



**South Carolina Department
of Probation, Parole and
Pardon Services**

**ANNUAL
STATISTICAL
REPORT**

FISCAL YEAR 2018

**JERRY B. ADGER
AGENCY DIRECTOR**

Proprietary Disclaimer

This document (including all data and statistical information represented herein) was compiled and reported by the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services. Any reproduction or republication of said report, data, or statistics is permitted only if reproduced in its entirety without modification, or omission, and must identify the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services as the source.

Jerry B. Adger, Director

**Post Office Box 50666
Columbia, South Carolina 29250
803-734-9220
www.dppps.sc.gov**

Research and Evaluation

**LaQuenta Weldon, Statistical and Research Analyst
Marchar Stagg, Senior Statistical and Research Analyst**

TO THE READER

Fiscal Year 2018 (FY 2018) began July 1, 2017 and ended June 30, 2018. This report provides a statistical representation of the work of 665 employees of the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services (SCDPPPS). During the fiscal year, 81% of our probationers and 83% of our parolees successfully completed supervision.

The Department operates its offender programs within a clear framework of public safety in supervising the 56,387 offenders under our legal jurisdiction. Legal jurisdiction includes offenders who were transferred out of state, absconded with active warrants, and others who are not under the active day-to-day supervision. At the end of the fiscal year, 29,171 offenders were under active supervision of the Department. The description of active supervision represents only those offenders who had at least one active case on June 30, 2018. Our responses to offender risks and needs in the community are focused to address present or potential problems that may interfere with the successful completion of supervision without compromising public safety. We maintain a fundamental belief that given support, resources, and service interventions, the offender has the ability to make positive changes in his or her life.

The following tables provide a description of the offender population and answer some commonly asked questions regarding the Department's programmatic efforts. Each table is preceded by a short description of its contents. The reader should be aware that there are different ways of reporting units of data depending upon the purpose. Admissions include only those offenders admitted to SCDPPPS who had no other active cases at the time of admission. Closures information reflects only the last order to close during the fiscal year.

In addition, due to rounding, some of the totals will not equal 100%. For additional information or clarification, please contact LaQuenta Weldon in Research and Evaluation at 803-734-4057.

EXPLANATION OF PROGRAM TYPES

Probation: Includes Probation, Conditional Discharged to Probation, Probation Terminated Upon Payment (PTUP), Split Probation (admitted to probation with a split sentence from prison), Monitor for the Court, and Not Guilty by Reason of Insanity (NGRI).

Parole: Includes Parole .

Other: Includes YOA, Community Supervision Program, Supervised Furlough-2, Supervised Furlough-2A, Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ), and early release program cases.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION A---TOTAL POPULATION

TABLE 1-A	Total Admissions by Program Type.....	8
TABLE 2-A	Total Admissions by Type of Offense	9
TABLE 3-A	Total Admissions by Age	10
TABLE 4-A	Total Admissions by Gender & Race.....	11
FIGURE 1	Total Admissions by Gender & Race.....	12
TABLE 5-A	Active Offenders by Level of Supervision	13
FIGURE 2	Active Offenders by Level of Supervision	14
TABLE 6-A	Total Closures by Type.....	15

SECTION B---PROBATION

TABLE 1-B	Probation Admissions by Type of Offense	18
TABLE 2-B	Probation Admissions by Gender & Race	19
TABLE 3-B	Probation Admissions by Age	20
TABLE 4-B	Active Probation Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	21
FIGURE 3	Active Probation Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	22
TABLE 5-B	Probation Closures by Type.....	23

SECTION C---PAROLE

TABLE 1-C	Parole Admissions by Type of Offense.....	26
TABLE 2-C	Parole Admissions by Gender & Race	27
TABLE 3-C	Parole Admissions by Age	28
TABLE 4-C	Active Parole Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	29
FIGURE 4	Active Parole Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	30
TABLE 5-C	Parole Closures by Type.....	31

SECTION D---OTHER

TABLE 1-D	Other Admissions by Type of Offense	34
TABLE 2-D	Other Admissions by Gender & Race.....	35
TABLE 3-D	Other Admissions by Age	36
TABLE 4-D	Active Other Offenders by Level of Supervision	37
FIGURE 5	Active Other Offenders by Level of Supervision	38
TABLE 5-D	Other Closures by Type	39

SECTION E---SEX OFFENDERS

TABLE 1-E	Active Sex Offenders	42
FUGURE 6	Sex Offenders by Supervision Status	43

SECTION F---VIOLATIONS

TABLE 1-F	Violations by County	46
TABLE 2-F	Closures by Type	47
TABLE 3-F	Administrative Hearing Collections	48

SECTION G---ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE

FIGURE 7	Offenders on Active GPS	51
----------	-------------------------------	----

SECTION H---SUMMARY

FIGURE 8	Monthly DNA Collections	54
TABLE 1-H	Offender Drug Testing	55
TABLE 2-H	Population Characteristics	56
FIGURE 9	Admissions: A 20-Year Comparison	57

SECTION A

**TOTAL
POPULATION**

FISCAL YEAR 2018

TOTAL POPULATION

Tables 1-A through 4-A and Figure 1 represent the total admissions to the SCDPPPS during FY 2018. These tables count admissions to a particular sanction, and include only those offenders admitted to SCDPPPS who had no other active cases at the time of admission. These tables also include only the main case even though an offender may have been admitted with more than one case. In FY 2018, there were 18,575 admissions. A state and county total is provided for each category of admission. Within the racial categories, due to the small number of offenders classified as "Asian, Hispanic, Native American, or Other", they have been grouped together and classified as "Other".

- Table 1-A provides information on total admissions by program type. Charleston, Greenville, York and Spartanburg counties had the greatest number of total admissions, together accounting for 32% of all admissions.
- Table 2-A presents information on total admissions by type of offense. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. Total admissions during the fiscal year were predominately non-violent with only 10% admissions for violent offenses.
- Table 3-A describes offender admissions by age category. Majority of those admitted during FY 2018 (80%) were 25 years or older at time of admission.
- Table 4-A and Figure 1 illustrate total admissions by gender and race. Admissions overall continue to be predominately male at 79%, with a racial composition of 46% black, 52% white, and 2% of other races.

Table 5-A and Figure 2 describe all active offenders by level of supervision on June 30, 2018. This total does not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. The level of supervision determines how often the Agent has contact with the offender. Standard risk supervision accounted for 59% of the active population, 14% were medium risk, and 20% were high risk offenders. Intensive supervision represented only 1% and sex offender supervision accounted for 3% while domestic violence supervision accounted for 4% of all active offenders.

Table 6-A shows total closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). Closures include only those offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the last order to close during FY 2018 and within that order only the main case, even though an offender may have had more than one case, was included. The overall success rate for all offenders closing during FY 2018 was 82%. The unsuccessful rate, 18%, is defined as those offenders whose supervision was revoked due to a technical violation or new offense.

Note: Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 1-A
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY PROGRAM TYPE**

COUNTY	PROBATION	PERCENT PROBATION	PAROLE	PERCENT PAROLE	OTHER	PERCENT OTHER	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	103	87%	12	10%	4	3%	119
AIKEN	490	80%	70	11%	55	9%	615
ALLENDALE	23	82%	3	11%	2	7%	28
ANDERSON	685	90%	34	4%	41	5%	760
BAMBERG	22	65%	5	15%	7	21%	34
BARNWELL	62	77%	9	11%	10	12%	81
BEAUFORT	195	82%	20	8%	23	10%	238
BERKELEY	760	90%	35	4%	47	6%	842
CALHOUN	57	85%	6	9%	4	6%	67
CHARLESTON	984	81%	91	7%	146	12%	1,221
CHEROKEE	411	87%	34	7%	26	6%	471
CHESTER	117	75%	19	12%	19	12%	155
CHESTERFIELD	74	81%	9	10%	8	9%	91
CLARENDON	148	90%	7	4%	10	6%	165
COLLETON	140	89%	9	6%	9	6%	158
DARLINGTON	138	72%	30	16%	25	13%	193
DILLON	72	73%	15	15%	11	11%	98
DORCHESTER	264	81%	31	10%	29	9%	324
EDGEFIELD	109	86%	12	9%	6	5%	127
FAIRFIELD	65	78%	9	11%	9	11%	83
FLORENCE	407	73%	82	15%	70	13%	559
GEORGETOWN	107	71%	20	13%	23	15%	150
GREENVILLE	1861	86%	135	6%	175	8%	2,171
GREENWOOD	315	86%	20	5%	32	9%	367
HAMPTON	46	77%	7	12%	7	12%	60
HORRY	777	81%	99	10%	88	9%	964
JASPER	144	88%	7	4%	12	7%	163
KERSHAW	230	84%	24	9%	19	7%	273
LANCASTER	255	80%	38	12%	27	8%	320
LAURENS	331	86%	34	9%	22	6%	387
LEE	61	84%	7	10%	5	7%	73
LEXINGTON	595	79%	82	11%	73	10%	750
McCORMICK	35	88%	4	10%	1	3%	40
MARION	123	76%	17	10%	22	14%	162
MARLBORO	73	78%	8	9%	12	13%	93
NEWBERRY	172	87%	12	6%	13	7%	197
OCONEE	321	89%	20	6%	20	6%	361
ORANGEBURG	428	87%	25	5%	40	8%	493
PICKENS	533	90%	27	5%	29	5%	589
RICHLAND	700	75%	91	10%	146	16%	937
SALUDA	88	89%	9	9%	2	2%	99
SPARTANBURG	1304	81%	159	10%	148	9%	1,611
SUMTER	425	78%	66	12%	55	10%	546
UNION	146	83%	18	10%	12	7%	176
WILLIAMSBURG	100	78%	19	15%	9	7%	128
YORK	775	80%	100	10%	93	10%	968
TRANSITIONAL	20	29%	26	38%	22	32%	68
STATE TOTAL	15,291	82%	1,616	9%	1,668	9%	18,575

