXINGTON	45	98%	1	2%
MCCORMICK	1	100%	0	0%
MARION	25	96%	1	4%
MARLBORO	6	100%	0	0%
NEWBERRY	9	90%	1	10%
OCONEE	18	100%	0	0%
ORANGEBURG	28	93%	2	7%
PICKENS	27	100%	0	0%
RICHLAND	142	95%	8	5%
SALUDA	8	100%	0	0%
SPARTANBURG	120	98%	3	2%
SUMTER	51	98%	1	2%
UNION	20	100%	0	0%
WILLIAMSBURG	10	100%	0	0%
YORK	64	100%	0	0%
TRANSITIONAL	52	1	0	0
STATE TOTAL	1,483	97%	41	3%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

SECTION E

**SEX
OFFENDERS**

FISCAL YEAR 2021

SEX OFFENDERS

The Department is responsible for supervising those offenders sentenced to community supervision by the Court of General Sessions or released from incarceration on other supervision programs who have been convicted of sex offenses.

SCDPPPS utilizes the Sex Offender Management Program to supervise those sex offenders who are currently serving an active sentence for a sex offense. For those offenders currently under supervision for an offense that is not a sex offense but who are required to register as a sex offender for a previous offense, SCDPPPS provides general supervision according to the offender’s risk assessment score. Table 1-E and Figure 6 compares the number of sex offenders supervised under general supervision (18%) with those in the Sex Offender Management Program (82%).

A male sex offender’s level of supervision is determined by his score on the Static-99 risk assessment. The three levels of male sex offender (SO) supervision are SO-High, SO-Intensive, and SO-Containment. Female sex offenders are supervised at the SO-High level of supervision for the entirety of their supervision period.

SEX OFFENDER CONTACT STANDARDS


SO-HIGH	SO-INTENSIVE	SO-CONTAINMENT
1 Home Visit Progress Audit per month	1 Home Visit Progress Audit per month	1 Home Visit Progress Audit per month
1 Home Visits OR Field Visit per month	2 Home Visits OR Field Visit per month (either)	2 Home Visits per month
1 Employment Verification per Month	1 Employment Verification per Month	1 Employment Verification per Month
1 Face-to-Face Treatment Provider Contact per Month	1 Face-to-Face Treatment Provider Contact per Month	1 Additional Progress Audit (any type) 1 Face-to-Face Treatment Provider Contact per Month

**TABLE 1-E
ACTIVE SEX OFFENDERS UNDER SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	SEX OFFENDER MANAGEMENT SUPERVISION		GENERAL SUPERVISION		TOTAL SEX OFFENDERS
ABBEVILLE	3	100%	0	0%	3
AIKEN	29	69%	13	31%	42
ALLENDALE	0	0%	2	100%	2
ANDERSON	31	65%	17	35%	48
BAMBERG	1	50%	1	50%	2
BARNWELL	4	57%	3	43%	7
BEAUFORT	4	100%	0	0%	4
BERKELEY	41	87%	6	13%	47
CALHOUN	5	83%	1	17%	6
CHARLESTON	43	73%	16	27%	59
CHEROKEE	22	81%	5	19%	27
CHESTER	4	100%	0	0%	4
CHESTERFIELD	2	67%	1	33%	3
CLARENDON	9	82%	2	18%	11
COLLETON	10	77%	3	23%	13
DARLINGTON	8	73%	3	27%	11
DILLON	3	60%	2	40%	5
DORCHESTER	23	85%	4	15%	27
EDGEFIELD	4	50%	4	50%	8
FAIRFIELD	7	100%	0	0%	7
FLORENCE	16	76%	5	24%	21
GEORGETOWN	7	100%	0	0%	7
GREENVILLE	71	79%	19	21%	90
GREENWOOD	11	79%	3	21%	14
HAMPTON	5	100%	0	0%	5
HORRY	76	90%	8	10%	84
JASPER	4	100%	0	0%	4
KERSHAW	12	80%	3	20%	15
LANCASTER	6	86%	1	14%	7
LAURENS	9	100%	0	0%	9
LEE	4	80%	1	20%	5
LEXINGTON	35	88%	5	13%	40
McCORMICK	1	50%	1	50%	2
MARION	5	71%	2	29%	7
MARLBORO	2	40%	3	60%	5
NEWBERRY	4	57%	3	43%	7
OCONEE	17	77%	5	23%	22
ORANGEBURG	14	100%	0	0%	14
PICKENS	23	88%	3	12%	26
RICHLAND	48	98%	1	2%	49
SALUDA	1	25%	3	75%	4
SPARTANBURG	76	87%	11	13%	87
SUMTER	26	81%	6	19%	32
UNION	2	100%	0	0%	2
WILLIAMSBURG	3	50%	3	50%	6
YORK	35	95%	2	5%	37
TRANSITIONAL	---	---	---	---	---
STATE TOTAL	766	82%	171	18%	937