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 2-A
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	8	7%	111	93%	119
AIKEN	76	12%	539	88%	615
ALLENDALE	3	11%	25	89%	28
ANDERSON	72	9%	688	91%	760
BAMBERG	11	32%	23	68%	34
BARNWELL	8	10%	73	90%	81
BEAUFORT	24	10%	214	90%	238
BERKELEY	51	6%	791	94%	842
CALHOUN	5	7%	62	93%	67
CHARLESTON	124	10%	1097	90%	1221
CHEROKEE	28	6%	443	94%	471
CHESTER	17	11%	138	89%	155
CHESTERFIELD	9	10%	82	90%	91
CLARENDON	5	3%	160	97%	165
COLLETON	16	10%	142	90%	158
DARLINGTON	21	11%	172	89%	193
DILLON	10	10%	88	90%	98
DORCHESTER	42	13%	282	87%	324
EDGEFIELD	8	6%	119	94%	127
FAIRFIELD	5	6%	78	94%	83
FLORENCE	56	10%	503	90%	559
GEORGETOWN	18	12%	132	88%	150
GREENVILLE	238	11%	1933	89%	2171
GREENWOOD	33	9%	334	91%	367
HAMPTON	3	5%	57	95%	60
HORRY	82	9%	882	91%	964
JASPER	10	6%	153	94%	163
KERSHAW	18	7%	255	93%	273
LANCASTER	32	10%	288	90%	320
LAURENS	26	7%	361	93%	387
LEE	11	15%	62	85%	73
LEXINGTON	78	10%	672	90%	750
MCCORMICK	1	3%	39	98%	40
MARION	15	9%	147	91%	162
MARLBORO	10	11%	83	89%	93
NEWBERRY	13	7%	184	93%	197
OCONEE	25	7%	336	93%	361
ORANGEBURG	49	10%	444	90%	493
PICKENS	36	6%	553	94%	589
RICHLAND	153	16%	784	84%	937
SALUDA	8	8%	91	92%	99
SPARTANBURG	189	12%	1422	88%	1611
SUMTER	54	10%	492	90%	546
UNION	10	6%	166	94%	176
WILLIAMSBURG	5	4%	123	96%	128
YORK	95	10%	873	90%	968
TRANSITIONAL	27	40%	41	60%	68
STATE TOTAL	1,838	10%	16,737	90%	18,575

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-A
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY AGE**

COUNTY	Age 24 & Under	Percent 24 & Under	Age 25 & Over	Percent 25 & Over
ABBEVILLE	17	14%	102	86%
AIKEN	115	19%	500	81%
ALLENDALE	8	29%	20	71%
ANDERSON	121	16%	639	84%
BAMBERG	5	15%	29	85%
BARNWELL	25	31%	56	69%
BEAUFORT	56	24%	182	76%
BERKELEY	157	19%	685	81%
CALHOUN	12	18%	55	82%
CHARLESTON	273	22%	948	78%
CHEROKEE	101	21%	370	79%
CHESTER	29	19%	126	81%
CHESTERFIELD	23	25%	68	75%
CLARENDON	35	21%	130	79%
COLLETON	33	21%	125	79%
DARLINGTON	47	24%	146	76%
DILLON	32	33%	66	67%
DORCHESTER	80	25%	244	75%
EDGEFIELD	35	28%	92	72%
FAIRFIELD	15	18%	68	82%
FLORENCE	108	19%	451	81%
GEORGETOWN	30	20%	120	80%
GREENVILLE	351	16%	1820	84%
GREENWOOD	97	26%	270	74%
HAMPTON	12	20%	48	80%
HORRY	229	24%	735	76%
JASPER	35	21%	128	79%
KERSHAW	61	22%	212	78%
LANCASTER	55	17%	265	83%
LAURENS	59	15%	328	85%
LEE	20	27%	53	73%
LEXINGTON	146	19%	604	81%
McCORMICK	12	30%	28	70%
MARION	30	19%	132	81%
MARLBORO	19	20%	74	80%
NEWBERRY	42	21%	155	79%
OCONEE	64	18%	297	82%
ORANGEBURG	117	24%	376	76%
PICKENS	108	18%	481	82%
RICHLAND	260	28%	677	72%
SALUDA	23	23%	76	77%
SPARTANBURG	252	16%	1359	84%
SUMTER	134	25%	412	75%
UNION	21	12%	155	88%
WILLIAMSBURG	20	16%	108	84%
YORK	210	22%	758	78%
TRANSITIONAL	8	12%	60	88%
STATE TOTAL	3,742	20%	14,833	80%


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-A
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE
N=18,575**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	81%	19%	36%	1%	63%
AIKEN	78%	22%	41%	0%	59%
ALLENDALE	82%	18%	86%	0%	14%
ANDERSON	75%	25%	24%	1%	75%
BAMBERG	91%	9%	82%	0%	18%
BARNWELL	88%	12%	51%	1%	48%
BEAUFORT	85%	15%	52%	6%	42%
BERKELEY	77%	23%	38%	1%	61%
CALHOUN	78%	22%	60%	0%	40%
CHARLESTON	87%	13%	66%	1%	33%
CHEROKEE	75%	25%	29%	2%	69%
CHESTER	78%	22%	54%	1%	46%
CHESTERFIELD	74%	26%	53%	0%	47%
CLARENDON	75%	25%	56%	3%	41%
COLLETON	75%	25%	44%	1%	54%
DARLINGTON	78%	22%	58%	0%	42%
DILLON	83%	17%	65%	4%	31%
DORCHESTER	80%	20%	41%	1%	58%
EDGEFIELD	75%	25%	46%	2%	53%
FAIRFIELD	83%	17%	69%	1%	30%
FLORENCE	82%	18%	69%	1%	31%
GEORGETOWN	83%	17%	59%	1%	40%
GREENVILLE	78%	22%	39%	4%	57%
GREENWOOD	80%	20%	48%	3%	49%
HAMPTON	93%	7%	77%	3%	20%
HORRY	76%	24%	35%	2%	63%
JASPER	75%	25%	62%	1%	37%
KERSHAW	79%	21%	45%	3%	53%
LANCASTER	81%	19%	47%	1%	52%
LAURENS	80%	20%	42%	1%	57%
LEE	89%	11%	77%	0%	23%
LEXINGTON	80%	20%	34%	2%	64%
McCORMICK	83%	18%	63%	0%	38%
MARION	76%	24%	70%	1%	28%
MARLBORO	85%	15%	58%	3%	39%
NEWBERRY	85%	15%	56%	2%	42%
OCONEE	76%	24%	18%	2%	80%
ORANGEBURG	83%	17%	73%	1%	26%
PICKENS	66%	34%	11%	1%	88%
RICHLAND	84%	16%	73%	2%	25%
SALUDA	84%	16%	47%	1%	52%
SPARTANBURG	74%	26%	36%	2%	62%
SUMTER	81%	19%	66%	1%	32%
UNION	74%	26%	29%	1%	70%
WILLIAMSBURG	82%	18%	70%	0%	30%
YORK	79%	21%	40%	3%	57%
TRANSITIONAL	85%	15%	56%	3%	41%
STATE TOTAL	79%	21%	46%	2%	52%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


FIGURE 1
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE
FISCAL YEAR 2018



**TABLE 5-A
ACTIVE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	75%	13%	11%	0%	0%	0%	207
AIKEN	73%	10%	12%	4%	2%	0%	1,048
ALLENDALE	90%	7%	0%	0%	3%	0%	88
ANDERSON	56%	10%	25%	0%	3%	7%	1,499
BAMBERG	69%	10%	11%	1%	8%	0%	71
BARNWELL	64%	10%	16%	3%	6%	0%	118
BEAUFORT	81%	12%	5%	0%	2%	0%	295
BERKELEY	64%	9%	22%	2%	3%	0%	1,156
CALHOUN	73%	7%	13%	2%	4%	0%	94
CHARLESTON	47%	22%	26%	1%	2%	2%	2,169
CHEROKEE	57%	20%	20%	1%	1%	0%	658
CHESTER	57%	17%	24%	1%	1%	0%	206
CHESTERFIELD	66%	16%	15%	1%	2%	0%	146
CLARENDON	65%	12%	21%	1%	2%	0%	203
COLLETON	56%	15%	24%	1%	4%	0%	332
DARLINGTON	75%	7%	14%	0%	3%	0%	357
DILLON	84%	9%	3%	0%	3%	0%	120
DORCHESTER	64%	11%	10%	1%	4%	10%	602
EDGEFIELD	72%	6%	15%	3%	4%	0%	185
FAIRFIELD	66%	7%	23%	3%	1%	0%	197
FLORENCE	69%	13%	15%	1%	3%	0%	813
GEORGETOWN	65%	8%	22%	0%	4%	0%	248
GREENVILLE	42%	20%	30%	1%	2%	6%	3,245
GREENWOOD	64%	10%	18%	1%	1%	6%	593
HAMPTON	56%	12%	24%	2%	5%	1%	137
HORRY	78%	9%	8%	1%	5%	0%	1,319
JASPER	69%	15%	14%	0%	2%	0%	190
KERSHAW	53%	16%	25%	1%	5%	0%	393
LANCASTER	70%	13%	14%	1%	2%	0%	392
LAURENS	60%	13%	16%	1%	3%	7%	538
LEE	76%	11%	9%	0%	3%	0%	116
LEXINGTON	55%	15%	18%	3%	2%	7%	1,285
McCORMICK	82%	9%	5%	1%	3%	0%	78
MARION	85%	5%	6%	1%	4%	0%	168
MARLBORO	62%	13%	20%	0%	5%	0%	120
NEWBERRY	65%	13%	18%	3%	2%	0%	198
OCONEE	72%	8%	19%	0%	2%	0%	648
ORANGEBURG	60%	8%	20%	1%	1%	11%	976
PICKENS	51%	14%	32%	0%	2%	0%	926
RICHLAND	46%	17%	30%	2%	2%	4%	1,755
SALUDA	74%	10%	12%	0%	4%	0%	112
SPARTANBURG	49%	17%	22%	1%	3%	8%	2,546
SUMTER	72%	13%	13%	0%	3%	0%	739
UNION	63%	14%	18%	0%	4%	0%	238
WILLIAMSBURG	64%	11%	22%	1%	1%	0%	296
YORK	63%	11%	15%	1%	3%	7%	1,184
TRANSITIONAL	94%	0%	5%	1%	0%	0%	167
STATE TOTAL	59%	14%	20%	1%	3%	4%	
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	17,072	4,024	5,949	341	760	1,025	29,171
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.							

**FIGURE 2
ACTIVE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION
JUNE 30, 2018**



CONTACT STANDARDS

STANDARD

- One Progress Report every quarter
- Following the initial home contact, additional field contacts will be conducted in the event of community complaints or a violation investigation

MEDIUM

- One Progress report every other month
- One additional progress report must be conducted every six months as a Field Visit or Home Visit

HIGH

- One Progress Report Every Other Month
- An additional progress report must be conducted as a Field Visit or Home Visit every quarter

INTENSIVE

- Two Progress Reports per Month
- One of the two progress reports must be conducted as a Field Visit or Home Visit

**TABLE 6-A
TOTAL CLOSURES BY TYPE**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	101	89%	13	11%
AIKEN	300	77%	88	23%
ALLENDALE	30	100%	0	0%
ANDERSON	523	83%	106	17%
BAMBERG	30	70%	13	30%
BARNWELL	60	76%	19	24%
BEAUFORT	211	89%	25	11%
BERKELEY	459	85%	80	15%
CALHOUN	45	87%	7	13%
CHARLESTON	1174	85%	210	15%
CHEROKEE	397	80%	99	20%
CHESTER	113	82%	25	18%
CHESTERFIELD	70	95%	4	5%
CLARENDON	132	84%	26	16%
COLLETON	115	84%	22	16%
DARLINGTON	156	79%	42	21%
DILLON	93	81%	22	19%
DORCHESTER	291	82%	64	18%
EDGEFIELD	72	87%	11	13%
FAIRFIELD	79	83%	16	17%
FLORENCE	449	84%	87	16%
GEORGETOWN	125	81%	29	19%
GREENVILLE	1808	82%	395	18%
GREENWOOD	330	85%	57	15%
HAMPTON	62	85%	11	15%
HORRY	507	73%	188	27%
JASPER	84	82%	18	18%
KERSHAW	176	81%	41	19%
LANCASTER	220	88%	30	12%
LAURENS	280	81%	65	19%
LEE	67	87%	10	13%
LEXINGTON	524	86%	84	14%
MCCORMICK	29	91%	3	9%
MARION	154	92%	13	8%
MARLBORO	66	92%	6	8%
NEWBERRY	121	79%	33	21%
OCONEE	231	81%	54	19%
ORANGEBURG	342	85%	61	15%
PICKENS	414	73%	154	27%
RICHLAND	856	78%	247	22%
SALUDA	70	84%	13	16%
SPARTANBURG	1140	78%	314	22%
SUMTER	357	79%	96	21%
UNION	138	82%	30	18%
WILLIAMSBURG	83	81%	19	19%
YORK	713	89%	84	11%
TRANSITIONAL	358	100%	0	0%
STATE TOTAL	14,155	82%	3,034	18%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

SECTION B

PROBATION

**FISCAL YEAR
2018**

PROBATION

The Department is charged with the responsibility of supervising those offenders placed on probation by the Court. Probation is a court-ordered community sanction which suspends the imposition of all or part of the original sentence of incarceration. It requires the offender, under SCDPPPS supervision in the community, to adhere to a set of conditions which limit the offender's freedom, to make reparation to victims if so ordered, and to provide for judicial revocation for violation of those conditions.

Tables 1-B through 3-B represents all probation admissions during FY 2018. Probation includes Probation, PTUP (Probation Terminated upon Payment), Split Probation admitted to probation with a split sentence from prison, Monitor for the Court, and NGRI (Not Guilty by Reason of Insanity).

- Table 1-B shows probation admissions in terms of offense type, violent or non-violent. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. For FY 2018, 4% of all probation admissions were for violent offenses.
- Table 2-B provides information on probation admissions by gender and race. Probation admissions were predominately male (76%) with a racial composition of 55% white, 43% black, and 2% other.
- Table 3-B reflects probation admissions by age category. Majority (78%) of offenders were 25 years or older at time of admission.

Table 4-B and Figure 3 describe active probation offenders by level of supervision on June 30, 2018. This total does not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. Standard risk supervision accounted for 59% of the active population, 14% were medium risk, and 20% were high risk offenders. Intensive supervision represented only 1% and sex offender supervision accounted for 2% while domestic violence supervision accounted for 4% of all active probation offenders.

Table 5-B provides data for probation closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). Closures include only those offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the last order to close during FY 2018 and within that order only the main case, even though an offender may have had more than one case, was included. The overall success rate for probationers was 81%, slightly lower than the total offender population success rate of 82%.

**TABLE 1-B
PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	6	6%	97	94%	103
AIKEN	26	5%	464	95%	490
ALLENDALE	2	9%	21	91%	23
ANDERSON	31	5%	654	95%	685
BAMBERG	5	23%	17	77%	22
BARNWELL	3	5%	59	95%	62
BEAUFORT	4	2%	191	98%	195
BERKELEY	18	2%	742	98%	760
CALHOUN	1	2%	56	98%	57
CHARLESTON	35	4%	949	96%	984
CHEROKEE	12	3%	399	97%	411
CHESTER	6	5%	111	95%	117
CHESTERFIELD	2	3%	72	97%	74
CLARENDON	0	0%	148	100%	148
COLLETON	7	5%	133	95%	140
DARLINGTON	6	4%	132	96%	138
DILLON	0	0%	72	100%	72
DORCHESTER	17	6%	247	94%	264
EDGEFIELD	5	5%	104	95%	109
FAIRFIELD	0	0%	65	100%	65
FLORENCE	9	2%	398	98%	407
GEORGETOWN	5	5%	102	95%	107
GREENVILLE	104	6%	1757	94%	1861
GREENWOOD	12	4%	303	96%	315
HAMPTON	1	2%	45	98%	46
HORRY	29	4%	748	96%	777
JASPER	2	1%	142	99%	144
KERSHAW	7	3%	223	97%	230
LANCASTER	7	3%	248	97%	255
LAURENS	11	3%	320	97%	331
LEE	3	5%	58	95%	61
LEXINGTON	21	4%	574	96%	595
McCORMICK	0	0%	35	100%	35
MARION	2	2%	121	98%	123
MARLBORO	4	5%	69	95%	73
NEWBERRY	4	2%	168	98%	172
OCONEE	14	4%	307	96%	321
ORANGEBURG	19	4%	409	96%	428
PICKENS	12	2%	521	98%	533
RICHLAND	47	7%	653	93%	700
SALUDA	5	6%	83	94%	88
SPARTANBURG	68	5%	1236	95%	1304
SUMTER	17	4%	408	96%	425
UNION	2	1%	144	99%	146
WILLIAMSBURG	1	1%	99	99%	100
YORK	38	5%	737	95%	775
TRANSITIONAL	1	5%	19	95%	20
STATE TOTAL	631	4%	14,660	96%	15,291

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE
TABLE 2-B**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	79%	21%	33%	1%	66%
AIKEN	75%	25%	40%	1%	59%
ALLENDALE	78%	22%	91%	0%	9%
ANDERSON	73%	27%	23%	1%	76%
BAMBERG	91%	9%	91%	0%	9%
BARNWELL	85%	15%	53%	2%	45%
BEAUFORT	84%	16%	47%	7%	46%
BERKELEY	76%	24%	36%	1%	63%
CALHOUN	74%	26%	56%	0%	44%
CHARLESTON	85%	15%	64%	1%	35%
CHEROKEE	73%	27%	29%	2%	70%
CHESTER	75%	25%	53%	1%	46%
CHESTERFIELD	69%	31%	54%	0%	46%
CLARENDON	72%	28%	55%	3%	41%
COLLETON	72%	28%	44%	1%	55%
DARLINGTON	75%	25%	57%	0%	43%
DILLON	79%	21%	64%	4%	32%
DORCHESTER	78%	22%	38%	1%	61%
EDGEFIELD	71%	29%	42%	2%	56%
FAIRFIELD	83%	17%	69%	2%	29%
FLORENCE	78%	22%	65%	1%	34%
GEORGETOWN	83%	17%	56%	2%	42%
GREENVILLE	76%	24%	38%	3%	59%
GREENWOOD	77%	23%	47%	3%	50%
HAMPTON	91%	9%	74%	4%	22%
HORRY	72%	28%	30%	2%	68%
JASPER	72%	28%	65%	1%	35%
KERSHAW	76%	24%	42%	3%	56%
LANCASTER	78%	22%	47%	2%	51%
LAURENS	79%	21%	40%	1%	58%
LEE	89%	11%	79%	0%	21%
LEXINGTON	78%	22%	32%	2%	66%
McCORMICK	80%	20%	63%	0%	37%
MARION	72%	28%	65%	1%	34%
MARLBORO	81%	19%	55%	3%	42%
NEWBERRY	84%	16%	55%	1%	44%
OCONEE	75%	25%	17%	2%	81%
ORANGEBURG	82%	18%	73%	0%	26%
PICKENS	65%	35%	11%	1%	88%
RICHLAND	82%	18%	70%	2%	28%
SALUDA	82%	18%	47%	1%	52%
SPARTANBURG	72%	28%	35%	2%	63%
SUMTER	77%	23%	64%	1%	35%
UNION	71%	29%	29%	1%	70%
WILLIAMSBURG	79%	21%	69%	0%	31%
YORK	76%	24%	39%	2%	59%
TRANSITIONAL	85%	15%	60%	0%	40%
STATE TOTAL	76%	24%	43%	2%	55%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-B
PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY AGE**