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 6
ACTIVE SEX OFFENDERS UNDER SUPERVISION
JUNE 30, 2021



SECTION F

VIOLATIONS

FISCAL YEAR 2021

VIOLATIONS & CLOSURES

Offenders charged by their supervising Agents with violations of the conditions of supervision are reviewed through a violations matrix to determine the most appropriate response, while ensuring a consistent application of sanctions statewide.

Table 1-F provides data by county on the violation process. Statewide, 3,529 violation hearings were held during the fiscal year. At those hearings, 1,840 cases were continued or recommended for continuation, while 1,689 cases were revoked or recommended for revocation.

Table 2-F shows fees collected in FY 2021 as a result of the Administrative Hearing Process. Offenders pay restitution, supervision fees and fines just prior to their Administrative Hearing to avoid incarceration. During the year, \$77,465.20 was collected in delinquent restitution payments, \$119,050.91 in supervision fees and \$14,077.15 in court ordered fines and fees. The total to \$210,593.26 collected demonstrates the effectiveness of the administrative hearing process in bringing offenders who have the means to become compliant with their monetary obligations.

Table 3-F provides a comparison of changes in active population and the types of closure for FY 2016 to FY 2021. Of the 15,481 closures during FY 2021, 86% closed successfully. Majority (72%) of unsuccessful closures resulted in compliance revocations.

TABLE 1-F			
VIOLATIONS BY COUNTY			
COUNTY	Cases Heard	Cases Revoked or Recommended for Revocation Cases	Continued or Recommended for Continuation
ABBEVILLE	1	0	1
AIKEN	67	21	46
ALLENDALE	7	4	3
ANDERSON	142	63	79
BAMBERG	10	6	4
BARNWELL	9	1	8
BEAUFORT	12	8	4
BERKELEY	53	22	31
CALHOUN	2		2
CHARLESTON	322	223	99
CHEROKEE	80	36	44
CHESTER	38	26	12
CHESTERFIELD	3	2	1
CLARENDON	20	10	10
COLLETON	41	25	16
DARLINGTON	36	28	8
DILLON	14	9	5
DORCHESTER	163	88	75
EDGEFIELD	6	1	5
FAIRFIELD	1		1
FLORENCE	159	41	118
GEORGETOWN	11	6	5
GREENVILLE	334	130	204
GREENWOOD	57	34	23
HAMPTON	6	3	3
HORRY	101	68	33
JASPER	10	3	7
KERSHAW	45	23	22
LANCASTER	45	35	10
LAURENS	84	41	43
LEE	3	3	0
LEXINGTON	241	83	158
McCORMICK	0	0	0
MARION	16	13	3
MARLBORO	4	0	4
NEWBERRY	62	36	26
OCONEE	122	38	84
ORANGEBURG	41	14	27
PICKENS	85	19	66
RICHLAND	286	153	133
SALUDA	29	14	15
SPARTANBURG	342	155	187
SUMTER	120	50	70
UNION	56	38	18
WILLIAMSBURG	10	7	3
YORK	233	109	124
TRANSITIONAL	0	0	0
STATE TOTAL	3,529	1,689	1,840