COUNTY	Age 24 & Under	Percent 24 & Under	Age 25 & Over	Percent 25 & Over
ABBEVILLE	14	14%	89	86%
AIKEN	105	21%	385	79%
ALLENDALE	6	26%	17	74%
ANDERSON	116	17%	569	83%
BAMBERG	4	18%	18	82%
BARNWELL	21	34%	41	66%
BEAUFORT	50	26%	145	74%
BERKELEY	150	20%	610	80%
CALHOUN	11	19%	46	81%
CHARLESTON	245	25%	739	75%
CHEROKEE	98	24%	313	76%
CHESTER	26	22%	91	78%
CHESTERFIELD	20	27%	54	73%
CLARENDON	31	21%	117	79%
COLLETON	31	22%	109	78%
DARLINGTON	43	31%	95	69%
DILLON	22	31%	50	69%
DORCHESTER	75	28%	189	72%
EDGEFIELD	31	28%	78	72%
FAIRFIELD	13	20%	52	80%
FLORENCE	92	23%	315	77%
GEORGETOWN	27	25%	80	75%
GREENVILLE	309	17%	1552	83%
GREENWOOD	84	27%	231	73%
HAMPTON	11	24%	35	76%
HORRY	205	26%	572	74%
JASPER	32	22%	112	78%
KERSHAW	60	26%	170	74%
LANCASTER	48	19%	207	81%
LAURENS	52	16%	279	84%
LEE	17	28%	44	72%
LEXINGTON	135	23%	460	77%
McCORMICK	10	29%	25	71%
MARION	26	21%	97	79%
MARLBORO	15	21%	58	79%
NEWBERRY	36	21%	136	79%
OCONEE	59	18%	262	82%
ORANGEBURG	108	25%	320	75%
PICKENS	103	19%	430	81%
RICHLAND	219	31%	481	69%
SALUDA	20	23%	68	77%
SPARTANBURG	222	17%	1082	83%
SUMTER	117	28%	308	72%
UNION	20	14%	126	86%
WILLIAMSBURG	16	16%	84	84%
YORK	192	25%	583	75%
TRANSITIONAL	1	5%	19	95%
STATE TOTAL	3,348	22%	11,943	78%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-B
ACTIVE PROBATION OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	76%	14%	10%	0%	1%	0%	184
AIKEN	74%	9%	12%	4%	2%	0%	891
ALLENDALE	92%	7%	0%	0%	1%	0%	83
ANDERSON	56%	10%	24%	0%	2%	7%	1,380
BAMBERG	74%	7%	12%	0%	7%	0%	57
BARNWELL	66%	8%	17%	3%	6%	0%	96
BEAUFORT	82%	12%	4%	0%	2%	0%	244
BERKELEY	64%	9%	22%	2%	3%	0%	1,034
CALHOUN	77%	9%	9%	1%	5%	0%	82
CHARLESTON	46%	22%	26%	1%	1%	3%	1,860
CHEROKEE	58%	20%	20%	1%	1%	0%	575
CHESTER	60%	15%	23%	1%	1%	0%	165
CHESTERFIELD	67%	13%	19%	1%	1%	0%	117
CLARENDON	65%	12%	21%	1%	1%	0%	181
COLLETON	56%	16%	25%	1%	3%	0%	302
DARLINGTON	78%	8%	12%	0%	2%	0%	290
DILLON	84%	13%	1%	0%	3%	0%	80
DORCHESTER	64%	11%	10%	1%	3%	11%	518
EDGEFIELD	76%	6%	12%	3%	3%	0%	155
FAIRFIELD	67%	6%	23%	2%	1%	0%	171
FLORENCE	70%	12%	14%	1%	2%	0%	647
GEORGETOWN	67%	8%	22%	1%	2%	0%	193
GREENVILLE	41%	20%	30%	1%	2%	6%	2,886
GREENWOOD	65%	10%	17%	1%	1%	7%	527
HAMPTON	58%	11%	24%	2%	4%	1%	119
HORRY	79%	10%	7%	1%	4%	0%	1,078
JASPER	69%	14%	16%	0%	1%	0%	159
KERSHAW	54%	16%	26%	1%	4%	0%	333
LANCASTER	71%	13%	14%	1%	2%	0%	330
LAURENS	61%	13%	15%	1%	3%	7%	467
LEE	76%	13%	9%	0%	2%	0%	102
LEXINGTON	56%	14%	18%	3%	2%	8%	1,086
McCORMICK	85%	6%	6%	1%	1%	0%	68
MARION	89%	3%	5%	1%	2%	0%	131
MARLBORO	61%	14%	20%	0%	4%	0%	90
NEWBERRY	66%	13%	17%	2%	1%	0%	172
OCONEE	73%	8%	18%	0%	1%	0%	599
ORANGEBURG	61%	7%	19%	0%	1%	12%	869
PICKENS	51%	14%	32%	0%	2%	0%	861
RICHLAND	45%	17%	30%	2%	1%	5%	1,409
SALUDA	77%	8%	11%	0%	3%	0%	96
SPARTANBURG	49%	16%	22%	1%	3%	9%	2,173
SUMTER	73%	12%	12%	0%	2%	0%	598
UNION	65%	13%	17%	0%	4%	0%	202
WILLIAMSBURG	67%	10%	22%	0%	1%	0%	237
YORK	62%	10%	16%	1%	2%	9%	978
TRANSITIONAL	95%	0%	4%	1%	0%	0%	16400%
STATE TOTAL	59%	14%	20%	1%	2%	4%	
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	14,710	3,399	5,113	292	515	1,010	25,039
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.							

FIGURE 3
ACTIVE PROBATION OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION
JUNE 30, 2018



PROBATION CLOSURES BY TYPE

TABLE 5-B

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	88	89%	11	11%
AIKEN	233	73%	86	27%
ALLENDALE	24	100%	0	0%
ANDERSON	457	82%	103	18%
BAMBERG	26	72%	10	28%
BARNWELL	45	73%	17	27%
BEAUFORT	185	90%	20	10%
BERKELEY	410	84%	76	16%
CALHOUN	41	85%	7	15%
CHARLESTON	1012	85%	182	15%
CHEROKEE	346	78%	97	22%
CHESTER	94	80%	23	20%
CHESTERFIELD	54	96%	2	4%
CLARENDON	120	83%	24	17%
COLLETON	97	82%	22	18%
DARLINGTON	128	79%	35	21%
DILLON	78	82%	17	18%
DORCHESTER	251	80%	61	20%
EDGEFIELD	65	86%	11	14%
FAIRFIELD	66	84%	13	16%
FLORENCE	349	82%	78	18%
GEORGETOWN	84	76%	27	24%
GREENVILLE	1605	81%	378	19%
GREENWOOD	298	85%	52	15%
HAMPTON	52	83%	11	17%
HORRY	375	69%	169	31%
JASPER	70	80%	18	20%
KERSHAW	143	78%	41	22%
LANCASTER	189	86%	30	14%
LAURENS	247	80%	61	20%
LEE	59	88%	8	12%
LEXINGTON	420	84%	80	16%
MCCORMICK	26	93%	2	7%
MARION	115	92%	10	8%
MARLBORO	55	90%	6	10%
NEWBERRY	111	78%	31	22%
OCONEE	207	80%	53	20%
ORANGEBURG	298	84%	57	16%
PICKENS	384	72%	152	28%
RICHLAND	659	75%	218	25%
SALUDA	60	82%	13	18%
SPARTANBURG	930	76%	300	24%
SUMTER	276	76%	88	24%
UNION	112	82%	24	18%
WILLIAMSBURG	69	80%	17	20%
YORK	591	88%	80	12%
TRANSITIONAL	267	100%	0	0%
STATE TOTAL	11,871	81%	2,821	19%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

SECTION C

PAROLE

**FISCAL YEAR
2018**

PAROLE

The Department is charged with the responsibility of supervising those offenders paroled by the South Carolina Board of Pardons and Paroles. Parole is the conditional release of an individual from imprisonment, but not from the legal custody of the state, to complete his or her sentence outside a correctional institution under conditions and provisions of supervision determined by the Board. Should an individual be granted parole, he or she must agree to abide by certain conditions of community supervision. The violation of any of these conditions is sufficient grounds for revocation of parole by the Board, and the imposition of the remainder of the original sentence of incarceration.

Tables 1-C through 3-C represents all parole admissions during FY 2018.

- Table 1-C shows parole admissions by type of offense. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. A larger percent of parole admissions, 17%, fall into the violent category, as compared to 4% for probation admissions (see Table 1-B).
- Table 2-C describes all parole admissions by gender and race. Parole admissions consisted primarily of males (86%) with a racial composition of 49% black, 49% white, and 2% other.
- Table 3-C reflects parole admissions by age category. Majority (85%) of offenders were 25 years or older at time of admission.

Table 4-C and Figure 4 describe active parolees by level of supervision on June 30, 2018. This total does not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. Standard risk supervision accounted for 61% of the active population, 16% were medium risk, and 18% were high risk offenders. Intensive supervision represented only 1% and sex offender supervision accounted for 4% of all active parole offenders. Less than 1% of the active parole population were under domestic violence supervision.

Table 5-C provides data for parole closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). Closures include only those offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the last order to close during FY 2018 and within that order only the main case, even though an offender may have had more than one case, was included. The overall success rate for parolees (83%) was higher than that of probationers (81%, see Table 4-B).

**TABLE 1-C
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	1	8%	11	92%	12
AIKEN	21	30%	49	70%	70
ALLENDALE	0	0%	3	100%	3
ANDERSON	8	24%	26	76%	34
BAMBERG	2	40%	3	60%	5
BARNWELL	1	11%	8	89%	9
BEAUFORT	3	15%	17	85%	20
BERKELEY	7	20%	28	80%	35
CALHOUN	2	33%	4	67%	6
CHARLESTON	12	13%	79	87%	91
CHEROKEE	3	9%	31	91%	34
CHESTER	1	5%	18	95%	19
CHESTERFIELD	1	11%	8	89%	9
CLARENDON	1	14%	6	86%	7
COLLETON	3	33%	6	67%	9
DARLINGTON	3	10%	27	90%	30
DILLON	1	7%	14	93%	15
DORCHESTER	6	19%	25	81%	31
EDGEFIELD	1	8%	11	92%	12
FAIRFIELD	2	22%	7	78%	9
FLORENCE	7	9%	75	91%	82
GEORGETOWN	4	20%	16	80%	20
GREENVILLE	28	21%	107	79%	135
GREENWOOD	2	10%	18	90%	20
HAMPTON	0	0%	7	100%	7
HORRY	15	15%	84	85%	99
JASPER	0	0%	7	100%	7
KERSHAW	6	25%	18	75%	24
LANCASTER	5	13%	33	87%	38
LAURENS	4	12%	30	88%	34
LEE	4	57%	3	43%	7
LEXINGTON	15	18%	67	82%	82
McCORMICK	0	0%	4	100%	4
MARION	2	12%	15	88%	17
MARLBORO	3	38%	5	63%	8
NEWBERRY	2	17%	10	83%	12
OCONEE	1	5%	19	95%	20
ORANGEBURG	4	16%	21	84%	25
PICKENS	7	26%	20	74%	27
RICHLAND	14	15%	77	85%	91
SALUDA	2	22%	7	78%	9
SPARTANBURG	33	21%	126	79%	159
SUMTER	12	18%	54	82%	66
UNION	4	22%	14	78%	18
WILLIAMSBURG	0	0%	19	100%	19
YORK	10	10%	90	90%	100
TRANSITIONAL	9	35%	17	65%	26
STATE TOTAL	272	17%	1,344	83%	1,616

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 2-C
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	92%	8%	50%	0%	50%
AIKEN	86%	14%	40%	0%	60%
ALLENDALE	100%	0%	33%	0%	67%
ANDERSON	74%	26%	21%	0%	79%
BAMBERG	80%	20%	80%	0%	20%
BARNWELL	100%	0%	44%	0%	56%
BEAUFORT	80%	20%	55%	5%	40%
BERKELEY	89%	11%	51%	0%	49%
CALHOUN	100%	0%	83%	0%	17%
CHARLESTON	96%	4%	71%	1%	27%
CHEROKEE	91%	9%	21%	0%	79%
CHESTER	79%	21%	42%	0%	58%
CHESTERFIELD	100%	0%	44%	0%	56%
CLARENDON	100%	0%	29%	0%	71%
COLLETON	89%	11%	56%	0%	44%
DARLINGTON	80%	20%	50%	0%	50%
DILLON	93%	7%	67%	7%	27%
DORCHESTER	87%	13%	48%	0%	52%
EDGEFIELD	100%	0%	58%	0%	42%
FAIRFIELD	67%	33%	78%	0%	22%
FLORENCE	94%	6%	77%	0%	23%
GEORGETOWN	75%	25%	60%	0%	40%
GREENVILLE	84%	16%	40%	2%	58%
GREENWOOD	95%	5%	25%	0%	75%
HAMPTON	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
HORRY	88%	12%	54%	2%	44%
JASPER	100%	0%	43%	14%	43%
KERSHAW	88%	13%	58%	4%	38%
LANCASTER	89%	11%	45%	0%	55%
LAURENS	85%	15%	47%	0%	53%
LEE	86%	14%	57%	0%	43%
LEXINGTON	84%	16%	23%	1%	76%
McCORMICK	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
MARION	76%	24%	82%	0%	18%
MARLBORO	100%	0%	88%	0%	13%
NEWBERRY	83%	17%	50%	17%	33%
OCONEE	80%	20%	25%	0%	75%
ORANGEBURG	80%	20%	68%	0%	32%
PICKENS	81%	19%	11%	0%	89%
RICHLAND	84%	16%	66%	4%	30%
SALUDA	100%	0%	44%	0%	56%
SPARTANBURG	78%	22%	38%	1%	60%
SUMTER	92%	8%	70%	3%	27%
UNION	83%	17%	28%	0%	72%
WILLIAMSBURG	89%	11%	68%	0%	32%
YORK	89%	11%	44%	6%	50%
TRANSITIONAL	85%	15%	54%	0%	46%
STATE TOTAL	86%	14%	49%	2%	49%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-C
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY AGE**

COUNTY	Age 24 & Under	Percent 24 & Under	Age 25 & Over	Percent 25 & Over
ABBEVILLE	3	25%	9	75%
AIKEN	6	9%	64	91%
ALLENDALE	2	67%	1	33%
ANDERSON	2	6%	32	94%
BAMBERG	0	0%	5	100%
BARNWELL	2	22%	7	78%
BEAUFORT	4	20%	16	80%
BERKELEY	6	17%	29	83%
CALHOUN	0	0%	6	100%
CHARLESTON	18	20%	73	80%
CHEROKEE	1	3%	33	97%
CHESTER	2	11%	17	89%
CHESTERFIELD	2	22%	7	78%
CLARENDON	2	29%	5	71%
COLLETON	2	22%	7	78%
DARLINGTON	2	7%	28	93%
DILLON	7	47%	8	53%
DORCHESTER	4	13%	27	87%
EDGEFIELD	4	33%	8	67%
FAIRFIELD	0	0%	9	100%
FLORENCE	13	16%	69	84%
GEORGETOWN	1	5%	19	95%
GREENVILLE	26	19%	109	81%
GREENWOOD	7	35%	13	65%
HAMPTON	1	14%	6	86%
HORRY	12	12%	87	88%
JASPER	2	29%	5	71%
KERSHAW	0	0%	24	100%
LANCASTER	7	18%	31	82%
LAURENS	6	18%	28	82%
LEE	2	29%	5	71%
LEXINGTON	9	11%	73	89%
McCORMICK	2	50%	2	50%
MARION	3	18%	14	82%
MARLBORO	2	25%	6	75%
NEWBERRY	4	33%	8	67%
OCONEE	4	20%	16	80%
ORANGEBURG	7	28%	18	72%
PICKENS	3	11%	24	89%
RICHLAND	15	16%	76	84%
SALUDA	3	33%	6	67%
SPARTANBURG	20	13%	139	87%
SUMTER	8	12%	58	88%
UNION	1	6%	17	94%
WILLIAMSBURG	3	16%	16	84%
YORK	10	10%	90	90%
TRANSITIONAL	5	19%	21	81%
STATE TOTAL	245	15%	1,371	85%


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-C
ACTIVE PAROLE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DV OFFENDER	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	74%	5%	21%	0%	0%	0%	19
AIKEN	73%	10%	12%	1%	4%	0%	117
ALLENDALE	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1
ANDERSON	52%	13%	31%	1%	1%	0%	67
BAMBERG	71%	14%	0%	0%	14%	0%	7
BARNWELL	71%	7%	7%	7%	7%	0%	14
BEAUFORT	82%	12%	6%	0%	0%	0%	34
BERKELEY	68%	6%	21%	1%	4%	0%	72
CALHOUN	57%	0%	43%	0%	0%	0%	7
CHARLESTON	52%	25%	22%	1%	1%	0%	189
CHEROKEE	49%	30%	18%	0%	4%	0%	57
CHESTER	50%	31%	15%	4%	0%	0%	26
CHESTERFIELD	59%	36%	0%	0%	5%	0%	22
CLARENDON	73%	9%	9%	0%	9%	0%	11
COLLETON	53%	21%	21%	0%	5%	0%	19
DARLINGTON	76%	4%	18%	0%	2%	0%	45
DILLON	85%	3%	9%	0%	3%	0%	33
DORCHESTER	62%	17%	14%	3%	2%	2%	58
EDGEFIELD	64%	9%	23%	0%	5%	0%	22
FAIRFIELD	72%	0%	22%	6%	0%	0%	18
FLORENCE	64%	14%	16%	1%	5%	0%	113
GEORGETOWN	56%	6%	26%	0%	12%	0%	34
GREENVILLE	54%	14%	25%	2%	5%	1%	199
GREENWOOD	60%	15%	20%	0%	5%	0%	40
HAMPTON	43%	21%	29%	7%	0%	0%	14
HORRY	75%	7%	8%	1%	9%	0%	173
JASPER	74%	21%	0%	0%	5%	0%	19
KERSHAW	55%	14%	20%	5%	7%	0%	44
LANCASTER	73%	15%	8%	4%	0%	0%	48
LAURENS	55%	19%	23%	0%	0%	2%	47
LEE	75%	0%	8%	0%	17%	0%	12
LEXINGTON	49%	23%	19%	4%	5%	0%	125
McCORMICK	57%	29%	0%	0%	14%	0%	7
MARION	83%	13%	0%	0%	4%	0%	24
MARLBORO	71%	10%	14%	0%	5%	0%	21
NEWBERRY	67%	17%	11%	6%	0%	0%	18
OCONEE	52%	9%	33%	0%	6%	0%	33
ORANGEBURG	53%	19%	28%	0%	0%	0%	58
PICKENS	45%	19%	31%	0%	5%	0%	42
RICHLAND	56%	15%	25%	1%	3%	0%	195
SALUDA	50%	30%	20%	0%	0%	0%	10
SPARTANBURG	56%	21%	21%	2%	0%	0%	227
SUMTER	66%	15%	15%	0%	5%	0%	96
UNION	60%	16%	24%	0%	0%	0%	25
WILLIAMSBURG	55%	16%	24%	3%	3%	0%	38
YORK	73%	15%	5%	2%	4%	1%	136
TRANSITIONAL	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1
STATE TOTAL	61%	16%	18%	1%	4%	0%	
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	1,613	410	478	36	95	5	2,637

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 4
ACTIVE PAROLE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION
JUNE 30, 2018



**PAROLE CLOSURES BY TYPE
TABLE 5-C**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	5	71%	2	29%
AIKEN	19	90%	2	10%
ALLENDALE	---	---	---	---
ANDERSON	16	84%	3	16%
BAMBERG	1	50%	1	50%
BARNWELL	5	71%	2	29%
BEAUFORT	8	73%	3	27%
BERKELEY	11	79%	3	21%
CALHOUN	1	100%	0	0%
CHARLESTON	29	62%	18	38%
CHEROKEE	23	92%	2	8%
CHESTER	3	75%	1	25%
CHESTERFIELD	3	60%	2	40%
CLARENDON	4	67%	2	33%
COLLETON	5	100%	0	0%
DARLINGTON	7	58%	5	42%
DILLON	4	67%	2	33%
DORCHESTER	10	83%	2	17%
EDGEFIELD	2	100%	0	0%
FAIRFIELD	2	50%	2	50%
FLORENCE	38	83%	8	17%
GEORGETOWN	10	91%	1	9%
GREENVILLE	64	89%	8	11%
GREENWOOD	12	80%	3	20%
HAMPTON	3	100%	0	0%
HORRY	42	78%	12	22%
JASPER	3	100%	0	0%
KERSHAW	7	100%	0	0%
LANCASTER	9	100%	0	0%
LAURENS	6	75%	2	25%
LEE	2	67%	1	33%
LEXINGTON	25	93%	2	7%
MCCORMICK	0	0%	1	100%
MARION	13	93%	1	7%
MARLBORO	4	100%	0	0%
NEWBERRY	2	50%	2	50%
OCONEE	9	100%	0	0%
ORANGEBURG	12	86%	2	14%
PICKENS	8	80%	2	20%
RICHLAND	45	76%	14	24%
SALUDA	2	100%	0	0%
SPARTANBURG	89	90%	10	10%
SUMTER	29	88%	4	12%
UNION	13	72%	5	28%
WILLIAMSBURG	2	50%	2	50%
YORK	41	93%	3	7%
TRANSITIONAL	27	100%	0	0%
STATE TOTAL	675	83%	135	17%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**SECTION
D**

OTHER

**FISCAL YEAR
2018**

OTHER

The “other” category includes YOA, Community Supervision Program, Supervised Furlough-2 (SF-2), Supervised Furlough-2A (SF-2A), Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ), and Supervised Reentry Program (SRP) cases.

- **YOA** refers to inmates aged 17 through 24, sentenced under the South Carolina Youthful Offender Act (YOA) to an indeterminate period of incarceration, not to exceed six years, within the South Carolina Department of Corrections (SCDC). *This program is being taken over by the South Carolina Department of Corrections. SCDPPPS will no longer receive admissions to this program.*
- **Community Supervision Program (CSP)** is a mandatory release program for offenders who have been sentenced to a “No Parole” offense and have served 85% of their sentence at SCDC. Offenders released to the Community Supervision Program have a two-year period of supervision. If at any time they violate the terms of supervision, a Circuit Court Judge may revoke any part of the remaining incarcerative portion of the sentence for up to one year at a time.
- **SF-2** is an early release program which allows certain inmates to be released into the community to serve the last six months of their sentence. The difference between SF2 and SF-2A is most are required to be on Electronic Monitoring for the duration of their furlough under **SF-2A**.
- **DJJ** is a program where SCDPPPS supervises offenders who are at least 17 years of age, but less than 21, who have been adjudicated delinquent by a Family Court and who have been conditionally released from SCDC by the Juvenile Parole Board.
- **SRP** refers to an inmate not required to participate in CSP. Inmates who have been incarcerated for a minimum of two years shall be released to reentry supervision 180 before their release date.

Tables 1-D through 3-D represents all other admissions during FY 2018.