TABLE 2-F				
FEES COLLECTED THROUGH ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS PROCESS				
Month	Supervision Fee	Fine/Court Cost	Restitution	Total
July 2019	\$8,915.28	\$1,465.00	\$4,041.28	\$14,421.56
August	\$7,514.00	\$812.75	\$16,000.47	\$24,327.22
September	\$8,613.00	\$1,228.25	\$6,498.25	\$16,339.50
October	\$7,186.50	\$886.25	\$3,165.00	\$11,237.75
November	\$8,673.54	\$1,247.50	\$6,501.25	\$16,422.29
December	\$5,037.00	\$200.00	\$4,920.00	\$10,157.00
January 2020	\$8,952.00	\$1,106.40	\$4,757.54	\$14,815.94
February	\$14,329.06	\$1,168.00	\$8,121.44	\$23,618.50
March	\$14,767.00	\$1,489.00	\$3,351.00	\$19,607.00
April	\$11,239.00	\$1,215.75	\$6,828.00	\$19,282.75
May	\$14,809.13	\$1,573.25	\$5,465.97	\$21,848.35
June 2020	\$9,015.40	\$1,685.00	\$7,815.00	\$ 18,515.40
Total	\$119,050.91	\$14,077.15	\$77,465.20	\$210,593.26

CLOSURES BY TYPE
TABLE 3-F

FY 2021	Active							Total
	Population	Successful	Exp-I	JC-I	Rev-C	Rev-T	Ret-CD ⁶	
Probation	18,533	10,983	14	2	230	1,359	304	1,909
Parole	2,441	906	2	0	22	135	0	159
Other Releases	1,318	1,483	19	0	0	22	0	41
Total	22,292	13,372	35	2	252	1,516	304	2,109
% Unsuccessful			1.7%	0.1%	11.9%	71.9%	14.4%	

FY 2020	Active							Total
	Population	Successful	Exp-I	JC-I	Rev-C	Rev-T	Ret-CD ⁶	
Probation	21,935	11,701	12	2	376	1,889	591	2,870
Parole	2,693	871	6	0	25	118	0	149
Other Releases	1,322	1,396	24	0	0	15	0	39
Total	25,950	13,968	42	2	401	2,022	591	3,058
% Unsuccessful			1.4%	0.1%	13.1%	66.1%	19.3%	

FY 2019	Active							Total
	Population	Successful	Exp-I	JC-I	Rev-C	Rev-T	Ret-CD ⁶	
Probation	24,506	11,792	10	1	427	1,983	511	2,932
Parole	2,804	836	5	0	21	159	0	185
Other Releases	1,372	1,490	27	0	1	33	0	61
Total	28,682	14,118	42	1	449	2,175	511	3,178
% Unsuccessful			1.3%	0.0%	14.1%	68.4%	16.1%	

FY 2018	Active							Total
	Population	Successful	Exp-I	JC-I	Rev-C	Rev-T	Ret-CD ⁶	
Probation	25,039	11,871	13	3	423	1,783	599	2,821
Parole	2,637	675	6	0	28	101	0	135
Other Releases	1,495	1,609	33	0	3	42	0	78
Total	29,171	14,155	52	3	454	1,926	599	3,034
% Unsuccessful			1.7%	0.1%	15.0%	63.5%	19.7%	

FY 2017	Active							Total
	Population	Successful	Exp-I	JC-I	Rev-C	Rev-T	Ret-CD ⁶	
Probation	25,776	10,783	13	2	478	2,207	480	3,180
Parole	2,271	511	5	0	18	96	0	119
Other Releases	1,597	1,571	36	0	2	46	0	84
Total	29,644	12,865	54	2	498	2,349	480	3,383
% Unsuccessful			1.6%	0.1%	14.7%	69.4%	14.2%	