- Table 1-D displays other admissions by type of offense. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. Fifty-six percent (56%) of all admission in ‘other’ category were violent.
- Table 2-D describes other admissions by gender and race. Admissions were predominately male (94%) and black (62%).
- Table 3-D describes other admissions by age category. Majority (91%) of offenders were 25 years or old at time of admission.

Table 4-D and Figure 5 describe the active population for other release offenders in terms of level of supervision on June 30, 2018. Of the total active other population, 50% were supervised at standard level, 14% at medium, 24% at high, 1% at intensive, 10% at the sex offender supervision level, and 1% at the domestic violence supervision level.

Table 5-D shows 95% of other offenders closing successfully compared to 83% of the parole population (See Table 5-C) and 81% of the probation population (See Table 5-B).

**TABLE 1-D
OTHER ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	1	25%	3	75%	4
AIKEN	29	53%	26	47%	55
ALLENDALE	1	50%	1	50%	2
ANDERSON	33	80%	8	20%	41
BAMBERG	4	57%	3	43%	7
BARNWELL	4	40%	6	60%	10
BEAUFORT	17	74%	6	26%	23
BERKELEY	26	55%	21	45%	47
CALHOUN	2	50%	2	50%	4
CHARLESTON	77	53%	69	47%	146
CHEROKEE	13	50%	13	50%	26
CHESTER	10	53%	9	47%	19
CHESTERFIELD	6	75%	2	25%	8
CLARENDON	4	40%	6	60%	10
COLLETON	6	67%	3	33%	9
DARLINGTON	12	48%	13	52%	25
DILLON	9	82%	2	18%	11
DORCHESTER	19	66%	10	34%	29
EDGEFIELD	2	33%	4	67%	6
FAIRFIELD	3	33%	6	67%	9
FLORENCE	40	57%	30	43%	70
GEORGETOWN	9	39%	14	61%	23
GREENVILLE	106	61%	69	39%	175
GREENWOOD	19	59%	13	41%	32
HAMPTON	2	29%	5	71%	7
HORRY	38	43%	50	57%	88
JASPER	8	67%	4	33%	12
KERSHAW	5	26%	14	74%	19
LANCASTER	20	74%	7	26%	27
LAURENS	11	50%	11	50%	22
LEE	4	80%	1	20%	5
LEXINGTON	42	58%	31	42%	73
McCORMICK	1	100%	0	0%	1
MARION	11	50%	11	50%	22
MARLBORO	3	25%	9	75%	12
NEWBERRY	7	54%	6	46%	13
OCONEE	10	50%	10	50%	20
ORANGEBURG	26	65%	14	35%	40
PICKENS	17	59%	12	41%	29
RICHLAND	92	63%	54	37%	146
SALUDA	1	50%	1	50%	2
SPARTANBURG	88	59%	60	41%	148
SUMTER	25	45%	30	55%	55
UNION	4	33%	8	67%	12
WILLIAMSBURG	4	44%	5	56%	9
YORK	47	51%	46	49%	93
TRANSITIONAL	17	77%	5	23%	22
STATE TOTAL	935	56%	733	44%	1,668

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 2-D
OTHER ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	100%	0%	75%	0%	25%
AIKEN	93%	7%	49%	0%	51%
ALLEDALE	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
ANDERSON	100%	0%	44%	5%	51%
BAMBERG	100%	0%	57%	0%	43%
BARNWELL	90%	10%	40%	0%	60%
BEAUFORT	100%	0%	91%	4%	4%
BERKELEY	94%	6%	60%	2%	38%
CALHOUN	100%	0%	75%	0%	25%
CHARLESTON	98%	2%	77%	3%	20%
CHEROKEE	92%	8%	50%	4%	46%
CHESTER	95%	5%	68%	0%	32%
CHESTERFIELD	88%	13%	50%	0%	50%
CLARENDON	100%	0%	90%	0%	10%
COLLETON	100%	0%	44%	0%	56%
DARLINGTON	88%	12%	72%	0%	28%
DILLON	91%	9%	73%	0%	27%
DORCHESTER	97%	3%	59%	3%	38%
EDGEFIELD	100%	0%	83%	0%	17%
FAIRFIELD	100%	0%	56%	0%	44%
FLORENCE	91%	9%	81%	0%	19%
GEORGETOWN	91%	9%	70%	0%	30%
GREENVILLE	93%	7%	49%	7%	44%
GREENWOOD	97%	3%	72%	3%	25%
HAMPTON	100%	0%	71%	0%	29%
HORRY	98%	2%	52%	3%	44%
JASPER	100%	0%	42%	0%	58%
KERSHAW	100%	0%	63%	0%	37%
LANCASTER	96%	4%	52%	0%	48%
LAURENS	91%	9%	59%	0%	41%
LEE	100%	0%	80%	0%	20%
LEXINGTON	93%	7%	64%	3%	33%
McCORMICK	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
MARION	95%	5%	91%	5%	5%
MARLBORO	100%	0%	58%	8%	33%
NEWBERRY	92%	8%	77%	0%	23%
OCONEE	95%	5%	25%	5%	70%
ORANGEBURG	98%	3%	78%	5%	18%
PICKENS	83%	17%	17%	0%	83%
RICHLAND	94%	6%	90%	0%	10%
SALUDA	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
SPARTANBURG	89%	11%	45%	3%	51%
SUMTER	96%	4%	80%	0%	20%
UNION	100%	0%	33%	0%	67%
WILLIAMSBURG	100%	0%	78%	0%	22%
YORK	96%	4%	52%	6%	42%
TRANSITIONAL	86%	14%	55%	9%	36%
STATE TOTAL	94%	6%	62%	3%	35%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-D
OTHER ADMISSIONS BY AGE**

COUNTY	Age 24 & Under	Percent 24 & Under	Age 25 & Over	Percent 25 & Over
ABBEVILLE	0	0%	4	100%
AIKEN	4	7%	51	93%
ALLENDALE	0	0%	2	100%
ANDERSON	3	7%	38	93%
BAMBERG	1	14%	6	86%
BARNWELL	2	20%	8	80%
BEAUFORT	2	9%	21	91%
BERKELEY	1	2%	46	98%
CALHOUN	1	25%	3	75%
CHARLESTON	10	7%	136	93%
CHEROKEE	2	8%	24	92%
CHESTER	1	5%	18	95%
CHESTERFIELD	1	13%	7	88%
CLARENDON	2	20%	8	80%
COLLETON	0	0%	9	100%
DARLINGTON	2	8%	23	92%
DILLON	3	27%	8	73%
DORCHESTER	1	3%	28	97%
EDGEFIELD	0	0%	6	100%
FAIRFIELD	2	22%	7	78%
FLORENCE	3	4%	67	96%
GEORGETOWN	2	9%	21	91%
GREENVILLE	16	9%	159	91%
GREENWOOD	6	19%	26	81%
HAMPTON	0	0%	7	100%
HORRY	12	14%	76	86%
JASPER	1	8%	11	92%
KERSHAW	1	5%	18	95%
LANCASTER	0	0%	27	100%
LAURENS	1	5%	21	95%
LEE	1	20%	4	80%
LEXINGTON	2	3%	71	97%
McCORMICK	0	0%	1	100%
MARION	1	5%	21	95%
MARLBORO	2	17%	10	83%
NEWBERRY	2	15%	11	85%
OCONEE	1	5%	19	95%
ORANGEBURG	2	5%	38	95%
PICKENS	2	7%	27	93%
RICHLAND	26	18%	120	82%
SALUDA	0	0%	2	100%
SPARTANBURG	10	7%	138	93%
SUMTER	9	16%	46	84%
UNION	0	0%	12	100%
WILLIAMSBURG	1	11%	8	89%
YORK	8	9%	85	91%
TRANSITIONAL	2	9%	20	91%
STATE TOTAL	149	9%	1,519	91%


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-D
ACTIVE OTHER OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DV OFFENDER	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	75%	0%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4
AIKEN	58%	23%	10%	3%	8%	0%	40
ALLENDALE	50%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	4
ANDERSON	58%	4%	23%	0%	15%	0%	52
BAMBERG	29%	29%	14%	14%	14%	0%	7
BARNWELL	38%	38%	25%	0%	0%	0%	8
BEAUFORT	76%	6%	18%	0%	0%	0%	17
BERKELEY	68%	10%	22%	0%	0%	0%	50
CALHOUN	40%	0%	40%	20%	0%	0%	5
CHARLESTON	45%	18%	23%	0%	13%	2%	120
CHEROKEE	54%	15%	23%	4%	4%	0%	26
CHESTER	33%	13%	47%	0%	7%	0%	15
CHESTERFIELD	71%	14%	0%	0%	14%	0%	7
CLARENDON	55%	9%	27%	0%	9%	0%	11
COLLETON	73%	0%	9%	0%	18%	0%	11
DARLINGTON	45%	9%	32%	0%	14%	0%	22
DILLON	86%	0%	0%	0%	14%	0%	7
DORCHESTER	58%	15%	12%	0%	15%	0%	26
EDGEFIELD	25%	0%	50%	0%	25%	0%	8
FAIRFIELD	38%	25%	25%	13%	0%	0%	8
FLORENCE	60%	17%	17%	0%	6%	0%	53
GEORGETOWN	62%	10%	10%	0%	19%	0%	21
GREENVILLE	40%	21%	31%	1%	6%	2%	160
GREENWOOD	54%	8%	31%	4%	4%	0%	26
HAMPTON	50%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	4
HORRY	60%	13%	18%	1%	7%	0%	68
JASPER	67%	17%	17%	0%	0%	0%	12
KERSHAW	38%	13%	31%	6%	13%	0%	16
LANCASTER	29%	7%	50%	0%	14%	0%	14
LAURENS	58%	8%	21%	0%	13%	0%	24
LEE	50%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	2
LEXINGTON	59%	15%	16%	1%	7%	1%	74
McCORMICK	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3
MARION	38%	8%	23%	0%	31%	0%	13
MARLBORO	44%	11%	33%	0%	11%	0%	9
NEWBERRY	38%	13%	38%	0%	13%	0%	8
OCONEE	56%	6%	19%	6%	13%	0%	16
ORANGEBURG	47%	12%	31%	2%	6%	2%	49
PICKENS	35%	13%	30%	0%	22%	0%	23
RICHLAND	40%	17%	34%	1%	9%	0%	151
SALUDA	67%	0%	0%	0%	33%	0%	6
SPARTANBURG	41%	16%	21%	0%	20%	2%	146
SUMTER	69%	13%	13%	0%	4%	0%	45
UNION	45%	18%	27%	0%	9%	0%	11
WILLIAMSBURG	52%	19%	29%	0%	0%	0%	21
YORK	56%	13%	24%	0%	7%	0%	70
TRANSITIONAL	50%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	2
STATE TOTAL	50%	14%	24%	1%	10%	1%	
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	749	215	358	13	150	10	1,495
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.							