FY 2016	Active							Total
	Population	Successful	Exp-I	JC-I	Rev-C	Rev-T	Ret-CD ⁶	
Probation	25,132	11,051	20	3	549	2,922	387	3,881
Parole	2,030	490	4	0	20	71	0	95
YOA	240	499	0	0	18	80	0	98
Other Releases	1,341	1,575	108	0	0	24	0	132
Total	28,743	13,615	132	3	587	3,097	387	4,206
% Unsuccessful			3.1%	0.1%	14.0%	73.6%	9.2%	

Footnotes:

1 Exp-I - Expired Offender in Institution	4 Rev-T - Revoke, Technical Charges
2 JC-I - Judicial Closure in Institution	5 Rev TC - Revoke, Technical Charges & New Charges Pending
3 Rev-C - Revoke, New Conviction	6 Ret-CD Returned - Conditional Discharge

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

SECTION G


**ELECTRONIC
SURVEILLANCE**

FISCAL YEAR 2021

Electronic Surveillance

The Department utilizes electronic surveillance to monitor certain offenders. On June 8, 2006, Jessie's Law, a bill aimed at protecting the state's children through tougher penalties for sexual predators was signed into law with an effective date of July 1, 2006. Named after Jessica Marie Lunsford -- who was murdered in 2005 by a registered sex offender in Florida -- the law imposes a mandatory minimum of 25 years in prison for sexual predators and mandates active Global Positioning Satellite (GPS) monitoring for sex offenders convicted of certain offenses. GPS can pinpoint within 15 meters a person's position on Earth using 24 satellites in orbit at 11,000 nautical miles above the Earth. The satellites are owned and operated by the U. S. Department of Defense and continuously transmit signals which can be detected by anyone possessing a GPS receiver. The use of Active-GPS enhances public safety and provides a more modern and efficient way to ensure accountability and enforce home detention and curfews for those offenders requiring a heightened supervision strategy. Of the 1,024 offenders on Active GPS on June 2, 2021, 733 (72%) offenders were on GPS under Jessie's Law.

FIGURE 7
OFFENDERS ON ACTIVE GPS
FISCAL YEAR 2021



SECTION H

SUMMARY

FISCAL YEAR
2021

SUMMARY

Figure 8 compares monthly DNA collections during FY 2021.

Table 1-H shows drug testing activity during FY 2021. This table represents the number of individual offenders tested (5,750), the number of individuals testing positive (1,832), the total number of positive tests (3,263) and the number of times offenders were tested (7,008).


Table 2-H summarizes the population characteristics of SCDPPPS offenders by supervision programs as well as offender involvement in drug testing.

Population Overview:

- The proportion of violent offenses among parole (21%) and probation (5%) admissions stayed the same from FY 2020 to FY 2021.
- Overall, the most utilized level of supervision was standard (56%), followed by high (21%), medium (15%), domestic violence (5%), sex offender (3%), and intensive (1%) for all active cases. Less than 1% were supervised under mental health supervision.
- The overall success rate for all closures was 86%. The overall success rate for parolees and probationers remained the same at 85% from FY 2020 to FY 2021.
- Of the 5,750 offenders tested for drug use while under supervision, 1,832 or 32% tested positive for drugs.

Figure 9 compares the number of admissions for each fiscal year from 2002 to 2021.

FIGURE 8
MONTHLY DNA COLLECTIONS
FISCAL YEAR 2021



**TABLE 1-H
OFFENDER DRUG TESTING**

COUNTY	INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTED	INDIVIDUALS TESTING POSITIVE	PERCENTAGE OF INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTING POSITIVE	TOTAL NUMBER OF POSITIVE TESTS	NUMBER OF TIMES OFFENDERS WERE TESTED
ABBEVILLE	1	0	0%	0	1
AIKEN	162	76	47%	129	183
ALLEDALE	12	6	50%	9	12
ANDERSON	67	21	31%	41	100
BAMBERG	29	16	55%	24	29
BARNWELL	33	14	42%	23	34
BEAUFORT	186	42	23%	58	268
BERKELEY	38	14	37%	33	45
CALHOUN	14	3	21%	10	15
CHARLESTON	402	112	28%	169	468
CHEROKEE	234	95	41%	213	380
CHESTER	9	4	44%	11	38
CHESTERFIELD	21	9	43%	16	21
CLARENDON	17	3	18%	12	19
COLLETON	83	37	45%	54	89
DARLINGTON	43	24	56%	50	48
DILLON	35	8	23%	16	37
DORCHESTER	34	11	32%	22	35
EDGEFIELD	40	15	38%	24	43
FAIRFIELD	45	15	33%	15	46
FLORENCE	330	71	22%	110	369
GEORGETOWN	25	9	36%	19	29
GREENVILLE	782	216	28%	395	993
GREENWOOD	130	48	37%	87	157
HAMPTON	35	6	17%	9	37
HORRY	299	45	15%	71	314
JASPER	91	17	19%	31	126
KERSHAW	80	29	36%	37	81
LANCASTER	92	42	46%	85	112
LAURENS	119	50	42%	98	165
LEE	27	5	19%	7	27
LEXINGTON	434	156	36%	314	549
MCCORMICK	12	6	50%	8	12
MARION	106	15	14%	19	108
MARLBORO	27	7	26%	14	27
NEWBERRY	79	26	33%	46	93
OCONEE	57	37	65%	82	67
ORANGEBURG	88	34	39%	48	107
PICKENS	207	72	35%	134	212
RICHLAND	422	88	21%	144	483
SALUDA	29	10	34%	25	34
SPARTANBURG	255	97	38%	167	314
SUMTER	245	111	45%	168	256
UNION	127	45	35%	82	143
WILLIAMSBURG	29	12	41%	22	32
YORK	113	52	46%	104	243
CENTRAL	5	1	20%	8	7
					0
STATE TOTAL	5,750	1,832	32%	3,263	7,008

**TABLE 2-H
POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS**

ADMISSIONS								
CATEGORY	Probation		Parole		Other		Total	
	FY 20	FY 21	FY 20	FY 21	FY 20	FY 21	FY 20	FY 21
<u>RACE:</u>								
BLACK	43%	44%	48%	47%	63%	59%	45%	46%
WHITE	55%	54%	51%	51%	34%	37%	53%	52%
OTHER	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%
<u>GENDER:</u>								
MALE	77%	77%	85%	85%	92%	94%	79%	80%
FEMALE	23%	23%	15%	15%	8%	6%	21%	20%
<u>OFFENSE TYPE:</u>								
VIOLENT	5%	5%	21%	21%	73%	57%	12%	13%
NON-VIOLENT	95%	95%	79%	79%	27%	43%	88%	87%

ACTIVES								
LEVEL OF SUPERVISION:	Probation		Parole		Other		Total	
	FY 20	FY 21	FY 20	FY 21	FY 20	FY 21	FY 20	FY 21
STANDARD	55%	55%	62%	64%	49%	50%	55%	56%
MEDIUM	15%	15%	14%	13%	16%	17%	15%	15%
HIGH	22%	21%	19%	17%	21%	19%	21%	21%
INTENSIVE	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
SEX OFFENDER	2%	3%	4%	5%	13%	12%	3%	3%
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	5%	6%	0%	0%	1%	1%	4%	5%
MENTAL HEALTH	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%


CLOSURES								
CASE OUTCOME:	Probation		Parole		Other		Total	
	FY 20	FY 21	FY 20	FY 21	FY 20	FY 21	FY 20	FY 21
SUCCESSFUL	80%	85%	85%	85%	97%	97%	82%	86%
UNSUCCESSFUL	20%	15%	15%	15%	3%	3%	18%	14%

DRUG TESTING		
	FY 20	FY 21
INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTED	16,433	5,750
INDIVIDUALS TESTING POSITIVE	7,679	1,832
PERCENTAGE OF INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTING POSITIVE	46.73%	31.86%
TOTAL POSITIVE TESTS	15,723	3,263
NUMBER OF TIMES OFFENDERS TESTED	21,537	7,008

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 9

ADMISSIONS: A 20-YEAR COMPARISON



1st Printing/SCDPPS
15 copies of this document were published: \$5.07 per copy/\$76.05 total.