FIGURE 5

**ACTIVE OTHER OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION
JUNE 30, 2018**



**TABLE 5-D
OTHER CLOSURES BY TYPE**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	8	100%	0	0%
AIKEN	48	100%	0	0%
ALLENDALE	6	100%	0	0%
ANDERSON	50	100%	0	0%
BAMBERG	3	60%	2	40%
BARNWELL	10	100%	0	0%
BEAUFORT	18	90%	2	10%
BERKELEY	38	97%	1	3%
CALHOUN	3	100%	0	0%
CHARLESTON	133	93%	10	7%
CHEROKEE	28	100%	0	0%
CHESTER	16	94%	1	6%
CHESTERFIELD	13	100%	0	0%
CLARENDON	8	100%	0	0%
COLLETON	13	100%	0	0%
DARLINGTON	21	91%	2	9%
DILLON	11	79%	3	21%
DORCHESTER	30	97%	1	3%
EDGEFIELD	5	100%	0	0%
FAIRFIELD	11	92%	1	8%
FLORENCE	62	98%	1	2%
GEORGETOWN	31	97%	1	3%
GREENVILLE	139	94%	9	6%
GREENWOOD	20	91%	2	9%
HAMPTON	7	100%	0	0%
HORRY	90	93%	7	7%
JASPER	11	100%	0	0%
KERSHAW	26	100%	0	0%
LANCASTER	22	100%	0	0%
LAURENS	27	93%	2	7%
LEE	6	86%	1	14%
LEXINGTON	79	98%	2	2%
MCCORMICK	3	100%	0	0%
MARION	26	93%	2	7%
MARLBORO	7	100%	0	0%
NEWBERRY	8	100%	0	0%
OCONEE	15	94%	1	6%
ORANGEBURG	32	94%	2	6%
PICKENS	22	100%	0	0%
RICHLAND	152	91%	15	9%
SALUDA	8	100%	0	0%
SPARTANBURG	121	97%	4	3%
SUMTER	52	93%	4	7%
UNION	13	93%	1	7%
WILLIAMSBURG	12	100%	0	0%
YORK	81	99%	1	1%
TRANSITIONAL	64	1	0	0
STATE TOTAL	1,609	95%	78	5%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

SECTION E

**SEX
OFFENDERS**

FISCAL YEAR 2018

SEX OFFENDERS

The Department is responsible for supervising those offenders sentenced to community supervision by the Court of General Sessions or released from incarceration on other supervision programs who have been convicted of sex offenses.

SCDPPPS utilizes the Sex Offender Management Program to supervise those sex offenders who are currently serving an active sentence for a sex offense. For those offenders currently under supervision for an offense that is not a sex offense but who are required to register as a sex offender for a previous offense, SCDPPPS provides general supervision according to the offender's risk assessment score. Table 1-E and Figure 6 compares the number of sex offenders supervised under general supervision (24%) with those in the Sex Offender Management Program (76%).

A male sex offender's level of supervision is determined by his score on the Static-99 risk assessment. The three levels of male sex offender (SO) supervision are SO-High, SO-Intensive, and SO-Containment. Female sex offenders are supervised at the SO-High level of supervision for the entirety of their supervision period.

SEX OFFENDER CONTACT STANDARDS


SO-HIGH	SO-INTENSIVE	SO-CONTAINMENT
1 Home Visit Every Other Month	1 Home Visit per Month	2 Home Visits per Month
1 Employment Verification per Month	1 Employment Verification per Month	1 Employment Verification per Month
1 Field, Home, or Office Visit per Month	1 Field, Home, or Office Visit per Month	1 Field, Home or Office Visit per Month
1 Treatment Provider Contact per Month	1 Treatment Provider Contact per Month	1 Treatment Provider Contact per Month
1 Computer Search Every Six Months, if Applicable	1 Computer Search Every Other Month, if Applicable	1 Computer Search per Month, if Applicable

**TABLE 1-E
ACTIVE SEX OFFENDERS UNDER SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	SEX OFFENDER MANAGEMENT SUPERVISION		GENERAL SUPERVISION		TOTAL SEX OFFENDERS
ABBEVILLE	1	50%	1	50%	2
AIKEN	23	77%	7	23%	30
ALLENDALE	3	75%	1	25%	4
ANDERSON	38	70%	16	30%	54
BAMBERG	6	100%	0	0%	6
BARNWELL	7	70%	3	30%	10
BEAUFORT	6	86%	1	14%	7
BERKELEY	39	85%	7	15%	46
CALHOUN	4	57%	3	43%	7
CHARLESTON	42	66%	22	34%	64
CHEROKEE	9	56%	7	44%	16
CHESTER	3	150%	-1	-50%	2
CHESTERFIELD	3	75%	1	25%	4
CLARENDON	4	80%	1	20%	5
COLLETON	12	80%	3	20%	15
DARLINGTON	11	85%	2	15%	13
DILLON	4	80%	1	20%	5
DORCHESTER	22	73%	8	27%	30
EDGEFIELD	7	88%	1	13%	8
FAIRFIELD	2	100%	0	0%	2
FLORENCE	23	96%	1	4%	24
GEORGETOWN	11	92%	1	8%	12
GREENVILLE	70	79%	19	21%	89
GREENWOOD	8	73%	3	27%	11
HAMPTON	7	64%	4	36%	11
HORRY	60	91%	6	9%	66
JASPER	3	50%	3	50%	6
KERSHAW	18	78%	5	22%	23
LANCASTER	8	57%	6	43%	14
LAURENS	18	62%	11	38%	29
LEE	4	67%	2	33%	6
LEXINGTON	28	67%	14	33%	42
McCORMICK	2	67%	1	33%	3
MARION	7	100%	0	0%	7
MARLBORO	6	86%	1	14%	7
NEWBERRY	3	75%	1	25%	4
OCONEE	11	58%	8	42%	19
ORANGEBURG	11	100%	0	0%	11
PICKENS	20	71%	8	29%	28
RICHLAND	39	71%	16	29%	55
SALUDA	5	83%	1	17%	6
SPARTANBURG	88	83%	18	17%	106
SUMTER	21	75%	7	25%	28
UNION	9	100%	0	0%	9
WILLIAMSBURG	4	29%	10	71%	14
YORK	30	91%	3	9%	33
TRANSITIONAL	0	0%	7	100%	7
STATE TOTAL	760	76%	240	24%	1,000

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 6
ACTIVE SEX OFFENDERS UNDER SUPERVISION
JUNE 30, 2018



SECTION F

VIOLATIONS

FISCAL YEAR 2018

VIOLATIONS & CLOSURES

Offenders charged by their supervising Agents with violations of the conditions of supervision are reviewed through a violations matrix to determine the most appropriate response, while ensuring a consistent application of sanctions statewide.

Table 1-F provides data by county on the violation process. Statewide, a total of 4,818 violation hearings were held during the fiscal year. At those hearings, 2,055 cases were continued or recommended for continuation, while 2,763 cases were revoked or recommended for revocation.

Table 2-F shows fees collected in FY 2018 as a result of the Administrative Hearing Process. Offenders pay restitution, supervision fees and fines just prior to their Administrative Hearing to avoid incarceration. During the year, \$170,644.65 was collected in delinquent restitution payments, \$143,888.65 in supervision fees and \$44,435.67 in court ordered fines and fees. The total to \$358,968.97 collected demonstrates the effectiveness of the administrative hearing process in bringing offenders who have the means to become compliant with their monetary obligations.

Table 3-F provides a comparison of changes in active population and the types of closure for FY 2014 to FY 2018. Of the 17,189 closures during FY 2018, 82% closed successfully. Majority (64%) of unsuccessful closures resulted in compliance revocations.

**TABLE 1-F
VIOLATIONS BY COUNTY**

COUNTY	Cases Heard	Cases Revoked or Recommended for Revocation Cases	Continued or Recommended for Continuation
ABBEVILLE	3	0	3
AIKEN	22	8	14
ALLENDALE	17	7	10
ANDERSON	353	125	228
BAMBERG	22	5	17
BARNWELL	31	8	23
BEAUFORT	19	8	11
BERKELEY	159	113	46
CALHOUN	1	1	0
CHARLESTON	522	367	155
CHEROKEE	168	56	112
CHESTER	37	21	16
CHESTERFIELD	7	5	2
CLARENDON	26	16	10
COLLETON	20	8	12
DARLINGTON	33	20	13
DILLON	12	7	5
DORCHESTER	119	29	90
EDGEFIELD	35	4	31
FAIRFIELD	12	6	6
FLORENCE	123	67	56
GEORGETOWN	14	8	6
GREENVILLE	926	362	564
GREENWOOD	39	25	14
HAMPTON	21	8	13
HORRY	88	49	39
JASPER	42	18	24
KERSHAW	38	9	29
LANCASTER	26	21	5
LAURENS	35	19	16
LEE	6	6	0
LEXINGTON	361	80	281
McCORMICK	10	10	0
MARION	1	0	1
MARLBORO	18	6	12
NEWBERRY	85	37	48
OCONEE	58	30	28
ORANGEBURG	121	20	101
PICKENS	103	47	56
RICHLAND	224	68	156
SALUDA	96	32	64
SPARTANBURG	434	177	257
SUMTER	77	48	29
UNION	24	9	15
WILLIAMSBURG	3	2	1
YORK	227	83	144
TRANSITIONAL	0	0	0
STATE TOTAL	4,818	2,055	2,763

TABLE 2-F				
FEES COLLECTED THROUGH ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS PROCESS				
Month	Supervision Fee	Fine/Court Cost	Restitution	Total
July 2017	\$13,219.00	\$4,309.85	\$10,339.27	\$27,868.12
August	\$9,560.65	\$2,794.09	\$40,849.23	\$ 53,203.97
September	\$11,892.65	\$2,857.47	\$13,216.57	\$ 27,966.69
October	\$9,722.00	\$3,763.12	\$10,582.00	\$ 24,067.12
November	\$9,861.19	\$2,945.00	\$13,441.73	\$ 26,247.92
December	\$4,120.00	\$1,529.15	\$5,124.32	\$ 10,773.47
January 2018	\$12,446.17	\$4,609.54	\$5,849.37	\$ 22,905.08
February	\$14,109.00	\$2,110.40	\$12,610.00	\$ 28,829.40
March	\$15,897.00	\$7,305.53	\$12,108.20	\$ 35,310.73
April	\$19,467.49	\$4,337.02	\$22,805.35	\$ 46,609.86
May	\$9,562.00	\$2,165.00	\$8,590.80	\$ 20,317.80
June 2018	\$14,031.50	\$5,709.50	\$15,127.81	\$ 34,868.81
Total	\$ 143,888.65	\$ 44,435.67	\$ 170,644.65	\$ 358,968.97

CLOSURES BY TYPE

TABLE 3-F

FY 2018	Active							Total Unsuccessful
	Population	Successful	Exp-I	JC-I	Rev-C	Rev-T	Ret-CD ⁶	
Probation	25,039	11,871	13	3	423	1,783	599	2,821
Parole	2,637	675	6	0	28	101	0	135
Other Releases	1,495	1,609	33	0	3	42	0	78
Total	29,171	14,155	52	3	454	1,926	599	3,034
% Unsuccessful			1.7%	0.1%	15.0%	63.5%	19.7%	

FY 2017	Active							Total Unsuccessful
	Population	Successful	Exp-I	JC-I	Rev-C	Rev-T	Ret-CD ⁶	
Probation	25,776	10,783	13	2	478	2,207	480	3,180
Parole	2,271	511	5	0	18	96	0	119
Other Releases	1,597	1,571	36	0	2	46	0	84
Total	29,644	12,865	54	2	498	2,349	480	3,383
% Unsuccessful			1.6%	0.1%	14.7%	69.4%	14.2%	

FY 2016	Active							Total Unsuccessful
	Population	Successful	Exp-I	JC-I	Rev-C	Rev-T	Ret-CD ⁶	
Probation	25,132	11,051	20	3	549	2,922	387	3,881
Parole	2,030	490	4	0	20	71	0	95
YOA	240	499	0	0	18	80	0	98
Other Releases	1,341	1,575	108	0	0	24	0	132
Total	28,743	13,615	132	3	587	3,097	387	4,206
% Unsuccessful			3.1%	0.1%	14.0%	73.6%	9.2%	

FY 2015	Active							Total Unsuccessful
	Population	Successful	Exp-I	JC-I	Rev-C	Rev-T	Ret-CD ⁶	
Probation	26,806	10,987	13	3	504	2,374	311	3,205
Parole	2,007	409	7	0	11	45	0	63
YOA	591	397	7	0	45	168	0	220
Other Releases	1,525	1,414	32	0	2	11	0	45
Total	30,929	13,207	59	3	562	2,598	311	3,533
% Unsuccessful			1.7%	0.1%	15.9%	73.5%	8.8%	

FY 2014	Active							Total Unsuccessful
	Population	Successful	Exp-I	JC-I	Rev-C	Rev-T	Ret-CD ⁶	
Probation	28,021	10,535	16	2	624	2,356	217	3,215
Parole	1,618	405	1	0	11	31	0	43
YOA	1,052	486	7	0	97	214	0	318
Other Releases	1,517	1,138	43	0	1	4	0	48
Total	32,208	12,564	67	2	733	2,605	217	3,624
% Unsuccessful			1.8%	0.1%	20.2%	71.9%	6.0%	

Footnotes:

1 Exp-I - Expired Offender in Institution

4 Rev-T - Revoke, Technical Charges

2 JC-I - Judicial Closure in Institution

5 Rev TC - Revoke, Technical Charges & New Charges Pending

3 Rev-C - Revoke, New Conviction

6 Ret-CD Returned - Conditional Discharge

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

SECTION G


**ELECTRONIC
SURVEILLANCE**

FISCAL YEAR 2018

Electronic Surveillance

The Department utilizes electronic surveillance to monitor certain offenders. On June 8, 2006, Jessie's Law, a bill aimed at protecting the state's children through tougher penalties for sexual predators was signed into law with an effective date of July 1, 2006. Named after Jessica Marie Lunsford -- who was murdered in 2005 by a registered sex offender in Florida -- the law imposes a mandatory minimum of 25 years in prison for sexual predators and mandates active Global Positioning Satellite (GPS) monitoring for sex offenders convicted of certain offenses. GPS can pinpoint within 15 meters a person's position on Earth using 24 satellites in orbit at 11,000 nautical miles above the Earth. The satellites are owned and operated by the U. S. Department of Defense and continuously transmit signals which can be detected by anyone possessing a GPS receiver. The use of Active-GPS enhances public safety and provides a more modern and efficient way to ensure accountability and enforce home detention and curfews for those offenders requiring a heightened supervision strategy. Of the 811 offenders on Active GPS on June 15, 2018, 602 (74%) offenders were placed on GPS under Jessie's Law.

FIGURE 7
OFFENDERS ON ACTIVE GPS
FISCAL YEAR 2018



SECTION H

SUMMARY

FISCAL YEAR
2018

SUMMARY

Figure 8 compares monthly DNA collections during FY 2018.

Table 1-H shows drug testing activity during FY 2018. This table represents the number of individual offenders tested (17,867), the number of individuals testing positive (7,944), the total number of positive tests (15,104) and the number of times offenders were tested (23,549).


Table 2-H summarizes the population characteristics of SCDPPPS offenders by supervision programs as well as offender involvement in drug testing.

Population Overview:

- The proportion of violent offenses among parole (17%) and probation (4%) admissions remained the same between FY 2017 and FY 2018.
- Overall, the most utilized level of supervision was standard (59%), followed by high (20%), medium (14%), domestic violence (4%), sex offender (3%), and intensive (1%) for all active cases.
- The overall success rate for closures was 82%, a nominal decrease from the previous fiscal year. The overall success rate for parolees increased to 83%. Probationers (81%) had slightly less successful closures rates than parolees.
- Of the 17,867 offenders tested for drug use while under supervision, 7,944 or 44% tested positive for drugs.

Figure 9 compares the number of admissions for each fiscal year from 1998 to 2018.

FIGURE 8
MONTHLY DNA COLLECTIONS
FISCAL YEAR 2018



**TABLE 1-H
OFFENDER DRUG TESTING**

COUNTY	INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTED	INDIVIDUALS TESTING POSITIVE	PERCENTAGE OF INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTING POSITIVE	TOTAL NUMBER OF POSITIVE TESTS	NUMBER OF TIMES OFFENDERS WERE TESTED
ABBEVILLE	82	27	33%	47	88
AIKEN	333	162	49%	322	361
ALLENDALE	30	19	63%	22	30
ANDERSON	775	396	51%	841	925
BAMBERG	47	18	38%	34	68
BARNWELL	133	61	46%	131	164
BEAUFORT	444	165	37%	272	673
BERKELEY	371	114	31%	208	424
CALHOUN	78	30	38%	50	92
CHARLESTON	1134	507	45%	832	1345
CHEROKEE	548	297	54%	589	612
CHESTER	110	46	42%	68	125
CHESTERFIELD	139	48	35%	128	238
CLARENDON	27	13	48%	21	41
COLLETON	99	58	59%	99	114
DARLINGTON	212	109	51%	217	256
DILLON	70	3	4%	3	76
DORCHESTER	461	228	49%	460	728
EDGEFIELD	183	93	51%	176	222
FAIRFIELD	116	52	45%	83	138
FLORENCE	603	242	40%	399	768
GEORGETOWN	123	54	44%	71	129
GREENVILLE	1490	710	48%	1584	2387
GREENWOOD	410	171	42%	290	504
HAMPTON	38	17	45%	24	44
HORRY	1062	325	31%	523	1316
JASPER	118	55	47%	73	131
KERSHAW	292	149	51%	254	356
LANCASTER	393	181	46%	331	477
LAURENS	363	144	40%	272	473
LEE	68	17	25%	23	73
LEXINGTON	957	439	46%	969	1290
MCCORMICK	31	10	32%	19	36
MARION	171	64	37%	99	182
MARLBORO	85	56	66%	88	91
NEWBERRY	206	110	53%	202	259
OCONEE	235	126	54%	275	246
ORANGEBURG	722	346	48%	559	940
PICKENS	377	187	50%	434	431
RICHLAND	1361	642	47%	1169	2124
SALUDA	122	45	37%	77	154
SPARTANBURG	1796	748	42%	1518	2623
SUMTER	520	259	50%	421	607
UNION	220	103	47%	197	244
WILLIAMSBURG	37	19	51%	81	39
YORK	668	278	42%	548	898
CENTRAL	7	1	14%	1	7
STATE TOTAL	17,867	7,944	44%	15,104	23,549

**TABLE 2-H
POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS**

ADMISSIONS								
CATEGORY	Probation		Parole		Other		Total	
	FY 17	FY 18	FY 17	FY 18	FY 17	FY 18	FY 17	FY 18
<u>RACE:</u>								
BLACK	44%	43%	50%	49%	65%	62%	47%	46%
WHITE	54%	55%	49%	49%	33%	35%	51%	52%
OTHER	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%
<u>GENDER:</u>								
MALE	76%	76%	87%	86%	94%	94%	78%	79%
FEMALE	24%	24%	13%	14%	6%	6%	22%	21%
<u>OFFENSE TYPE:</u>								
VIOLENT	4%	4%	17%	17%	56%	54%	10%	10%
NON-VIOLENT	96%	96%	83%	83%	44%	44%	90%	90%

ACTIVES								
LEVEL OF SUPERVISION:	Probation		Parole		Other		Total	
	FY 17	FY 18	FY 17	FY 18	FY 17	FY 18	FY 17	FY 18
STANDARD	62%	59%	62%	61%	49%	50%	62%	59%
MEDIUM	13%	14%	11%	16%	14%	14%	13%	14%
HIGH	21%	20%	16%	18%	25%	24%	21%	20%
INTENSIVE	1%	1%	7%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%
SEX OFFENDER	2%	2%	4%	4%	10%	10%	3%	3%
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	0%	4%	---	0%	---	1%	0%	4%


CLOSURES								
CASE OUTCOME:	Probation		Parole		Other		Total	
	FY 17	FY 18	FY 17	FY 18	FY 17	FY 18	FY 17	FY 18
SUCCESSFUL	77%	81%	81%	83%	95%	95%	79%	82%
UNSUCCESSFUL	23%	19%	19%	17%	5%	5%	21%	18%

DRUG TESTING		
	FY 17	FY 18
INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTED	15,526	17,867
INDIVIDUALS TESTING POSITIVE	7,090	7,944
PERCENTAGE OF INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTING POSITIVE	45.67%	44.46%
TOTAL POSITIVE TESTS	13,098	15,104
NUMBER OF TIMES OFFENDERS TESTED	18,847	23,549

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 9

ADMISSIONS: A 20-YEAR COMPARISON



1st Printing/SCDPPPS
15 copies of this document were published: \$5.07 per copy/\$76.05 total.